

First District



Gloria Molina

Second District



Yvonne Brathwaite Burke

Third District



Zev Yaroslavsky

Fourth District



Don Knabe

Fifth District



Michael D. Antonovich



Adobe Acrobat Reader 5.0

Finding Words

You can use the Find command to find a complete word or part of a word in the current PDF document. Acrobat Reader looks for the word by reading every word on every page in the file, including text in form fields.

To find a word using the Find command:

- 1. Click the Find button (**Binoculars**), or choose Edit > Find.
- 2. Enter the text to find in the text box.
- 3. Select search options if necessary:

Match Whole Word Only finds only occurrences of the complete word you enter in the box. For example, if you search for the word *stick*, the words *tick* and *sticky* will not be highlighted.

Match Case finds only words that contain exactly the same capitalization you enter in the box.

Find Backwards starts the search from the current page and goes backwards through the document.

4. Click Find. Acrobat Reader finds the next occurrence of the word.

To find the next occurrence of the word:

Do one of the following:

Choose Edit > Find Again

Reopen the find dialog box, and click Find Again. (The word must already be in the Find text box.)

Copying and pasting text and graphics to another application

You can select text or a graphic in a PDF document, copy it to the Clipboard, and paste it into another application such as a word processor. You can also paste text into a PDF document note or into a bookmark. Once the selected text or graphic is on the Clipboard, you can switch to another application and paste it into another document.

Note: If a font copied from a PDF document is not available on the system displaying the copied text, the font cannot be preserved. A default font is substituted.

To select and copy it to the clipboard:

1. Select the text tool T, and do one of the following:

To select a line of text, select the first letter of the sentence or phrase and drag to the last letter.

To select multiple columns of text (horizontally), hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option (Mac OS) as you drag across the width of the document.



To select a column of text (vertically), Hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option+Command (Mac OS) as you drag the length of the document.

To select all the text on the page, choose Edit > Select All. In single page mode, all the text on the current page is selected. In Continuous or Continuous – facing mode, most of the text in the document is selected. When you release the mouse button, the selected text is highlighted. To deselect the text and start over, click anywhere outside the selected text. The Select All command will not select all the text in the document. A workaround for this (Windows) is to use the Edit > Copy command.

- 2. Choose Edit > Copy to copy the selected text to the clipboard.
- 3. To view the text, choose Window > Show Clipboard
 In Windows 95, the Clipboard Viewer is not installed by default and you cannot use the
 Show Clipboard command until it is installed. To install the Clipboard Viewer, Choose
 Start > Settings > Control Panel > Add/Remove Programs, and then click the Windows
 Setup tab. Double-click Accessories, check Clipboard Viewer, and click OK.



[REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN IN CLOSED SESSION 1 ON SEPTEMBER 16, 2003, BEGINS ON PAGE 281.] 2 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I UNDERSTAND THAT SUPERVISOR KNABE IS HERE. WE'LL NOW CALL THE MEETING TO ORDER. THE INVOCATION WILL BE 6 LED BY FATHER RIGOBERTO RODRIGUEZ, OF THE LADY OF GUADALUPE 7 8 CHURCH FROM THE FIRST DISTRICT, AND THE PLEDGE WILL BE BY JOSEPH SMITH, DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF MILITARY AND VETERANS 9 10 AFFAIRS. DID I MISS, WHAT'S HIS NAME? 11 FATHER FRANCISCO GOMEZ AGULIAR: WE WONDER ABOUT BEGINNINGS, 12 AND WE REALIZE THAT BEGINNINGS ARE USHERED IN BY SILENCE, THE 13 SILENCE THAT WE EXPERIENCE BECAUSE OF A LACK OF NOISE, AND THE 14 15 SILENCE THAT WE EXPERIENCE BECAUSE OF PEACE THAT WELLS UP IN 16 OUR SOULS AND OUR HEARTS. USHER BEGINNINGS FOR US THIS DAY. THERE ARE ALWAYS BEGINNINGS. I THINK OF THE BEGINNING OF THIS 17 18 NATION, I THINK OF THE CREATION OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THIS 19 NATION, AND I AM MINDFUL THESE DAYS OF THE MANY, MANY PEOPLES THAT ARE REPRESENTED AND ARE PART OF THE LIFE OF THIS GREAT 20 21 AND WONDERFUL COUNTRY, ESPECIALLY WOMEN AND MEN THAT COME FROM 22 CENTRAL AND SOUTH AMERICA AND MEXICO. WOMEN AND MEN FOR WHOM SEPTEMBER IS BEGINNINGS, BEGINNINGS BECAUSE OF MEN SUCH AS 23 HIDALGO... [SPEAKING SPANISH]... IT IS IN SILENCE, BECAUSE 24 THERE IS NO NOISE THAT DISTRACTS US FROM BEING A BROTHER AND 25



- 1 SISTER TO EACH OTHER. IT IS BECAUSE OF SILENCE THAT ALLOWS US
- 2 TO RECOGNIZE THE PEACE THAT LONGS TO WELL UP IN OUR SOULS, AND
- 3 WE ARE ALSO ABLE TO RECOGNIZE ELEMENTS OF FAITH, OF HOPE, AND
- 4 OF LOVE. AND WE CALL THE SOURCE OF THESE ELEMENTS OF FAITH AND
- 5 HOPE AND LOVE, NOT OURS, NOT OUR OWN CREATION; THE CREATION OF
- 6 A DIVINE PRESENCE. LET US BEGIN THIS DAY MINDFUL OF BEGINNINGS
- 7 OF THE PAST AND LET US BEGIN THIS DAY MINDFUL OF THE TASK THAT
- 8 IS SET BEFORE US. THANK YOU.

9

- 10 MARTIN "MARTY" FISHMAN: PLEASE PLACE YOUR RIGHT HAND OVER YOUR
- 11 HEART AND JOIN ME IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO OUR COUNTRY'S
- 12 FLAG. [PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE]

13

14 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

- 16 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR. IT'S MY PLEASURE TO MAKE
- 17 A PRESENTATION OF A CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION TO FATHER
- 18 FRANCISCO GOMEZ. FATHER GOMEZ HAS SERVED AS THE PASTOR OF OUR
- 19 LADY OF VICTORY CHURCH IN EAST L.A. SINCE 2001. HE GREW UP IN
- 20 SANTA ANA, RECEIVED A BACHELOR'S DEGREE IN ECONOMICS FROM CAL
- 21 STATE FULLERTON, STUDIED THEOLOGY IN WASHINGTON THEOLOGICAL
- 22 UNION IN MARYLAND, AND BECAME AN ORDAINED PRIEST IN 1983.
- 23 PRIOR TO HIS TENURE AT OUR LADY OF VICTORY, FATHER GOMEZ
- 24 PROVIDED PASTORAL CARE THROUGHOUT THE UNITED STATES AND MEXICO
- 25 IN ADDITION TO HIS DUTIES AND HIS CHURCH. HE ALSO SERVES AS A



- 1 COUNSELOR FOR HIS RELIGIOUS ORDER, THE MISSIONARY SERVANTS AND
- 2 MOST HOLY TRINITY CHURCH. AGAIN, WE THANK HIM FOR JOINING US
- 3 THIS MORNING AND I ALSO WANTED TO THANK HIM FOR ALL THE
- 4 LEADERSHIP THAT HE PROVIDES, NOT ONLY TO ALL OF THE PEOPLE
- 5 THAT ATTEND HIS CHURCH, BUT THE ENTIRE COMMUNITY. THANK YOU,
- 6 FATHER.

7

13

15

17

8 FATHER: THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. WE'LL GO ON WITH THE AGENDA.
- 11 WE'LL DO THE AGENDA. NO, HE'S NOT HERE. MR. SMITH DID IT. DID
- 12 I HAVE THE THE WRONG NAME FOR THE PRIEST?
- 14 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: I DIDN'T THINK SO.
- 16 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT.
- 18 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: OKAY. THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR, AND MEMBERS
- 19 OF THE BOARD, WE'LL BEGIN ON PAGE 8. AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF
- 20 THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION, ITEM 1-D AND 2-D.
- 22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY MOLINA, SECONDED BY ANTONOVICH.
- 23 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

24



- 1 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE HOUSING
- 2 AUTHORITY, ITEM 1-H AND 2-H.

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY KNABE.
- 5 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

6

- 7 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, ITEMS 1 THROUGH 19,
- 8 I HAVE THE FOLLOWING REQUEST. ON ITEM NUMBER 2, FOR THE
- 9 RECORD, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH VOTES 'NO.'

10

11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHICH ITEM WAS THAT?

12

- 13 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: THAT'S NUMBER 2. ON ITEM NUMBER 3, HOLD
- 14 FOR SUPERVISOR KNABE AND OTHERS. ON ITEM NUMBER 8, HOLD FOR
- 15 PETER BAXTER. ON ITEM NUMBER 10, HOLD FOR SUPERVISORS BURKE,
- 16 YAROSLAVSKY, AND KNABE. AND ON ITEM NUMBER 17, HOLD FOR
- 17 SUPERVISOR BURKE. THE REST ARE BEFORE YOU.

18

- 19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ON THE REMAINDER, MOVED BY YAROSLAVSKY,
- 20 SECONDED BY KNABE. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

21

22 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER --

- 24 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OH I'M SORRY, LET IT BE RECORDED THAT
- 25 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH VOTED "NO" ON ITEM --

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 2 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: NUMBER 2. 3 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: -- NUMBER 2. 4 5 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER, ITEMS 20 AND 21, 6 7 ON ITEM NUMBER 21, HOLD FOR SUPERVISOR BURKE. 8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ON ITEM 20, MOVED BY KNABE, SECONDED BY 9 YAROSLAVSKY. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. 10 11 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, ITEMS 22 12 13 AND 23. 14 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY MOLINA, SECONDED BY ANTONOVICH. 15 16 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. 17 18 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION, ITEM 19 24. 20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY KNABE. 21 22 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. 23 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: COMMUNITY AND SENIOR SERVICES, ITEM 25. 24

> The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY KNABE, SECONDED BY YAROSLAVSKY.
- 2 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

3

4 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: FIRE DEPARTMENT, ITEM 26.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY YAROSLAVSKY, SECONDED BY
- 7 ANTONOVICH. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

8

9 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: HEALTH SERVICES, ITEMS 27 THROUGH 29.

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY MOLINA, SECONDED BY YAROSLAVSKY.
- 12 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

13

- 14 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: HUMAN RESOURCES. ON ITEM 30, SUPERVISOR
- 15 YAROSLAVSKY REQUESTS A ONE-WEEK CONTINUANCE ONLY ON THE
- 16 PORTION RELATING TO THE H.I.V. COMMISSION STAFFING, AND THE
- 17 REST OF THAT ITEM IS BEFORE YOU.

18

- 19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ON THE PORTION RELATING TO H.I.V. STAFFING,
- 20 THAT WILL BE CONTINUED FOR ONE WEEK. ON THE REMAINDER, IT'S
- 21 MOVED BY YAROSLAVSKY, SECONDED BY KNABE. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 22 ORDERED.

23

24 SUP. KNABE: THAT'S ON 30?



CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: YES, NUMBER 30.

2

3 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YOU WANT TO KNOW WHAT YOU SECONDED RIGHT?

4

- 5 SUP. KNABE: WELL I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT THE REST OF
- 6 IT WAS CONTINUED --

7

8 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: MENTAL HEALTH, ITEM 31.

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY KNABE, SECONDED BY ANTONOVICH.
- 11 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

12

- 13 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: PARKS AND RECREATION. ON ITEM 32, HOLD
- 14 FOR SUPERVISOR KNABE.

15

16 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THAT WILL BE HELD. ITEM 32 WILL BE HELD.

17

18 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: PUBLIC WORKS, ITEMS 33 THROUGH 42.

19

- 20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY MOLINA, SECONDED BY ANTONOVICH.
- 21 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

22

23 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: ON PAGE 26, REGISTRAR RECORDER, ITEM 43.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY KNABE.
- 2 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

3

4 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: SHERIFF, ITEM 44.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY KNABE, SECONDED BY YAROSLAVSKY.
- 7 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

8

- 9 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: MISCELLANEOUS COMMUNICATIONS, ITEMS 45
- 10 AND 46. ON ITEM NUMBER 45, SUPERVISOR MOLINA REQUESTS THAT IT
- 11 BE REFERRED TO CLOSED SESSION.

12

13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: 45 WILL BE REFERRED TO CLOSED SESSION.

14

15 **CLERK VARONA-LUKENS:** AND 46 IS BEFORE YOU.

16

- 17 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY KNABE.
- 18 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

- 20 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: ORDINANCE FOR INTRODUCTION, 47, AND I'LL
- 21 READ THE SHORT TITLE INTO THE RECORD. 'AN ORDINANCE AMENDING
- 22 TITLE 6, SALARIES, OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY CODE RELATING TO
- 23 THE ADDITION, DELETION, AND CHANGING OF CERTAIN CLASSIFICATION
- 24 AND NUMBER OF ORDINANCE POSITIONS IN VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS AS A
- 25 RESULT OF THE BUDGET PROCESS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2003/2004.' AND



- 1 THIS RELATES TO AGENDA 30, WHERE A PORTION RELATING TO THE
- 2 H.I.V. COMMISSION STAFFING IS CONTINUED FOR ONE WEEK AT THE
- 3 REQUEST OF SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THE PORTION RELATING TO STAFFING AND
- 6 SALARIES IS CONTINUED FOR ONE WEEK. ON THE REMAINDER, IT'S
- 7 MOVED BY YAROSLAVSKY, SECONDED BY MOLINA. WITHOUT OBJECTION,
- 8 SO ORDERED.

9

10 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: ORDINANCE FOR ADOPTION, ITEM 48.

11

- 12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY KNABE.
- 13 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

14

- 15 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: SEPARATE MATTERS, ITEMS 49 AND 50. 49 IS
- 16 THE TREASURER AND TAX COLLECTOR'S RECOMMENDATION TO ADOPT
- 17 RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE AND SALE OF EL SEGUNDO
- 18 UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS 2001,
- 19 ELECTION SERIES 2003 IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$3,998,349.55.

20

- 21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND THAT'S MOVED BY KNABE, SECONDED BY
- 22 YAROSLAVSKY. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

- 24 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: ITEM 50, THE DIRECTOR REQUESTS A ONE-WEEK
- 25 CONTINUANCE TO SEPTEMBER 23RD, 2003.



SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THAT ITEM IS CONTINUED FOR ONE WEEK.

3

- 4 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: BUDGET MATTERS, 51 THROUGH 56, AND WE'LL
- 5 HOLD THOSE FOR HEARING, BUT AS NOTED ON THE GREEN SHEET, THE
- 6 BUDGET MATTERS WILL BE REORDERED ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA.

7

8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT.

9

- 10 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: ADDITIONS TO THE AGENDA REQUESTED BY
- 11 BOARD MEMBERS AND THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER, WHICH WERE
- 12 POSTED MORE THAN 72 HOURS IN ADVANCE OF THE MEETING, AS
- 13 INDICATED ON THE GREEN SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA. ITEM 57-A.

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY MOLINA.
- 16 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

17

18 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: 57-B.

19

- 20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY MOLINA, SECONDED BY KNABE. WITHOUT
- 21 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

22

23 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: 57-C.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY KNABE, SECONDED BY YAROSLAVSKY.
- 2 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

3

- 4 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: THAT COMPLETES THE READING OF THE AGENDA.
- 5 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' SPECIAL ITEMS BEGIN WITH SUPERVISORIAL
- 6 DISTRICT NUMBER 2.

- 8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I'D LIKE TO CALL UP THE EMPLOYEE OF THE
- 9 MONTH, AND IT'S A PLEASURE TO INTRODUCE THE SEPTEMBER
- 10 EMPLOYEE, AYALNESH BELETE, A 24-YEAR EMPLOYEE OF THE COUNTY OF
- 11 LOS ANGELES, IS CURRENTLY A CLINICAL PHARMACIST WITH THE THE
- 12 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES L.A.C./U.S.C. MEDICAL CENTER.
- 13 SHE'S AN ARDENT PATIENT ADVOCATE AND HAS INITIATED AND
- 14 COLLABORATED IN NUMEROUS PROJECTS TO ENHANCE PATIENT COMFORT
- 15 AND CARE, SUCH AS HER DEVELOPMENT OF A PAIN TREATMENT
- 16 ALGORITHM AND PROTOCOL FOR THE BURN UNIT. DR. BELETE HAS
- 17 PARTICIPATED IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF COMPETENCY EXAMS AND
- 18 TRAINING OF NEWLY-HIRED PHARMACISTS TO MAINTAIN A OUALITY OF
- 19 SERVICE AND TEACHES FOURTH LEVEL U.S.C. PHARMACY STUDENTS AND
- 20 U.S.C. PHARMACY STUDENTS ABOUT THE BURN UNIT AND HAS CONDUCTED
- 21 TRAINING FOR NURSES IN BURN UNIT ON MANY TOPICS, INCLUDING
- 22 COMMONLY USED ANTIBIOTICS AND PEDIATRIC CARDIAC RESUSCITATION
- 23 DRUG DOSES, AND SHE IS A FOUNDING MEMBER OF A NONPROFIT
- 24 ORGANIZATION CALLED TESFA, WHICH OPERATES A FAMILY
- 25 REHABILITATION PROGRAM THAT ASSISTS DESTITUTE AND HOMELESS



- 1 FAMILIES WITH UNDERAGE CHILDREN IN URBAN ETHIOPIA. TESFA HAS
- 2 HELPED OVER 300 INDIVIDUALS OUT OF POVERTY AND HAS RECENTLY
- 3 COMPLETED THE DEVELOPMENT OF A WATER SPRING IN A REMOTE RURAL
- 4 VILLAGE, MAKING AVAILABLE FRESH, CLEAN WATER TO 2,000
- 5 INDIVIDUALS. IN RECOGNITION OF THESE ACCOMPLISHMENTS, YOUR
- 6 DEDICATION AND HARD WORK, IT'S MY PLEASURE TO RECOGNIZE YOU AS
- 7 EMPLOYEE OF THE MONTH. [APPLAUSE]

8

- 9 DR. BELETE: GOOD MORNING, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, LADIES AND
- 10 GENTLEMEN. I'M HONORED TO BE SELECTED EMPLOYEE OF THE MONTH.
- 11 MY NAME IS AYALNESH BELETE, AND I'VE BEEN WORKING AS A
- 12 CLINICAL PHARMACIST AT L.A. COUNTY U.S.C. MEDICAL CENTER SINCE
- 13 1980. I WOULD LIKE TO TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY TO THANK SOME OF
- 14 MY COLLEAGUES. FELLOW CLINICAL PHARMACIST TERRY FUNK, NURSE
- 15 SUPERVISORS, MARIAN APACHEKO, AND RON VASQUEZ, Q.A. NURSE,
- 16 JEANNIE FUJI AND MY SUPERVISORS SANFORD MELNICK AND FRANK
- 17 CHENELLA. TOGETHER, THEY'VE HELPED MAKE OUR WORKPLACE WHERE
- 18 CREATIVE IDEAS ARE EMBRACED AND DEVELOPED FURTHER TO PROVIDE
- 19 PATIENTS THE BEST CARE POSSIBLE. THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

20

21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: HERE'S THE CASE FOR YOUR PIN.

22

23 DR. BELETE: OKAY, THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

24

25 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU.



1

- 2 FRED LEAF: THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES WOULD LIKE TO
- 3 EXPRESS ITS SINCERE APPRECIATION FOR THE MANY DEDICATED
- 4 EMPLOYEES WE HAVE IN OUR DEPARTMENT, PARTICULARLY AT OUR MAJOR
- 5 HOSPITALS LIKE L.A.C./U.S.C. MEDICAL CENTER. AND THIS YOUNG
- 6 LADY IS CERTAINLY REPRESENTATIVE OF THESE FINE EMPLOYEES, AND
- 7 WE RESPECT HER WORK AND LOOK TO MANY MORE YEARS OF HER SERVICE
- 8 WITH THE COUNTY. THANK YOU AGAIN AND CONGRATULATIONS. [
- 9 APPLAUSE]

- 11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I'D LIKE TO CALL GIL GARCETTI FORWARD. AS
- 12 WE KNOW, GIL GARCETTI SERVED AS DISTRICT ATTORNEY FROM '92 TO
- 13 2000. NOW HE'S TAKEN ON A NEW CAREER AS A PROFESSIONAL
- 14 PHOTOGRAPHER WITH THE PUBLICATION OF HIS BOOK, 'IRON, ERECTING
- 15 THE WALT DISNEY CONCERT HALL.' THIS BOOK SHOWS THE EXTENSIVE
- 16 IRON AND STEEL WORK NEEDED TO CONSTRUCT THE CONCERT HALL.
- 17 ARCHITECT, FRANK GEARY, WROTE THE FORWARD TO THIS BOOK, AND
- 18 TODAY WE HONOR GIL FOR ALLOWING LOS ANGELES COUNTY TO USE HIS
- 19 PHOTOGRAPHS IN THE COUNTY EXHIBITS AT THE CALIFORNIA STATE AND
- 20 LOS ANGELES COUNTY FAIRS. THE COUNTY RECEIVED A SECOND PLACE
- 21 AWARD FOR HIS EXHIBIT DURING THE STATE FAIR IN SACRAMENTO. THE
- 22 COUNTY EXHIBIT HAS PROVEN TO BE VERY POPULAR WITH THE PUBLIC
- 23 IN SACRAMENTO. PEOPLE HAVE WAITED IN LINE TO VIEW THE
- 24 VIDEOTAPE AND HAVE GATHERED AROUND THE EXHIBIT THROUGHOUT THE
- 25 DAY. NOW ON VIEW IN THE COUNTY FAIR IN POMONA, THE EXHIBIT IS



- 1 ONCE AGAIN A POPULAR VENUE WITH PEOPLE LINING UP TO VIEW THE
- 2 DISPLAY. IT'S WITH GREAT PRIDE IN THE SUCCESS OF THE COUNTY
- 3 EXHIBIT, AND WITH THANKS TO GIL GARCETTI FOR LENDING HIS
- 4 TALENT AND HIS EXPERTISE THAT WE COMMEND HIM ON BEHALF OF THE
- 5 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS FOR A JOB WELL DONE AND WISH HIM BEST
- 6 WISHES IN HIS NEW CAREER. GIL GARCETTI: THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE
- 7]

8

9 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: NOTICE HIS CHANGE OF ATTIRE.

- 11 GIL GARCETTI: YES, YES. HEY! THIS IS THE FIRST TIME I'VE BEEN
- 12 IN THE BOARD ROOM IN ALMOST THREE YEARS. I DIDN'T HAVE TO WEAR
- 13 A TIE, SO I DIDN'T WEAR ONE. THINGS HAVE CHANGED CONSIDERABLY.
- 14 WHEN, AND DON'T TAKE THIS THE WRONG WAY IF THE L.A. TIMES IS
- 15 HERE. WHEN YOU DON'T HAVE TO READ THE NEWSPAPER IN THE
- 16 MORNING, IT MAKES A HUGE DIFFERENCE IN ONE'S LIFE. IF YOU
- 17 WANTED TO READ IT, YOU GO AHEAD. I WANT TO SALUTE A COUPLE OF
- 18 ENTITIES, PERHAPS. ONE IS THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, FOR
- 19 SHOWING THE LEADERSHIP REALLY THAT MADE THE WALT DISNEY
- 20 CONCERT HALL POSSIBLE, AND YEAH NO ONE SHOULD SHAKE THEIR HEAD
- 21 NO, IT WAS OTHER PEOPLE WHO FUNDED IT. HAD IT NOT BEEN FOR THE
- 22 LEADERSHIP OF THIS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, WE WOULDN'T HAVE THIS
- 23 TODAY, AND THIS STRUCTURE NOW IS SUCH A STRUCTURE THAT UPLIFTS
- 24 SPIRITS. YOU WILL SEE PEOPLE COMING FROM ALL OVER THE WORLD,
- 25 AS WE ALREADY DO, JUST TO SEE THIS CONCERT HALL, AND THAT



- 1 MEANS MORE MONEY FOR THEIR BUSINESSES, IT'S GREAT FOR THE
- 2 ECONOMY. BUT WHEN YOU GO OUT THERE AND YOU TAKE A LOOK, AND
- 3 I'LL SHOW YOU A NEW PHOTOGRAPH I TOOK, IT'LL BE IN MY NEXT
- 4 BOOK, HERE'S -- THIS IS THE WALT DISNEY CONCERT HALL, IT'S
- 5 REALLY ABSTRACT ART, ALMOST, WHEN YOU GO AND SEE THIS,
- 6 REMEMBER ONE THING: IT WASN'T JUST FRANK GEARY, IT JUST WASN'T
- 7 HIS TEAM THAT DID IT. IT TOOK A LOT OF PEOPLE, BUT TRY AND
- 8 REMEMBER THE IRON WORKERS AND THE TRADES PEOPLE WHO MADE THIS
- 9 POSSIBLE. THEY NEVER GET ANY THANKS, THEY NEVER GET ANY
- 10 CREDIT, BUT YET THEY ARE THE ONES THAT MADE THIS POSSIBLE. SO
- 11 IT IS, YOU KNOW, OBVIOUSLY SOMETHING I NEVER PLANNED ON DOING,
- 12 THIS WAS THE ORIGINAL BOOK, YVONNE, YOU CAN SEE, AND SEE THIS
- 13 MAN RIGHT HERE? HIS NAME IS DOUG BRASHY. HE IS AN IRON WORKER.
- 14 I ASKED DOUG ONCE WE DECIDED TO DO THE BOOK, AND ALL THE
- 15 PROCEEDS ARE GOING TO THE IRON WORKERS SCHOLARSHIP FUND, I
- 16 SAID, "DOUG, YOU TOLD ME THAT YOU HAD A SIX-MONTH-OLD CHILD
- 17 AND A TWO-YEAR-OLD CHILD, WHAT DOES YOUR WIFE THINK ABOUT WHAT
- 18 YOU DO?" AND HE SMILED AND HE SAID, "WELL GIL, DO YOU REMEMBER
- 19 THAT PHOTOGRAPH YOU GAVE ME?' AND IT WAS THIS PHOTOGRAPH I'D
- 20 GIVEN HIM, I SAID 'YEAH,' 'WHEN MY WIFE LOOKED AT IT SHE SAID,
- 21 THIS IS WHAT YOU DO?"' THAT'S WHAT IT'S ALL ABOUT. SO THESE
- 22 ARE SPECIAL PEOPLE, BUT WE CAN SEE THAT IT TOOK EVERYONE,
- 23 INCLUDING THE BOARD, INCLUDING THE PEOPLE WHO RAISED THE MONEY
- 24 TO GET THIS DONE. AND I SEE MY SON IS HERE. I'D LOVE TO SAY
- 25 NOW --



1

2 SUP. KNABE: HE HAS TO READ THE PAPER EVERY DAY.

3

- 4 GIL GARCETTI: YEAH, HE DOES, HE DOES, HE SURELY DOES. HE IS
- 5 THE POLITICAL FIGURE IN THE FAMILY NOW, BUT AS MUCH AS I AM
- 6 PUBLISHED NOW, YOU SHOULD KNOW, AT AGE 12, HE BEAT ME TO THE
- 7 PUNCH. HE WAS PUBLISHED FIRST AS A PHOTOGRAPHER, GOT \$500 FOR
- 8 ONE OF HIS PHOTOGRAPHS, SO WE'RE JUST SWITCHING ROLES NOW.
- 9 RIGHT, ERIC? THANK YOU, YVONNE. THANK YOU, MEMBERS OF THE
- 10 BOARD, FOR THIS HONOR, AND IT IS AN HONOR. [APPLAUSE]

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MADAM CHAIR, PERHAPS MR. GARCETTI WOULD LIKE
- 13 TO TELL US ABOUT HIS NEXT BOOK, WHILE YOU'RE HERE. WHEN'S IT
- 14 COMING OUT, WHAT IS IT ABOUT? YOU DIDN'T PUT ME UP TO THIS, I
- 15 --

16

- 17 GIL GARCETTI: YEAH, HE'S NOT MY SHIELD, REALLY. OCTOBER 15TH,
- 18 THE NEXT BOOK IS CALLED "FROZEN MUSIC," AND THEY ARE PANORAMA
- 19 PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE WALT DISNEY CONCERT HALL, BUT UNLESS YOU
- 20 KNEW THEY WERE WALT DISNEY CONCERT HALL, YOU'D JUST THINK THEY
- 21 WERE ABSTRACT ART, THAT'S WHAT IT IS. IT COMES OUT OCTOBER
- 22 15TH, LOOK FOR IT.

- 24 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YOU HAVE A VIDEOTAPE THAT THEY'RE GOING TO
- 25 SHOW REAL FAST.



1
2 **GIL GARCETTI:** OH, YES. [MUSIC] [APPLAUSE]

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: CONGRATULATIONS. THANK YOU. I HAVE
- 5 PRESENTATIONS THAT I'D LIKE TO ASK THE SUPERINTENDENTS OF SOME
- 6 OF OUR SCHOOLS TO COME FORWARD. WE'RE GOING TO RECOGNIZE AND
- 7 HONOR THE SUPERINTENDENTS OF ROSEMEAD DISTRICT, CULVER CITY
- 8 UNIFIED, SANTA MONICA, MALIBU UNIFIED, NORWALK-LA MIRADA
- 9 UNIFIED, PASADENA UNIFIED, FOR THEIR COMMITMENT TO PROVIDE ALL
- 10 STUDENTS IN THEIR DISTRICTS WITH A COMPREHENSIVE EDUCATION IN
- 11 DANCE, MUSIC, THEATRE, AND VISUAL ARTS. REPRESENTING EACH ONE
- 12 OF THESE COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS, THESE SCHOOL
- 13 DISTRICTS ARE FIRST FIVE DISTRICTS IN THE COUNTY OF LOS
- 14 ANGELES TO COMMIT TO WORK WITH A CONSULTANT OVER THE COURSE OF
- 15 THE YEAR TO ASSESS ARTS, EDUCATION IN THEIR DISTRICT, WRITE
- 16 AND ADOPT AN ARTS EDUCATION POLICY AND WRITE AND ADOPT A
- 17 BUDGETED STRATEGIC PLAN. THE EFFORT OF THESE SCHOOL DISTRICTS
- 18 IS SUPPORTED BY 'ARTS FOR ALL,' THE COUNTY REGIONAL BLUEPRINT
- 19 FOR ARTS EDUCATION, AND I'M JOINED TODAY BY DR. DARLENE
- 20 ROBLES, WHO IS THE SUPERINTENDENT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 21 SCHOOLS, TO TALK ABOUT THIS IMPORTANT INITIATIVE. DR. ROBLES?

- 23 DR. DARLENE ROBLES: THANK YOU, CHAIRWOMAN BURKE. LAST AUGUST
- 24 THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ACKNOWLEDGED THEIR SUPPORT FOR THE
- 25 ARTS IN OUR SCHOOLS BY ADOPTING THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY



- 1 REGIONAL BLUEPRINT FOR ARTS EDUCATION. THIS BLUEPRINT SPELLS
- 2 OUT A 10-YEAR PLAN TO ENSURE THAT EVERY SCHOOL IN OUR COUNTY
- 3 WILL DEVELOP A K-12 SEQUENTIAL ARTS PROGRAM SO THAT EVERY ONE
- 4 OF OUR 1.7 MILLION STUDENTS IN THE COUNTY WILL RECEIVE A
- 5 QUALITY ARTS EDUCATION CURRICULUM. THE WORK OF THESE FIVE
- 6 DISTRICTS OVER THE COURSE OF THIS YEAR IS TO BEGIN THE FIRST
- 7 STEP IN WHICH EVERY ONE OF OUR 82 SCHOOL DISTRICTS WILL ADOPT
- 8 A BLUEPRINT TO IMPLEMENT THE ARTS, INCLUDING THE PLAN AND A
- 9 BUDGET, AND THESE FIVE DISTRICTS TRULY ARE AT THE FOREFRONT BY
- 10 COMMITTING THEIR RESOURCES THIS SCHOOL YEAR TO BE A MODEL AND
- 11 A PILOT FOR THE OTHER SCHOOL DISTRICTS IN THE COUNTY. EACH
- 12 YEAR, AN ADDITIONAL FIVE DISTRICTS WILL MAKE THE SAME
- 13 COMMITMENT. WHEN THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ADOPTED THIS
- 14 BLUEPRINT, THEY ALSO AUTHORIZED THE FORMATION OF A COUNTY
- 15 TASKFORCE ON ARTS EDUCATION, AND WITH ME TODAY ARE MY FELLOW
- 16 TASKFORCE MEMBERS, AND I'D LIKE TO INTRODUCE THEM. MARK
- 17 SLAVKIN, VICE PRESIDENT OF THE EDUCATION OF MUSIC CENTER, DR.
- 18 CARL SOUTHKIN, YOU CAN APPLAUD THEM, I GUESS. [APPLAUSE]

20 DR. DARLENE ROBLES: DR. CARL SOUTHKIN, DEAN, COLLEGE OF ARTS

- 21 AND LETTER, CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY OF LOS ANGELES. LORI
- 22 SHELL, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE CALIFORNIA ALLIANCE FOR ARTS
- 23 EDUCATION WHO IS REPRESENTED TODAY BY PEGGY BURKE WITH THE
- 24 ALLIANCE. [APPLAUSE]

25



- 1 DR. DARLENE ROBLES: JANICE PULVER, VICE PRESIDENT OF CORPORATE
- 2 AFFAIRS FOR SONY PICTURES ENTERTAINMENT. AND OUR OWN LAURA
- 3 ZUCKER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY ARTS
- 4 COMMISSION. THIS IS OUR LEADERSHIP TEAM, YOUR LEADERSHIP TEAM
- 5 TO ENSURE THAT THIS BLUEPRINT FOR THE ARTS IS IMPLEMENTED
- 6 WITHIN EVERY SCHOOL DISTRICT IN OUR COUNTY WITHIN THE NEXT 10
- 7 YEARS. AND I WANT TO THANK THE BOARD ON BEHALF OF ALL OF THE
- 8 1.7 MILLION CHILDREN FOR THIS COMMITMENT TO THE ARTS ON BEHALF
- 9 OF OUR COMMUNITY. THANK YOU.

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU, DR. ROBLES. AT THIS TIME, I'D
- 12 LIKE TO ASK DR. AMY AMONA PEREZ, SUPERINTENDENT OF ROSEMEAD
- 13 SCHOOL DISTRICT, TO COME FORWARD. CONGRATULATIONS TO YOU. TURN
- 14 AROUND THIS WAY.

15

- 16 DR. PEREZ: WELL, WE ARE QUITE HONORED TO BE INVITED TO BE ONE
- 17 OF THE FOREFRONT DISTRICTS, THE VANGUARD DISTRICTS IN L.A.
- 18 COUNTY. AND IN ROSEMEAD WE HOPE TO BRING YOU SOME EXCITING
- 19 NEWS ABOUT ALL OF THAT WE ACHIEVE FOR THE ARTS ALLIANCE, SO
- 20 THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR THIS HONOR. APPRECIATE IT. [APPLAUSE]
- 21 [MIXED VOICES]

- 23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: CONGRATULATIONS. TO LAURA, DR. LAURA
- 24 MOGAVI, AND GUESS WHERE, SHE'S FROM CULVER CITY,



- 1 CONGRATULATIONS TO YOU. SHE ALWAYS DOES A GREAT JOB. [
- 2 APPLAUSE]

3

- 4 DR. LAURA MOGAVI: THANK YOU FOR RECOGNIZING THE IMPORTANCE OF
- 5 ARTS IN OUR STUDENTS' EDUCATION, AND THANK YOU, MARK SLAVKIN,
- 6 FROM THE MUSIC CENTER, JANICE PULVER FROM SONY, AND TO ALL OF
- 7 OUR STAFF AND BOARD MEMBERS AND THE COUNTY SUPERVISORS FOR
- 8 RECOGNIZING THIS IMPORTANT SUBJECT. THANK YOU.

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE'RE GOING TO TAKE ANOTHER PICTURE. DR.
- 11 JOHN DACKS, THE SUPERINTENDENT, SANTA MONICA MALIBU UNIFIED,
- 12 AND HE'S REPRESENTED TODAY BY TOM WHALEY. WHERE DID ZEV GO?
- 13 WE'LL TAKE A PICTURE AND JUST -- YOU JUST SAY A WORD AND THEN
- 14 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY WILL BE HERE.

15

- 16 TOM WHALEY: THANK YOU. I JUST WANTED TO THANK THE BOARD AND
- 17 MARK AND LAURA FOR ALL THEIR HELP AND LORI SHELL AND
- 18 CONSIDERING US TO BE ONE OF THE VANGUARD DISTRICTS. WE'RE
- 19 REALLY EXCITED ABOUT WHAT THE OUTCOMES WILL HOLD FOR OUR
- 20 DISTRICT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

21

- 22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND DR. GINGER SHADDOCK, SUPERINTENDENT OF
- 23 NORWALK-LA MIRADA UNIFIED, REPRESENTED HERE BY CHRIS FORHAN. [
- 24 APPLAUSE]



1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WOULD YOU LIKE TO SAY A WORD?

2

- 3 CHRIS FORHAN: YEAH, JUST ON BEHALF OF THE 23,000 STUDENTS THAT
- 4 ATTEND THE NORWALK-LA MIRADA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, WE
- 5 APPRECIATE THE RECOGNITION TO START THE SPIRIT GOING, AND
- 6 WE'RE GOING TO MAKE IT WORK. THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE] [MIXED
- 7 VOICES]

8

- 9 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: DO YOU WANT TO TAKE A SEPARATE ONE WITH
- 10 JOHN. WHY DON'T YOU TAKE A SEPARATE ONE. OKAY. PERCY CLARK IS
- 11 NOT HERE, FROM PASADENA UNIFIED, BUT DR. ROBLES WILL ACCEPT ON
- 12 HIS BEHALF. AND SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH? [MIXED VOICES]

13

- 14 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
- 15 CONGRATULATIONS, AND SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY'S RIGHT OVER HERE.
- 16 CONGRATULATIONS. NOW WE HAVE SOMETHING WE DO ONCE A YEAR, 2003
- 17 WALK AMERICA AWARDS. NOT 2003 WALK AMERICA, BUT WE DO WALK
- 18 AMERICA ONCE A YEAR, AND ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
- 19 AND THE THOUSANDS OF BABIES WHO ARE HELPED BY THE MARCH OF
- 20 DIMES, I WISH TO THANK OUR EMPLOYEES FOR THEIR GENEROSITY AND
- 21 CARING SPIRIT. AS THIS YEAR'S WALK AMERICA CHAIR, I'M PLEASED
- 22 TO REPORT THAT THE COUNTY TEAM PLACED FIRST IN THIS YEAR'S
- 23 WALK, BEATING THE CITY OF L.A. FOR THE FIRST TIME. [APPLAUSE
- 24]



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WALK AMERICA TEAM CAPTAINS AND WALKERS
- 2 RAISED OVER 338,000 TO PLACE AS THE TOP OVERALL TEAM IN THE
- 3 STATE. I WANT TO PERSONALLY THANK THIS YEAR'S MARCH OF DIMES
- 4 GUARDIAN ANGELS FOR THEIR LEADERSHIP. CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE
- 5 OFFICER, DAVID JANSSEN; HEALTH SERVICES DIRECTOR, DR.
- 6 GARTHWAITE; D.P.S.S. DIRECTOR, BRYCE YOKOMIZO, AND ESPECIALLY
- 7 FIRE CHIEF FREEMAN AND SHERIFF LEE BACA FOR REPRESENTING ME AT
- 8 THE YEAR'S GRIFFITH PARK WALK. I'D ALSO LIKE TO THANK THE
- 9 C.A.O.'S ORGANIZING TEAM HEADED BY EVELYN GUTIERREZ, WHO'S
- 10 RETIRING. NOW THAT THE COUNTY BEAT THE CITY, SHE DOESN'T HAVE
- 11 ANYTHING ELSE TO HAVE AS A GOAL, AND WE WANT TO THANK ALL THE
- 12 WALK AMERICA TEAM CAPTAINS FOR THEIR HARD WORK, BUT YOU CAN'T
- 13 SAY ENOUGH ABOUT EVELYN, SHE'S WORKED SO HARD ON ALL OF THESE
- 14 EVENTS THAT BENEFIT CHILDREN, THOSE WHO HAVE CANCER, ALL OF
- 15 THE THINGS THAT MAKE A DIFFERENCE. AND ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY
- WALK AMERICA TEAM, I'M PLEASED TO PRESENT A CHECK FOR \$338,000
- 17 TO RITA HOPKINS, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, MARCH OF DIMES. [
- 18 APPLAUSE]

19

21

20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: CONGRATULATIONS.

22 RITA HOPKINS: THANK YOU CHAIRWOMAN BURKE AND MEMBERS OF THE

- 23 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. THIS IS TRULY AN HONOR. ON BEHALF OF THE
- 24 MARCH OF DIMES AND WALK AMERICA, WE ARE PLEASED AND PROUD TO
- 25 CONGRATULATE THE COUNTY'S TEAM ON PLACING NUMBER ONE AMONG



- 1 MORE THAN 700 TEAMS PARTICIPATING IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.
- 2 THIS IS AN AWESOME DISTINCTION, AND I KNOW A LOT OF HARD WORK,
- 3 DEDICATION, COMMITMENT, AND, MOST OF ALL, EXEMPLARY LEADERSHIP
- 4 BY EVERYONE. ALL DEPARTMENT HEADS, EMPLOYEES, AND NOTABLY,
- 5 MISS EVELYN GUTIERREZ, OR I SHOULD SAY, COMMISSIONER
- 6 GUTIERREZ, TO BE EXACT, INVOLVED WENT IN TO ACHIEVING IT. AND
- 7 IT'S TRUE, YOU BEAT THE CITY. CONGRATULATIONS AGAIN. YOU -- [
- 8 APPLAUSE]

9

- 10 RITA HOPKINS: YOU CERTAINLY SET THE BAR FOR ALL TO FOLLOW. WE
- 11 LOVE TO SEE SUCH SPIRITED COMPETITION BECAUSE IT BRINGS UP THE
- 12 BEST IN ALL OF US, AND ESPECIALLY BECAUSE THE REAL WINNERS ARE
- 13 THE BABIES AND FAMILIES WE ALL HELP WHEN WE FIGHT BIRTH
- 14 DEFECTS AND PREMATURE BIRTH. SO ON BEHALF OF ALL THOSE
- 15 IMPACTED BY THE GENEROSITY OF COUNTY EMPLOYEES, IT GIVES ME
- 16 GREAT PLEASURE TO PRESENT THIS YEAR'S WALK AMERICA CHAIR,
- 17 SUPERVISOR BURKE, WITH THE MARCH OF DIMES WALK AMERICA TOP
- 18 TEAM AWARD. [APPLAUSE]

19

- 20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND WE NEED TO GET ALL THE MEMBERS OF THE
- 21 BOARD UP HERE FOR THIS. YES, WE CAN GET -- SUPERVISOR MOLINA,
- 22 CAN WE GET YOU UP FOR THIS, THEY'RE GIVING US THIS AWARD,
- 23 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH? THANK YOU VERY MUCH.



- 1 RITA HOPKINS: IT IS -- OOPS. OKAY. IT IS ALSO MY HONOR TO
- 2 PRESENT YOU PERSONALLY, MADAM CHAIR, WITH A TOKEN OF OUR
- 3 DEEPEST GRATITUDE FOR TAKING THE HELM ON THIS YEAR'S CAMPAIGN.

4

5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE].

6

- 7 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: PRESENTED IN APPRECIATION -- FOR YOUR
- 8 UNPARALLELED LEADERSHIP AND HELPING TO MAKE THE COUNTY THE
- 9 NUMBER ONE TEAM. OKAY. [APPLAUSE]

10

11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU.

- 13 RITA HOPKINS: THE MARCH OF DIMES IS BLESSED TO HAVE PARTNERS
- 14 SUCH AS THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, WHOSE SUPPORT OVER THE PAST
- 15 TWO DECADES HAS AND WILL CONTINUE TO MAKE A SIGNIFICANT
- 16 DIFFERENCE IN OUR EFFORTS TO ENSURE THAT ALL BABIES HAVE A
- 17 HEALTHY START IN LIFE. IT IS NO EXAGGERATION TO STATE THAT
- 18 WITHOUT THE HELP OF EACH AND EVERY COUNTY EMPLOYEE, OUR ROAD
- 19 WILL SURELY BE MUCH MORE DIFFICULT AND OUR MISSION, FARTHER TO
- 20 OUR REACH. AS WE MOVE FORWARD WITH PLANS FOR OUR 2004 WALK
- 21 AMERICA, WE EAGERLY LOOK TO YET ANOTHER BANNER YEAR FOR THE
- 22 COUNTY. WE HAVE NO DOUBT THAT, UNDER THE GUIDANCE OF INCOMING
- 23 CHAIR, THE HONORABLE DON KNABE, AND BUILDING ON THIS SOLID AS
- 24 A ROCK FOUNDATION THAT ALL OF YOU HAVE LAID THIS YEAR UNDER
- 25 YOUR LEADERSHIP, WE WILL BE HERE NEXT YEAR CELEBRATING YET



- 1 ANOTHER UNPRECEDENTED SUCCESS FOR THE COUNTY TEAM. THANK YOU
- 2 AGAIN FOR ALL YOUR SUPPORT. WE COULD NOT DO IT WITHOUT YOU.

3

4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: NOW WE -- IF YOU WOULD JOIN ME IN
- 7 PRESENTING THE WALK AMERICA 2003 AWARDS. WE'D NOW LIKE TO
- 8 RECOGNIZE THE TOP FUNDRAISING DEPARTMENTS, TOP WALKERS AND
- 9 SPECIAL RECOGNITION AWARD WINNERS. THE WALK AMERICA PLATINUM
- 10 AWARD IS PRESENTED TO HEALTH SERVICES, WHO RAISED \$99,376, A
- 11 36% INCREASE OVER LAST YEAR. [ENTHUSIASTIC CHEERS AND
- 12 APPLAUSE]

13

- 14 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: TEAM CAPTAIN, CYNTHIA GONZALEZ AND TEAM
- 15 MEMBERS. AND FRED LEAF WHO'S HERE REPRESENTING DR. GARTHWAITE.
- 16 [INDISTINCT VOICES] [APPLAUSE]

17

- 18 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: CONGRATULATIONS. CONGRATULATIONS. NOW THE
- 19 GOLD AWARD IS PRESENTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SOCIAL
- 20 SERVICES, WHO RAISED \$59,409, A 33% INCREASE OVER LAST YEAR.
- 21 TEAM CAPTAIN, DIANNE FONSECA AND TEAM MEMBERS AND BRYCE
- 22 YOKOMIZO. [APPLAUSE]

23

24 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WHERE IS THE TEAM? CONGRATULATIONS.



1 DIANNE FONSECA: THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

2

- 3 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE, WHO RAISED
- 4 \$24,169, AN 83% INCREASE OVER LAST YEAR. DAVID JANSSEN, EVELYN
- 5 GUTIERREZ, THE WALK AMERICA PROGRAM DIRECTOR, NATALIE JIMENEZ,
- 6 TEAM CAPTAIN CRAIG HIRAKAWA. OH THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT,
- 7 WE'LL GO -- HAVE TO GO BACK? I HAVE TO GO BACK FIRST. NO.
- 8 OKAY. WELL, WE'LL GO BACK LATER. OKAY. HERE WE GO, I'M JUST SO
- 9 EAGER TO GET TO THIS IMPROVEMENT, I WANT TO TELL YOU 83%.
- 10 CONGRATULATIONS. AND I OVERLOOKED THE SILVER DEPARTMENT. THE
- 11 SILVER IS THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, WHO RAISED \$25,606,
- 12 SHERIFF LEE BACA AND TEAM CAPTAIN, JENNIFER RUSSELL. HE'S NOT
- 13 HERE, OKAY WE HAVE A TEAM CALL, ANYONE HERE FROM THE SHERIFF'S
- 14 DEPARTMENT? OH OKAY. CONGRATULATIONS.

15

16 **SPEAKER:** THANK YOU.

17

- 18 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THE NEXT AWARD IS THE BRONZE AWARD, AND WE
- 19 HAVE THREE WINNERS. THE FIRST IS THE REGISTRAR RECORDER, CONNY
- 20 MCCORMACK, DIRECTOR, TEAM CAPTAIN, CAROL WILLIAMS. [APPLAUSE
- 21]

- 23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: CONGRATULATIONS. FIRE DEPARTMENT, WHO
- 24 RAISED \$15,284, A 103% INCREASE. ACCEPTING IS FIRE CHIEF
- 25 FREEMAN AND TEAM CAPTAIN, CHRISTINA KAJAR. [APPLAUSE]



1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: CONGRATULATIONS. [MIXED VOICES] 2 3 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: CONGRATULATIONS. THAT WAS CHIEF LOCKHART 4 5 AND CAPTAIN MIRANDA WHO JOINED THEM. CONGRATULATIONS. OUR LAST BRONZE WINNER IS MENTAL HEALTH, WHO RAISED \$14,960, DR. MARVIN 6 SOUTHARD, DIRECTOR, AND TEAM CAPTAIN SHERYL LEE. 7 8 CONGRATULATIONS. CONGRATULATIONS. THE NEXT FOUR WALK AMERICA TEAMS ARE PRESENTED WITH SPECIAL RECOGNITION. ALTERNATE PUBLIC 9 10 DEFENDER. ACCEPTING, JANICE BUKAI AND TEAM CAPTAIN, TINA BARSEMUS. [APPLAUSE] 11 12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: CONGRATULATIONS. BEACHES AND HARBOR. 13 ACCEPTING STAN WISNIEWSKI, AND TEAM CAPTAIN VALERIA TABUS. [14 15 APPLAUSE] 16 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: CONGRATULATIONS. HERE WE ARE. THANK YOU. 17 18 AND COUNTY COUNSEL, ACCEPTING IS COUNTY COUNSEL, LLOYD PELLMAN AND TEAM CAPTAIN, NAOMI GONZALEZ. [APPLAUSE] 19 20 21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: PROBATION DEPARTMENT. ACCEPTING IS RICHARD 22 SHUMSKY AND TEAM CAPTAIN, EILEEN CROWE. [APPLAUSE] 23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND NOW WE'LL PRESENT THE SPECIAL AWARDS TO 24 THE COUNTY INDIVIDUAL WALKERS, STARTING WITH THE COUNTY'S 25



```
PLATINUM STAR WALKER, JACOB AGUILAR, D.P.S.S., WHO RAISED
1
    $23,089. [ APPLAUSE ]
2
3
    SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THE GOLD STAR WALKER, CAROL WILLIAMS,
4
5
    REGISTRAR RECORDER, $14,527. SHE'S ALWAYS IN THERE. [ APPLAUSE
6
    ]
7
8
    SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: CONGRATULATIONS. AND TWO BRONZE STAR
    WALKERS THIS YEAR: DARCUS BARRY, MENTAL HEALTH, RAISING $4,484
9
10
    AND DAVID JANSSEN, RAISING $4,116. [ APPLAUSE ]
11
    SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: CONGRATULATIONS. [ MIXED VOICES ]
12
13
    SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OKAY, THE SILVER AWARDS WE -- AND THE
14
15
    SILVER STAR WALKER, DIANNE FONSECA. CONGRATULATIONS. THANK
16
    YOU. AND SILVER WALKER, PATRICIA KALVABA. [ APPLAUSE ]
17
18
    PATRICIA KALVABA: CAN I SAY SOMETHING?
19
    SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SURELY, GO RIGHT AHEAD.
20
21
22
    PATRICIA KALVABA: WHEN I CAME HERE ABOUT FIVE YEARS AGO, AND
23
    ONE OF MY TEENAGERS AT M.L.K. SAID, "WHY DON'T YOU COLLECT
    MONEY." I JUST WANTED TO WALK. I REMEMBER SUPERVISOR BURKE
24
    GAVE ME MY FIRST SPOT AND IN THAT TIME, IN A SHORT PERIOD OF
25
```



- 1 TIME, I RAISED OVER \$600, AND WHEN I -- EVERY YEAR, THEY ASK
- 2 ME TO COME AND HELP, COME AND HELP, AND THAT'S WHY I'M HERE
- 3 EVERY YEAR.

4

5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND THAT'S WHY YOU'RE A SILVER WALKER.

6

- 7 PATRICIA KALVABA: SILVER WALKER AND I, FIRST OF ALL, I THANK
- 8 THE LORD THAT HE GIVE ME THE ENERGY AND THAT HE HELP THE
- 9 PEOPLE GIVE TO ME.

10

11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: GREAT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

12

13 PATRICIA KALVABA: HE COMES FIRST.

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ABSOLUTELY. I WISH TO PRESENT A SPECIAL --
- 16 WASN'T THAT WONDERFUL? A SPECIAL RECOGNITION AWARD TO JENNIFER
- 17 NICKERSON, THE COUNTY'S MARCH OF DIMES CAMPAIGN LIAISON FOR
- 18 HER HARD WORK AND DEDICATION TO THE COUNTY'S CAMPAIGN. WITH
- 19 HER LEADERSHIP, THE COUNTY TEAM RAISED AN ALL-TIME HIGH AND
- 20 BEAT THE CITY. CONGRATULATIONS, JENNIFER. [CHEERS AND
- 21 APPLAUSE]

- 23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IN CLOSING, I WANT TO AGAIN THANK OUR
- 24 EMPLOYEES FOR HELPING SAVE BABIES AND ENRICHING THE LIVES OF
- 25 THOUSANDS OF FAMILIES THROUGHOUT L.A. COUNTY, AND TO EVELYN



- 1 GUTIERREZ, DON'T THINK YOU'RE OFF THE HOOK. WE'LL BE LOOKING
- 2 FOR YOU AGAIN AND AGAIN. EVELYN, DON'T THINK YOU'RE GETTING
- 3 OFF THE HOOK BY RETIRING. THERE'S NO SUCH THING. YOU DID A
- 4 GREAT JOB OVER ALL THESE YEARS. WE DEEPLY APPRECIATE IT. [
- 5 APPLAUSE] [MIXED VOICES]

6

- 7 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE HAVE WITH US TODAY THE GRAND JURY WHO
- 8 ARE IN THE CENTER SECTION, THE FIRST THREE ROWS, WILLIAM
- 9 SULLIVAN IS THE FOREPERSON, FOREMAN OF THE GRAND JURY. I'D
- 10 LIKE TO ASK THE GRAND JURY TO STAND, AND WE WANT TO RECOGNIZE
- 11 THEM FOR THEIR HARD WORK. CONGRATULATIONS. THANK YOU FOR BEING
- 12 WITH US. I KNOW WE HAVE A CHANCE TO GO OUT AND VISIT WITH YOU
- 13 FROM TIME TO TIME, BUT WE LIKE FOR YOU TO VISIT WITH US, TOO.
- 14 THANK YOU. SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY HAS NO PRESENTATIONS.

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE: MADAM CHAIR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, IT'S MY
- 17 PLEASURE TO CALL FORWARD THE HONORABLE STEVE NAPOLITANO FROM
- 18 THE CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH. JEFF DOLLAN IS THE CITY MANAGER,
- 19 AS WELL I BELIEVE FRAN FRANCIS IS HERE AS WELL STEVE, AS I
- 20 UNDERSTAND, I'D LIKE THEM TO COME FORWARD. IF WE COULD JUST
- 21 KEEP IT DOWN TO A LOW ROAR THERE.

22

23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YEAH, COULD WE HAVE SOME ORDER?



- 1 SUP. KNABE: SHHH. WE'LL JUST KEEP IT DOWN A LITTLE BIT,
- 2 PLEASE. THANK YOU. STEVE HAS SERVED AS THE MAYOR OF MANHATTAN
- 3 BEACH AS WELL AS THE PRESIDENT OF THE INDEPENDENT CITIES
- 4 ASSOCIATION FOR THIS PAST YEAR. HE WILL BE STEPPING DOWN FROM
- 5 BOTH POSTS THIS WEEK. AS THE 40TH PRESIDENT OF THE I.C.A.,
- 6 STEVE HAS CONDUCTED SEMINARS ELECTED OFFICIALS, HOSTED TWO
- 7 SEMI ANNUAL CONFERENCES, HAS DEVELOPED A MISSION STATEMENT AND
- 8 NEW MOTTO FOR THE ORGANIZATION AND HAS ADVOCATED POSITIONS ON
- 9 NUMEROUS MAJOR ISSUES AFFECTING CITIES, WHICH, AS WELL AS
- 10 LEGISLATION IMPORTANT TO LOCAL GOVERNMENT. AS MAYOR OF
- 11 MANHATTAN BEACH, HE'S BEEN ACTIVE IN THE PASSAGE OF TWO LOCAL
- 12 SCHOOL BOND MEASURES, OPPOSITION TO THE UNCHECKED EXPANSION AT
- 13 L.A.X. AND HAS BEEN A STRONG ADVOCATE FOR HOME RULE ISSUES.
- 14 STEVE CURRENTLY SERVES ON THE SANITATION BOARD OF DIRECTORS,
- 15 HAS BEEN VERY ACTIVE IN NUMEROUS PUBLIC WORKS PROJECTS AND THE
- 16 QUALITY OF LIFE ISSUES FOR THE 35,000 MANHATTAN BEACH
- 17 RESIDENTS. HE'S A PRACTICING ATTORNEY, SPECIALIZING IN
- 18 EDUCATION LAW, AND HE EVEN FINDS TIME FOR BEACH VOLLEYBALL AND
- 19 AN OCCASIONAL GAME OF GOLF. AS A CONSUMMATE ELECTED OFFICIAL,
- 20 STEVE GIVES EXTENSIVELY HIS TIME AND EFFORT TO NOT ONLY
- 21 BENEFIT THE CITIZENS OF MANHATTAN BEACH, BUT THE ENTIRE SOUTH
- 22 BAY AND THE CITIZENS OF THIS GREAT COUNTY. SO STEVE, ON BEHALF
- 23 OF MYSELF AND MY COLLEAGUES HERE ON THE BOARD, WE WANT TO
- 24 THANK YOU, WISH YOU WELL IN YOUR CONTINUED SERVICE, BUT THANK
- 25 YOU FOR THIS PAST YEAR AS MAYOR AND, MORE IMPORTANTLY, AS OUR



- 1 PRESIDENT OF THE INDEPENDENT CITIES. I KNOW IT WAS A TOUGH
- 2 YEAR AND YOU DID A LOT AND GOT A LOT DONE AND CONGRATULATIONS.
- 3 [APPLAUSE]

4

- 5 STEVE NAPOLITANO: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. IF YOU'RE IN LOCAL
- 6 PUBLIC SERVICE, THIS IS THE EQUIVALENT OF GETTING AN ACADEMY
- 7 AWARD, SO I WANT TO THANK THE BOARD FOR GIVING THIS TO ME ON
- 8 BEHALF OF THE CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH, ON BEHALF OF THE I.C.A.
- 9 THE BOARD HAS ALWAYS BEEN VERY SUPPORTIVE OF THE I.C.A. DAVID
- 10 JANSSEN, THE DAVID LETTERMAN OF C.E.O.'S COMES AND SPEAKS AT
- 11 OUR EVENTS, AND, OF COURSE, SUPERVISOR KNABE COMES DOWN AND
- 12 MAKES SURE THAT I DON'T WIN A TROPHY IN THE GOLF TOURNAMENT.
- 13 BUT AGAIN, THANK YOU FOR ALL YOUR SUPPORT OVER THE YEARS. I
- 14 LOOK FORWARD TO CONTINUED FRIENDSHIP IN THE FUTURE. THANK YOU
- 15 VERY MUCH. [APPLAUSE]

- 17 SUP. KNABE: NOW IT'S MY GREAT PLEASURE TO CALL FORWARD NICK
- 18 VAN LUE, WHO RECENTLY ANNOUNCED HIS RETIREMENT AS THE HEAD
- 19 BASEBALL COACH AT EL CAMINO COLLEGE IN NORTH TORRANCE OVER THE
- 20 LAST 12 YEARS. AND NICK'S CAREER HAS SPANNED MORE THAN 33
- 21 YEARS, INCLUDING 20 YEARS AS ASSISTANT COACH AT SAN PEDRO
- 22 HIGH, HARBOR COLLEGE IN THREE YEARS AS NARVON HIGH SCHOOL'S
- 23 HEAD BASEBALL COACH. IN HIS THIRD YEAR AT NARVON IN 1991 HE
- 24 LED THE GAUCHOS TO THE LOS ANGELES CITY 3A CHAMPIONSHIP AND
- 25 WAS NAMED THE DAILY BREEZE COACH OF THE YEAR. HE MOVED TO EL



- 1 CAMINO IN 1991, WHERE HIS TEAMS ADVANCED TO THE POST SEASON
- 2 PLAYOFF FIVE TIMES. HIS COACHING SKILLS HAVE HELPED MORE THAN
- 3 54 PLAYERS IN THE MAJOR LEAGUE BASEBALL, INCLUDING 2000 FIRST
- 4 ROUND PICK, RICK STEEL, WHO WAS SELECTED 27TH OVERALL BY
- 5 HOUSTON. IN 1997, COACH VAN LUE HAD BACK SURGERY AND BEGAN
- 6 THINKING ABOUT RETIREMENT. THE DECISION WAS MADE RELUCTANTLY
- 7 BUT WITH THE KNOWLEDGE THAT HE HAS POSTED A VERY DISTINGUISHED
- 8 CAREER. I TAKE GREAT PLEASURE IN THANKING NICK FOR HIS 33
- 9 YEARS OF COACHING, BUT THE LIVES OF YOUNG PEOPLE THAT HE'S
- 10 TOUCHED OVER THOSE MANY, MANY YEARS TO BE A POSITIVE IMPACT.
- 11 SO ON BEHALF OF MYSELF AND MY COLLEAGUES, NICK, WE'D LIKE TO
- 12 PRESENT YOU THIS SCROLL IN RECOGNITION OF YOUR YEARS OF
- 13 SERVICE TO THE YOUTH AND THE YOUNG MEN OF BASEBALL AND OUR
- 14 COMMUNITY, BUT, MORE IMPORTANTLY, WISH YOU WELL IN YOUR
- 15 RETIREMENT. [APPLAUSE]

16

- 17 NICK VAN LUE: THANKS A LOT. THIS IS VERY MUCH AN HONOR FOR ME.
- 18 I REALLY APPRECIATE IT. I THANK THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. IT'S
- 19 BEEN A FABULOUS CAREER, AND IT'S A GREAT GAME, AND I'D ALSO
- 20 LIKE TO THANK MY WIFE FOR BEING WITH ME FOR THOSE 33 YEARS.
- 21 AND QUITE AN HONOR. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. [APPLAUSE]

- 23 SUP. KNABE: NOW I'D LIKE TO CALL FORWARD NELKANE BENTON, LIZ
- 24 DIAZ, AND DIANNE HILL FROM THE ARMED FORCES Y.M.C.A., CORPORAL
- 25 SCOTT RITZ AND LANCE CORPORAL JAMES SIDES, CAMP PENDLETON



- 1 MARINES. TODAY WE ARE RECOGNIZING THE SUCCESS OF THIS PAST
- 2 JUNE'S OPERATION LEND A HAND, THE WEEKEND TELETHON WHICH THE
- 3 ABC RADIO SO GENEROUSLY DONATED AND SPONSORED. THEIR RADIO
- 4 STATION'S KABC TALK RADIO, KLOS-FM, KSPN ESPN AIRED THE 65
- 5 CONSECUTIVE-HOUR EVENT WHICH RAISED MORE THAN \$500,000 IN
- 6 PLEDGES TO BE DISTRIBUTED TO MILITARY PROGRAMS SERVING THE MEN
- 7 AND WOMEN AND THEIR FAMILIES IN THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA AREA.
- 8 IN ADDITION, 20% OF THE NET PROCEEDS WILL BE DONATED TO THE
- 9 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA FOOD BANKS WHICH SERVE VETERANS AND OTHERS
- 10 IN NEED. ON TODAY'S AGENDA, ITEM 57-C DISBURSED \$285,000 OF
- 11 THE TOTAL FUNDS RAISED. THE ABC RADIO FAMILY HAS HAD A
- 12 WONDERFUL LONGSTANDING 20-YEAR RELATIONSHIP AND PARTNERSHIP
- 13 WITH LOS ANGELES COUNTY, RAISING OVER \$1.8 MILLION TO SUPPORT
- 14 A VAST ARRAY OF SOCIAL SERVICE PROGRAMS, SUCH AS THE D.C.F.S.
- 15 KLOS SCHOLARSHIP FUND, CHILDREN'S AND PETS ADOPTIONS, AS WELL
- 16 AS THE ONGOING SUPPORT FOR FOOD BANKS. AND WE THANK THEM FOR
- 17 THEIR COMMUNITY SPIRIT, WE THANK THEM FOR THEIR SUPPORT OF LOS
- 18 ANGELES COUNTY, BUT I KNOW THAT THERE'S MANY, MANY MEN AND
- 19 WOMEN AND YOUNG PEOPLE OUT THERE THAT THANK THEM FOR THEIR
- 20 EFFORTS OVER THE YEARS AS A GREAT CORPORATE CITIZEN, AND
- 21 PARTICULARLY AND THANK YOU FOR THIS LEND A HAND PROGRAM. [
- 22 APPLAUSE]

23

24 NELKANE BENTON: WELL, WE'VE BEEN DOWN HERE TWO TIMES MAKING

25 PRESENTATIONS AND GETTING AWARDS. THE AWARDS, OF COURSE, THIS



- 1 TIME GO TO THE BRAVE SOLDIERS, MEN AND WOMEN WHO ARE SERVING
- 2 IN IRAQ, REPRESENTED HERE BY TWO OF THE WIVES OF THOSE THAT
- 3 ARE SERVING OVERSEAS AT THE PRESENT TIME, AND TWO ACTIVE DUTY
- 4 MARINES. ALSO THE MONEY WILL GO TO THE CHILDREN OF THOSE THAT,
- 5 UNFORTUNATELY, LOST THEIR LIVES IN IRAQ FOR A EDUCATIONAL
- 6 FUND. AND WHAT THESE TWO MARINES WILL HOPEFULLY ENJOY GREATLY
- 7 IS THAT WE'RE BUILDING A RECREATION CENTER AT CAMP PENDLETON
- 8 THAT WAS PAID FOR BY THIS MONEY FROM OUR VERY GENEROUS
- 9 LISTENERS. I WENT TO THANK THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND I WANT
- 10 TO THANK ROSEANNE DONELLY IN PARTICULAR, WHO HAS BEEN A GREAT
- 11 PARTNER WITH US WHEN WE HAVE DONE ALL THESE PROJECTS, AND ALSO
- 12 THIS MONEY WILL HELP THOSE IN NEED THAT ARE WITH THE FOOD
- 13 BANKS. I THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TIME AND I APPRECIATE
- 14 YOU BEING HERE. [APPLAUSE]

15

- 16 SPEAKER: THE FAMILIES OF CAMP PENDLETON AND THE MARINES AND
- 17 SAILORS ON CAMP PENDLETON AND ALSO AT MIRAMAR, THANK YOU AND
- 18 THANK LOS ANGELES COUNTY FOR YOUR GENEROSITY. THANK YOU SO
- 19 MUCH. THANK YOU, KABC. [APPLAUSE]

20

21 SUP. KNABE: THANK YOU. SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WELL TODAY, THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS IS
- 24 PROCLAIMING THE WEEK OF SEPTEMBER 21ST AS NATIONAL 5 A DAY
- 25 WEEK IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY. AND WITH US THIS MORNING IS MARVIN

20

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 ESPINOZA, WHO'S THE PROJECT MANAGER FOR THE CALIFORNIA
- 2 CHILDREN'S 5-A-DAY, ALONG WITH CINDY HARDING AND VALERIE
- 3 RUELEZ. NOW THE THEME OF TODAY'S PROGRAM, 'GET HEALTHY
- 4 CALIFORNIA, 'ENCOURAGES CALIFORNIANS TO EAT AT LEAST FIVE
- 5 SERVINGS OF FRUIT AND VEGETABLES EACH DAY AND TO BE PHYSICALLY
- 6 ACTIVE FOR 30 TO 60 MINUTES. AND WHILE THE PROGRAM WHICH IS
- 7 COMMUNITY-BASED, IS TARGETING OUR NINE, 10, AND 11-YEAR-OLD
- 8 CHILDREN AND THEIR FAMILIES, THIS IS A PROTOCOL THAT WOULD BE
- 9 WELL WORTH EVERYONE, REGARDLESS OF AGE, TO PARTICIPATE IN. THE
- 10 CAMPAIGN IS FUNDED BY GRANTS FROM THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT
- 11 OF AGRICULTURE AND THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FOOD AND
- 12 AGRICULTURE VIA CALIFORNIA INITIATIVE. SO WHAT THEY'RE TALKING
- 13 ABOUT IS TO BE HEALTHY, TO SAY HEALTHY IS TO SAY "NO" TO
- 14 MCDONALD'S AND BIG MAC AND ALL THAT FRIED FOOD AND 'YES' TO
- 15 VEGETABLES AND FRUIT AND YOU WON'T BE IN HOLY CROSS, MOUNT
- 16 SINAI, OR FOREST LAWN, BUT YOU'LL BE ABLE TO -- OR ROSE HILLS,
- 17 BUT YOU'LL BE ABLE TO BE HELPING YOUR CHILDREN AND
- 18 GRANDCHILDREN AND YOUR COMMUNITY BE STRONGER AND BETTER. SO
- 19 MARVIN, ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY, CONGRATULATIONS. [APPLAUSE]

21 MARVIN ESPINOZA: MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR

- 22 THIS RECOGNITION. IT MEANS A LOT TO US, BUT IT MEANS A LOT
- 23 MORE TO THE CHILDREN OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY AS WELL AS THE
- 24 RESIDENTS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY. I VERY MUCH WANT TO ENCOURAGE
- 25 ALL OF YOU, STARTING TODAY, THAT YOU MAKE A CONSCIENTIOUS



- 1 EFFORT TO LEAD A HEALTHIER LIFE-STYLE AND INCREASE THE
- 2 CONSUMPTION OF FRUITS AND VEGETABLES TO AT LEAST FIVE SERVINGS
- 3 AND YOUR PHYSICAL ACTIVITY TO, AT THE VERY MINIMUM, 30 MINUTES
- 4 EVERY DAY. AS YOU ARE AWARE, THERE IS AN EPIDEMIC OF
- 5 OVERWEIGHT, AND THE L.A. COUNTY AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY AND
- 6 NUTRITION TASKFORCE IS WORKING VERY CLOSELY WITH A LOT OF
- 7 COMMUNITY MEMBERS IN MAKING SURE THAT OUR CHILDREN HAVE ACCESS
- 8 TO FRESH FRUITS AND VEGETABLES AND THAT OUR COUNTY PROVIDES
- 9 OPPORTUNITIES FOR OUR RESIDENTS TO BE PHYSICALLY ACTIVE. I'D
- 10 LIKE TO THANK THE SUPERVISOR, MR. ANTONOVICH, AS WELL AS
- 11 RESSIE ROMAN, VALERIE RUELEZ, AND CINDY HARDING FOR THEIR
- 12 EFFORT IN MAKING SURE THAT EVERYONE IN L.A. COUNTY IS AWARE OF
- 13 NATIONAL FIVE A DAY WEEK. AND OUR THEME THIS YEAR IS "GET
- 14 HEALTHY CALIFORNIA, " AND THERE'S NO BETTER WAY TO DO IT THAN
- 15 KICKING IT OFF WITH THIS RECOGNITION WHICH IS VERY SPECIAL TO
- 16 US. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. [APPLAUSE]

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NOW WE HAVE A LITTLE 12-WEEK OLD LABRADOR
- 19 MIX. HIS NAME IS B.J., WHO'S LOOKING FOR A HOME. SO IF ANYBODY
- 20 WHO'S OUT HERE WOULD LIKE B.J., B.J. IS LOOKING FOR A HOME.
- 21 THOSE WHO ARE WATCHING ON TELEVISION CAN CALL THE TELEPHONE
- 22 NUMBER, AREA CODE (562) 6898 -- (562) 940 6898 AND LITTLE B.J.
- 23 CAN BE YOURS, AND MAYBE ONE OF THE MEDIA FROM THE TELEVISION
- 24 WOULD LIKE TO ADOPT B.J. HE'S ALSO LOOKING FOR A HOME IN A



- 1 FIRE STATION IF ONE OF THE CAPTAINS WANTS TO ADOPT HIM. THANK
- 2 YOU.

3

4 SUP. KNABE: SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

- 6 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU SIR. I WANT YOU TO JOIN IN WELCOMING,
- 7 I'M GOING TO FIND HIM HERE, THERE HE IS, DR. EDUARDO T.
- 8 CORNELIA TO THE BOARD. WE WANT TO MAKE A VERY SPECIAL
- 9 PRESENTATION TO HIM BECAUSE OF HIS MANY DEDICATED YEARS OF
- 10 EFFORT AND TO OUR COUNTY'S PRECIOUS ANIMALS IN NEED. THE
- 11 DEPARTMENT OF ANIMAL CARE AND CONTROL WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT
- 12 WE'VE SOUGHT PUBLIC RECOGNITION BECAUSE OF ALL OF THE WORK
- 13 THAT HE'S DONE THROUGHOUT THE YEARS, AND I AGREE. DR. CORNELIA
- 14 HAS PRACTICED VETERINARIAN MEDICINE AND OWNED THE HOLLYDALE
- 15 VETERINARIAN HOSPITAL IN SOUTHGATE FOR OVER 30 YEARS, HE
- 16 EARNED HIS DOCTORATE IN VETERINARY MEDICINE AT THE UNIVERSITY
- 17 IN THE PHILIPPINES. HE IS A MEMBER OF THE AMERICAN VETERINARY
- 18 MEDICAL ASSOCIATION, THE AMERICAN ANIMAL HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION,
- 19 AND FORMER PRESIDENT OF THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA FILIPINO
- 20 VETERINARY MEDICAL ASSOCIATION. DR. CORNELIA HAS BEEN A FRIEND
- 21 AND A LONG-TIME SUPPORTER OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY DEPARTMENT
- 22 OF ANIMAL CONTROL AND CARE. ON NUMEROUS OCCASIONS, DR.
- 23 CORNELIA HAS CONSULTED WITH THE DEPARTMENTAL VETERINARIANS AND
- 24 DEDICATED HIS TIME AND PROFESSIONAL SKILLS TO AID MANY OF OUR
- 25 HELPLESS DOGS AND CATS THAT ARE HOUSED AT THE DOWNEY ANIMAL



- 1 SHELTER. DR. CORNELIA, HIS ASSISTANCE HAS BEEN AN EXPERTISE
- 2 AND HAS BEEN A TREMENDOUS ASSET TO THE DEPARTMENT FOR ALL THE
- 3 ANIMALS THAT WE CARE FOR. ON BEHALF NOT ONLY OF THE DEPARTMENT
- 4 BUT OF THE BOARD AND THE COMMUNITY, WE WANT TO THANK DR.
- 5 CORNELIA FOR HIS LEADERSHIP ROLE, THE KIND OF EFFORT, THE KIND
- 6 OF DEDICATION THAT YOU HAVE HAD. THESE ARE THE MOST HELPLESS
- 7 OF CREATURES IN OUR COMMUNITY, AND IT'S ALWAYS SO NICE TO SEE
- 8 PEOPLE WHO ARE DEDICATED TO TAKING CARE OF ALL OF OUR ANIMALS
- 9 IN OUR COMMUNITY. CONGRATULATIONS, DOCTOR AND WE THANK YOU SO
- 10 MUCH. [APPLAUSE]

11

- 12 SUP. MOLINA: YOU'VE GOT YOUR WIFE WITH YOU, IF IT IS YOUR
- 13 WIFE?

14

- 15 DR. CORNELIA: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, SUPERVISOR MOLINA. I'D LIKE
- 16 TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE EXCELLENT WORK OF THE DEPARTMENT,
- 17 ESPECIALLY DIRECTOR MARCIA MAYEDA, KAY MAKUZUN, DR. ZABALA,
- 18 AND, OF COURSE, MY WIFE, EVELYN. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. [
- 19 APPLAUSE] [MIXED VOICES]

- 21 SUP. KNABE: SUPERVISOR MOLINA, ARE YOU FINISHED? OKAY. BEFORE
- 22 WE GO INTO THE REGULAR AGENDA, I'M GOING TO ASK THAT OUR
- 23 REGISTRAR RECORDER, CONNY MCCORMACK, IF SHE WOULD COME FORWARD
- 24 TO GIVE US AN UPDATE ON THE IMPACT, THE POTENTIAL IMPACT OF



- 1 THE JUDGE'S DECISION AS IT RELATES TO THE OCTOBER 7TH
- 2 ELECTION. IS CONNY STILL HERE?

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN, WHILE WE'RE WAITING FOR CONNY,
- 5 I'D LIKE TO ASK THAT WE ADJOURN TODAY IN THE MEMORY OF FLORA
- 6 CHAVEZ, A LONG-TIME RESIDENT OF THE THIRD DISTRICT AND A
- 7 COMMUNITY ACTIVIST AND DIRECTOR OF THE WEST SIDE BRANCH OF THE
- 8 COMMUNITY SERVICE ORGANIZATION. FLORA DEDICATED HER LIFE TO
- 9 HELPING THE POOR, THE HUNGRY, AND THE HOMELESS IN OUR PART OF
- 10 THE COUNTY. AND SHE RECENTLY SUCCUMBED TO CANCER AT THE AGE OF
- 11 85. SHE WAS AT THE FOREFRONT OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS MOVEMENT AND
- 12 OTHER EFFORTS TO ACHIEVE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC JUSTICE AND SHE
- 13 WILL BE GREATLY MISSED BY THOSE SHE HELPED AND THOSE SHE
- 14 INSPIRED TO HELP OTHERS. SHE'S SURVIVED BY HER DAUGHTERS,
- 15 PHYLLIS CHAVEZ OF WEST LOS ANGELES, KATHY, KALYEN OF ALOHA,
- 16 OREGON, AND FOUR GRANDCHILDREN AND TWO GREAT GRANDCHILDREN.

17

- 18 SUP. KNABE: SO ORDERED. ZEV, SINCE YOU'RE FIRST UP I WILL --
- 19 WHY DON'T YOU PROCEED WITH, I KNOW YOU HAVE SEVERAL QUESTIONS,
- 20 AS WE ALL DO.

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN, THANK YOU. I ASKED CONNY LAST
- 23 NIGHT IF SHE WOULD COME DOWN THIS MORNING AND GIVE US A
- 24 REPORT, BECAUSE I READ A REPORT FROM HER ON THE INTERNET THAT,
- 25 WHEN ASKED WHETHER -- I THINK THE QUOTE WAS SOMETHING TO THE



- 1 EFFECT, 'NOBODY'S ASKED LOS ANGELES COUNTY, THE BIGGEST COUNTY
- 2 IN CALIFORNIA, WHETHER, IN THE LIGHT OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT
- 3 COURT OF APPEALS DECISION, POSTPONING THE ELECTION PERHAPS
- 4 'TIL MARCH, WHETHER WE HAVE THE CAPACITY TO RUN THAT ELECTION
- 5 IN MARCH, 'AND YOU WERE QUOTED AS SAYING 'THE ANSWER IS NO,'
- 6 AND I CALLED YOU YESTERDAY TO CONFIRM THAT THAT WAS AN
- 7 ACCURATE QUOTE, AND IT WAS, SO AFTER I ENDED THE APOPLEXY
- 8 ATTACK I HAD, I WANTED TO HEAR FROM YOU, WHAT IS THE IMPACT OF
- 9 THIS DECISION, WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS, WHAT ARE YOUR OPTIONS, AND
- 10 I GUESS LATER WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A DISCUSSION IN CLOSED
- 11 SESSION, BUT I REALLY HAVE TO ASK THE QUESTION IN OPEN
- 12 SESSION, IS THERE ANY ROLE FOR US FROM A LEGAL POINT OF VIEW,
- 13 BOTH TO PROTECT OURSELVES AND OUR CONSTITUENTS AND OUR VOTERS?
- 14 THOSE ARE AND TAKE IT WHEREVER YOU WANT TO TAKE IT.

15

- 16 CONNY MCCORMACK: THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR, AND ALL SUPERVISORS.
- 17 THOSE QUOTES WERE ACCURATE, AND I'D LIKE TO GIVE A LITTLE
- 18 CONTEXT TO THAT 'CAUSE IT'S ALMOST EXACTLY TWO WEEKS TO THE
- 19 DAY THAT THE FIRST COURT DECISION, I MEAN THAT THE SECRETARY
- 20 OF STATE TWO YEARS AGO DECERTIFIED --

21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN, COULD I JUST ASK YOU TO KEEP
- 23 ORDER IN THE ROOM?



- 1 CONNY MCCORMACK: THAT THE SECRETARY OF STATE TWO YEARS AGO
- 2 ALMOST TO THE DAY DECERTIFIED THE PUNCH-CARD SYSTEM AND THEN
- 3 IT WENT INTO LEGAL ACTION IN 2002 AT THE FIRST FEDERAL COURT
- 4 LEVEL. AND THROUGHOUT THIS PROCESS OVER TWO YEARS, THE COUNTY
- 5 OF LOS ANGELES HAS NOT BEEN A PARTY, AND NO OTHER COUNTY HAS
- 6 BEEN A PARTY TO THESE LAWSUITS, WHICH IS A HUGE DISCONNECT
- 7 BETWEEN THE CAPABILITY OF ADMINISTERING AN ELECTION AND THE
- 8 VENUE THAT WAS CHOSEN FOR THE LAWSUITS, AND SO I THINK IT'S
- 9 HAD SOME IMPACT ON WHERE WE ARE TODAY, IS THAT NO ONE HAS
- 10 BROUGHT INTO THE LAWSUITS THE COUNTIES. AND IN TERMS OF LOS
- 11 ANGELES COUNTY, AS YOU KNOW, WE HAVE TO, BY THESE FEDERAL
- 12 COURT RULES THAT WERE RULED IN 2002, CHANGE OUR VOTING SYSTEM
- 13 BY NEXT MARCH, AND AS YOU ALSO KNOW, LAST YEAR, LAST AUGUST,
- 14 THE BOARD CHOSE THE DIRECTION WE WERE GOING IN, WHICH IS A
- 15 SIMILAR SYSTEM, IT'S CALLED 'INK ABOUT,' IT'S SIMILAR TO THE
- 16 PUNCH-CARD SYSTEM, IN THAT IT HAS A BALLOT DEVICE, AND YOU'VE
- 17 SEEN THIS, AND A PUNCH -- AND A, EXCUSE ME, A BALLOT CARD THAT
- 18 IS PUT INTO THE DEVICE IN A VERY SIMILAR FASHION TO THE PUNCH-
- 19 CARD, BUT INSTEAD OF A PUNCHING TOOL AND PUTTING A HOLE IN THE
- 20 CARD, IT HAS A INKING STYLUS THAT YOU MAKE THE MARKS IN INK ON
- 21 YOUR CHOICES. AND THIS TYPE OF A SYSTEM IS JUST LIKE OUR
- 22 PUNCH-CARD SYSTEM FOR 35 YEARS, HAS A LIMITED BALLOT CAPACITY.
- 23 WE'VE NEVER EXCEEDED THAT BALLOT CAPACITY. IT CAN HOLD
- 24 HUNDREDS AND HUNDREDS OF CANDIDATES, BUT TO SUPER IMPOSE A
- 25 RECALL ELECTION WITH 135 CANDIDATES, WHICH IS TAKING UP EIGHT



- 1 PAGES IN THE CURRENT OCTOBER 7TH ELECTION, WITH A 12-PAGE
- 2 CAPACITY, WE CANNOT RUN A PRIMARY ELECTION FOR THE PRESIDENT,
- 3 FOR CONGRESS, FOR THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, FOR THE STATE
- 4 ASSEMBLY AND THE STATE, ALL THE JUDGES, ALL THE BALLOT
- 5 MEASURES ON FOUR ADDITIONAL PAGES. I MEAN, WE WOULD JUST RUN
- 6 OUT OF BALLOT CAPACITY. THEREFORE, WE'D HAVE TO CONFRONT WHAT
- 7 WE WERE GOING TO DO WITH THE VOTERS TO HAVE THE RECALL ON THE
- 8 SAME ELECTION. AND ANOTHER COMPLICATION --

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: LET ME JUST UNDERSTAND. THE CAPACITY
- 11 LIMITATION IS NOT THEN THE NUMBER OF CANDIDATES, PER SE, BUT
- 12 IT'S THE NUMBER OF PAGES IN WHICH YOU CAN FIT HOWEVER MANY
- 13 RACES THERE ARE.

14

15 CONNY MCCORMACK: THAT'S CORRECT.

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND THAT LIMIT, THAT UPPER LIMIT IS 12
- 18 PAGES.

19

20 CONNY MCCORMACK: THAT'S CORRECT, 12 PAGES.

21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND THIS RECALL IN AND OF ITSELF IS TAKING
- 23 EIGHT PAGES.



1 CONNY MCCORMACK: 7, AND THEN THE TWO MEASURES WERE TAKING

2 ANOTHER PAGE, SO AT LEAST 7.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ANOTHER PAGE. AND BECAUSE THAT'S THE ONLY
- 5 THING ON THE BALLOT IN OCTOBER YOU ARE WELL WITHIN THE
- 6 CAPACITY TO RUN IT, WELL YOU WOULD'VE BEEN WELL WITHIN THE
- 7 CAPACITY TO RUN IT UNDER EITHER SYSTEM, BUT IN MARCH YOU HAVE
- 8 ALL THE OTHER ELECTIONS WHICH WOULD TAKE YOU OVER THE 12
- 9 PAGES. IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE SAYING?

- 11 CONNY MCCORMACK: THAT'S CORRECT, AND THAT'S A MAJOR PROBLEM
- 12 FOR LOS ANGELES AND POTENTIALLY FOR SACRAMENTO. OTHER COUNTIES
- 13 THAT HAVE MOVED INTO FULL TOUCH SCREEN DEVICES OR HAVE, LIKE,
- 14 IN THE CASE OF ORANGE COUNTY WAS PLANNING ON USING THIS LARGE
- 15 SCALE OPTICAL SCAN BALLOT, THIS IS THEIR RECALL BALLOT, WOULD
- 16 HAVE TO FIND A MULTIPLE-PAGE-TYPE CAPACITY WITH ALL THE
- 17 SOFTWARE AND ALL THE EQUIPMENT. WE DON'T HAVE THAT EQUIPMENT.
- 18 WE HAVE THIS SYSTEM, AND WE'VE RECONSTITUTED OUR PUNCH-CARD
- 19 BALLOT TABULATING DEVICES, AND THAT WAS THE REASON FOR THAT,
- 20 AS YOU KNOW, WAS TO SAVE MONEY, TO HAVE AN INTERIM SYSTEM IN
- 21 THE NEXT TWO YEARS UNTIL WE CAN GET \$100 MILLION TO BUY A
- 22 TOUCH SCREEN SYSTEM. THE COST OF THIS SYSTEM FOR THIS INTERIM
- 23 TIME PERIOD WAS UNDER \$3 MILLION, SO IT WAS A MINIMAL
- 24 EXPENDITURE IN THE SCHEME OF THINGS. THE OTHER MAJOR
- 25 COMPLEXITY OF TRYING TO OVERLAY A RECALL ELECTION ON TOP OF A



- 1 PRIMARY ELECTION IS IN A PRIMARY ELECTION, THE VOTERS HAVE TO
- 2 COME IN AND DECLARE THEIR POLITICAL PARTY, AND YOU KNOW IN
- 3 CALIFORNIA, WE HAVE SEVEN POLITICAL PARTIES, AND SO THERE ARE
- 4 SEVEN DIFFERENT KINDS OF BALLOTS. THERE'S -- AND THEY'RE
- 5 COLOR-CODED, AND THERE'S THE DEMOCRAT, THE REPUBLICAN,
- 6 LIBERTARIAN, ET CETERA, AND THE VOTER DECLARES THEIR -- AND
- 7 THE POLL-WORKER KNOWS WHAT THEIR PARTY IS. THEY WOULD THEN
- 8 ISSUE THAT PARTY, WHICH IS ALWAYS A CONFUSION TO THE VOTER,
- 9 IT'S ALWAYS THE MOST DIFFICULT ELECTION. THIS RECALL ELECTION
- 10 IS IN EFFECT A GENERAL ELECTION. MOST PEOPLE DON'T KNOW THE
- 11 DIFFERENCE. THEY ARE POLITICAL CANDIDATES RUNNING IN A PARTY
- 12 BALLOT. THEN YOU'D HAVE SOME SORT OF ANOTHER BALLOT FOR THEM,
- 13 WHETHER OR NOT IT COULD BE ANOTHER ONE OF THESE, AND WE COULD
- 14 POSSIBLY BUY MORE DEVICES AND POSSIBLY FIND A WAY TO GET OUR
- 15 SOFTWARE RECERTIFIED AND RECONFIGURED TO COUNT TWO BALLOTS, OR
- 16 WHETHER OR NOT WE'D HAVE TO GO TO A SEPARATE TYPE OF SYSTEM
- 17 FOR THE RECALL. I AM JUST THINKING IN TERMS OF THE VOTER
- 18 CONFUSION OF COMING IN AND THEY'VE NEVER SEEN THIS SYSTEM
- 19 ANYWAY, SO ALREADY THERE'S A CHANGE, AND THEN LAYING ON THE
- 20 RECALL ELECTION ON TOP OF THAT COMPLICATED PRIMARY ELECTION IS
- 21 GOING TO CREATE AT LEAST, I WOULD THINK IN ALL VOTERS' MINDS,
- 22 SOME QUESTIONS AND SOME CONFUSION, AND COMPARED TO THE PUNCH-
- 23 CARD, WHICH WE'VE BEEN, AS YOU KNOW, USING FOR 35 YEARS AND A
- 24 HUNDRED MILLION BALLOTS HAVE BEEN CAST ON IT WITHOUT INCIDENT
- 25 HERE. AND SO THIS IS WHAT WE'RE CONFRONTING WITHOUT --



1

- 2 SUP. MOLINA: BUT MISS MCCORMACK, LET ME JUST SAY IT BEGS THE
- 3 QUESTION OF WHAT WOULD HAVE HAPPENED HAD THIS -- HAD THIS
- 4 RECALL QUALIFIED FOR THE MARCH BALLOT?

5

- 6 CONNY MCCORMACK: WE WOULD HAVE BEEN IN THE SAME SITUATION, SO
- 7 WE WOULD HAVE HAD TO FIND --

8

- 9 SUP. MOLINA: BUT IT WOULD HAVE BEEN SOMETHING THAT WE WOULD
- 10 HAVE TO REORGANIZE IN ORDER TO CARRY OUT RIGHT?

11

- 12 CONNY MCCORMACK: THAT'S CORRECT, AND WE WILL HAVE TO AGAIN IF
- 13 IT CONTINUES THIS MARCH, THAT'S CORRECT.

14

- 15 SUP. KNABE: THE OTHER QUESTION I HAVE, ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT A
- 16 SEPARATE DEVICE AND WOULD IN FACT THE VOTER HAVE TO MOVE,
- 17 THEN, TO A -- POTENTIALLY TO ANOTHER PARTITIONED AREA, IF YOU
- 18 HAD TO SEPARATE THE RECALL FROM THE NORMAL PRIMARY BALLOT?

- 20 CONNY MCCORMACK: I WOULD THINK NOT. I THINK WE COULD GO IN THE
- 21 SAME BOOTH, AND WHETHER YOU HAD TWO OF THESE OR WHETHER YOU
- 22 ISSUED ONE -- SOMETHING LIKE THIS AND ONE OF THESE THEY COULD
- 23 GO INTO ONE BOOTH. I DON'T THINK THAT IS GOING TO BE A
- 24 PROBLEM. BUT CLEARLY, JUST KEEPING ALL OF THIS SEPARATE WILL
- 25 BE A CHALLENGE.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO THE ANSWER TO THE QUESTION, CAN YOU RUN
- 3 AN ELECTION, A RECALL ELECTION AND THE OTHER ELECTIONS IN
- 4 MARCH, IS THE ANSWER IS "YES," YOU JUST CAN'T DO IT WITH THE
- 5 ONE SYSTEM THAT YOU HAD IN MIND.

6

- 7 CONNY MCCORMACK: WITH THE SYSTEM THAT WE'RE PLANNING TO USE IN
- 8 MARCH, IT IS A BALLOT CAPACITY ISSUE. CLEARLY, IF WE HAVE TO
- 9 RUN IT, WHETHER IT'S ON A PAPER BALLOT OR ANYTHING ELSE FOR
- 10 THE OTHER ELECTION, WE'D HAVE TO DO THAT, AND WE WOULD DO IT,
- 11 BUT THE -- RIGHT NOW WE DON'T HAVE A SYSTEM THAT WOULD DO
- 12 THAT, WE DON'T OWN ANY OF THE EQUIPMENT, WE DON'T HAVE ANY
- 13 CAPACITY TO DO IT AT THIS VERY MOMENT IN TIME.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT WOULD YOUR RECOMMENDATION BE, AND MAYBE
- 16 THIS IS TOO PREMATURE TO ASK, BUT WHAT WOULD BE YOUR
- 17 RECOMMENDATION, IF YOU HAD TO HAVE AN ELECTION IN MARCH, WITH
- 18 THE RECALL AND THE OTHER THINGS CONSOLIDATED, HOW WOULD YOU
- 19 ADDRESS IT? WOULD YOU DO IT WITH THAT ORANGE COUNTY TYPE OF
- 20 SYSTEM?

- 22 CONNY MCCORMACK: I'VE BEEN TALKING WITH OUR MANAGERS THAT KNOW
- 23 ALL OF THE SOFTWARE AND ALL OF THE TABULATION SYSTEMS, AND I
- 24 WOULDN'T WANT TO SAY RIGHT NOW BECAUSE WE'RE EXPLORING ALL THE



- 1 OPTIONS, I THINK YOU'D WANT A FULL RANGE OF OPTIONS BROUGHT TO
- 2 YOU, AND I'D LIKE TO DO THAT.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. AND AGAIN WHAT IS THE RANGE OF COST
- 5 THAT YOU'RE LOOKING AT?

6

- 7 CONNY MCCORMACK: AND THE COST, AT THIS POINT, WE HAVEN'T HAD
- 8 AN OPPORTUNITY TO EXPLORE WHAT THOSE COSTS WOULD BE IN THE 24
- 9 HOURS THAT WE'VE BEEN AWARE OF THIS SITUATION, BUT AGAIN, I
- 10 WOULD LIKE TO BE ABLE TO BRING YOU ALL OF THAT IN AN ORGANIZED
- 11 FASHION SO YOU'D KNOW WHAT WE'RE LOOKING AT AND HOW WE
- 12 CONFRONT IT.

13

- 14 SUP. KNABE: AS IT RELATES TO A MORE IMMEDIATE QUESTION, WHAT
- 15 SHOULD THE VOTERS DO OUT THERE RIGHT NOW THAT HAVE THIS
- 16 ABSENTEE BALLOT REQUEST IN THEIR HANDS?

- 18 CONNY MCCORMACK: I'M GLAD YOU ASKED THAT QUESTION, BECAUSE THE
- 19 SECRETARY OF STATE HAS STATED YESTERDAY THAT ALL ABSENTEE
- 20 VOTERS IN THE STATE SHOULD CONTINUE TO MAIL IN THEIR ABSENTEE
- 21 BALLOTS, SHOULD CONTINUE THE PROCESS, BECAUSE WE ARE UNDER A
- 22 STAY OF THE COURT DECISION FOR THE NEXT NOW SIX DAYS, AND I
- 23 THINK IT IS CONFUSING TO THE VOTER WHO IS OUT THERE. WE'VE
- 24 ALREADY RECEIVED 40,000 ABSENTEE BALLOTS BACK THAT HAVE BEEN
- 25 VOTED ALREADY, THEY ARE UNDER LOCK AND KEY, WE NEVER COUNT



- 1 THEM 'TIL ELECTION DAY, SO THAT I WANTED TO ASSURE EVERYONE
- 2 THAT THEY ARE SECURE AND THERE'S NOT A PROBLEM, BUT WE'VE
- 3 MAILED OUT 291,000 ALREADY, AND WE HAVE 60,000 MORE THAT ARE
- 4 READY TO GET MAILED OUT, SO WE'VE BEEN TOLD WE'RE TO CONTINUE.
- 5 IN TERMS OF THE ELECTION COSTS, WE HAVE INCURRED MORE THAN 50%
- 6 OF THE COSTS OF THIS ELECTION ALREADY WITH THE SAMPLE BALLOTS
- 7 IN THE MAIL AND --

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE: SO IF IT WAS DELAYED, THEN, IN FACT, THAT WOULD BE
- 10 A NON-REIMBURSABLE EXPENSE? I MEAN, WHAT COSTS HAVE YOU -- I
- 11 MEAN, I KNOW IT'S STILL ONLY BEEN 24 HOURS, BUT WHAT COSTS
- 12 HAVE YOU INCURRED SHOULD THE ELECTION BE DELAYED THAT WE WOULD
- 13 BE EVEN MORE, YOU KNOW, MONEY THAT'S SPENT THAT WE'LL NEVER
- 14 GET BACK?

- 16 CONNY MCCORMACK: WE'RE ESTIMATING AT THIS POINT AT LEAST \$7
- 17 MILLION HAS BEEN SPENT, AND THAT'S MONEY FOR THE SAMPLE
- 18 BALLOTS, MAILING OF THE SAMPLE BALLOTS, ALL OF THE ELECTION
- 19 SUPPLIES, ALL OF THE TEMPORARY STAFFING TO PUT TOGETHER THE
- 20 ELECTION, ASSEMBLE THE EQUIPMENT, ALL OF THE ABSENTEE BALLOTS
- 21 THAT HAVE GONE OUT AND ARE GOING OUT, ALL THE TEMPORARY STAFF
- 22 THAT'S BEEN WORKING SEVEN DAYS A WEEK DOUBLE SHIFTS. AGAIN, WE
- 23 HAVE TO CONTINUE THAT OVER THE NEXT SIX DAYS, BY COURT ORDER.
- 24 SO USUALLY THE COST OF THE ELECTION, ONLY 10 TO 15% IS
- 25 INCURRED ON ELECTION DAY. MOST PEOPLE PROBABLY DON'T REALIZE



- 1 THAT, AND MOST OF THE COSTS OF THE ELECTION IS IN ALL THE
- 2 PREPARATION AND THE UP-FRONT COSTS OF THE ELECTION.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND WHAT PERCENT ARE GOING TO BE ABSENTEE
- 5 BALLOTS?

6

- 7 CONNY MCCORMACK: WE NORMALLY HAVE BETWEEN 20 AND 25%, STATE-
- 8 WIDE IT'S AS HIGH AS 30 TO 35%.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND THE REQUESTS FOR ABSENTEE BALLOTS ARE
- 11 HIGHER THAN IN A PRESIDENTIAL?

12

- 13 CONNY MCCORMACK: THEY'RE RUNNING AT A PRESIDENTIAL LEVEL RIGHT
- 14 NOW, WE'VE -- I HAD OVER 350,000 REQUESTS.

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND A PERSON WHO VOTES ABSENTEE VOTES PUNCH-
- 17 CARD.

18

- 19 CONNY MCCORMACK: THEY'VE ALREADY VOTED ON THE PUNCH-CARD,
- 20 CORRECT.

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO THEY VOTE ON A PUNCH-CARD, THAT'S A
- 23 TRADITIONAL WAY OF ABSENTEE BALLOTS IN THIS STATE. THE
- 24 POPULARITY OF ABSENTEE BALLOTS HAVE INCREASED, NOT DECREASED,
- 25 AND WHAT THE COURT IS SAYING IS THAT THE PEOPLE ARE TOO STUPID



- 1 TO VOTE THE WAY THEY VOTE ABSENTEE IN A POLLING BOOTH BECAUSE
- 2 THEY ARE UNABLE TO READ OR FOLLOW DIRECTIONS OR PUNCH A HOLE,
- 3 WHICH IS JUST LUDICROUS, AND, YOU KNOW, WE'VE HAD PUNCH-CARD
- 4 ELECTIONS THROUGHOUT THE HISTORY OF THIS STATE, SINCE I WAS
- 5 BORN, IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY, AND IT'S A -- I SEE IT'S AN
- 6 ATTEMPT TO STOP THE DEMOCRATIC PROCESS IN CARRYING OUT THE
- 7 ELECTION.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I HATE TO CORRECT YOU, MR. ANTONOVICH,
- 10 YOU'RE NOT THAT YOUNG. YOU'RE OLD ENOUGH TO REMEMBER THE
- 11 PREVIOUS SYSTEM, I GUARANTEE YOU, 'CAUSE I CAN REMEMBER THE
- 12 PREVIOUS SYSTEM.

13

14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WELL, YOU'RE NOT THAT YOUNG EITHER ANYMORE.

15

16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S RIGHT. [LAUGHTER]

17

18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S MY POINT.

19

- 20 SUP. KNABE: WELL WE GOING FOR A RECALL ELECTION TALKING ABOUT
- 21 PEOPLE'S BIRTHDAYS.

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH I DO, CONNY IF YOU HAVE TO GO TO A
- 24 MARCH ELECTION IN WHICH YOU HAVE TWO DIFFERENT SYSTEMS, TWO
- 25 DIFFERENT DEVICES ON WHICH TO VOTE, IS IT YOUR FEELING THAT



- 1 THAT WOULD CAUSE CONFUSION AMONG OUR -- POTENTIAL CONFUSION
- 2 AMONG OUR VOTERS?

3

- 4 CONNY MCCORMACK: I THINK IT'S LOGICAL TO ASSUME THAT IT WOULD
- 5 BECAUSE, AGAIN, WE HAVE USED THE SAME SYSTEM FOR 35 YEARS IN
- 6 THIS COUNTY, PEOPLE ARE FAMILIAR WITH IT. THERE'S USUALLY ONLY
- 7 2 OR 3% NEW VOTERS, AND THE OTHER VOTERS ARE MORE FAMILIAR
- 8 WITH IT. NOW WE'RE LOOKING AT 100% OF THE VOTERS WHO'VE NEVER
- 9 CONFRONTED THE SYSTEM WE'RE GOING TO PUT IN FRONT OF THEM
- 10 ANYWAY IN MARCH, AND NOW IT'S NOT LARGE ENOUGH TO HOLD ALL THE
- 11 RACES, SO WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO LOOK AT WHAT OTHER
- 12 SUPPLEMENTAL SYSTEM TO BRING IN AND GIVE YOU A RANGE OF
- 13 OPTIONS. I THINK IT'S NOT -- I THINK IT'D JUST BE LOGICAL TO
- 14 ASSUME THAT THIS IS GOING TO BE CONFUSING, AND NO MATTER HOW
- 15 MUCH VOTER OUTREACH, AND, OF COURSE, WE WILL DO AS MUCH AS WE
- 16 CAN, IT'S -- WE'RE LOOKING AT MILLIONS OF VOTERS CONFRONTING
- 17 SOMETHING NEW FOR THE FIRST TIME.

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS IT CONCEIVABLE THAT VOTERS IN LOS ANGELES
- 20 COUNTY CONFRONTED IN MARCH WITH TWO DIFFERENT SYSTEMS IN THE
- 21 SAME POLLING BOOTH OR IN SEPARATE POLLING BOOTHS, THAT THERE
- 22 MIGHT BE SOME VOTES THAT WOULDN'T BE COUNTED AS A RESULT OR
- 23 THAT THERE WOULD BE SOME KIND OF A, I DON'T WANT TO CALL IT AN
- 24 ERROR RATE, BUT A SLIPPAGE RATE AS A RESULT OF THE CONFUSION?



- 1 CONNY MCCORMACK: I THINK THAT THERE'S BEEN A LOT OF TALK ABOUT
- 2 WHAT AN ERROR RATE IS IN AN ELECTION, AND IT'S REALLY NOT AN
- 3 ERROR RATE. THE SUPPOSITION IS IF PEOPLE SKIP A RACE AND DON'T
- 4 VOTE FOR THAT, THAT THAT'S AN ERROR, THAT'S PART OF THE COURT
- 5 PLEADINGS, AND I WOULD CONTEND THAT A LOT OF VOTERS WANTED TO
- 6 SKIP THAT RACE, AND YOU CERTAINLY HAVE NO WAY OF KNOWING
- 7 BECAUSE OF SECRET BALLOT, YOU CAN'T GO AND ASK THAT PERSON,
- 8 "WHY DID YOU SKIP THAT RACE?" YOU'LL NEVER KNOW WHY THEY DID
- 9 IT, WHETHER IT WAS UNINTENTIONAL OR WHETHER THEY -- BECAUSE OF
- 10 THE WAY THE BALLOT WAS LAID OUT OR HAD A PROBLEM WITH PUNCHING
- 11 DOWN THROUGH THE BALLOT CARD.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ARE YOU SAYING THAT THE COURT OF APPEALS --
- 14 OR THE PLEADINGS BEFORE BOTH THE DISTRICT COURT AND THE COURT
- 15 OF APPEALS BY THE PLAINTIFFS ASSUME THAT ANY TIME THERE WAS A
- 16 RACE THAT WAS SKIPPED, THAT THERE WAS NO PUNCH OUT, THAT IS
- 17 CONSTRUED AS AN ERROR, AND CONTRIBUTES TO THE ERROR RATE?

18

- 19 CONNY MCCORMACK: THAT'S CORRECT. IN THEIR PAPERS THAT'S
- 20 CONSIDERED AN ERROR.

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WHEN I READ IN THE PAPERS TODAY ABOUT --
- 23 AND THE DECISION THAT 40,000 PEOPLE WILL BE DISENFRANCHISED AS
- 24 A RESULT OF THESE SYSTEMS -- OR THIS SYSTEM, THAT INCLUDES
- 25 PEOPLE WHO DECIDED THEY DIDN'T WANT TO VOTE FOR THEIR



1 CONGRESS, CONGRESSIONAL ELECTION OR THEIR STATE LEGISLATIVE

2 ELECTION?

3

- 4 CONNY MCCORMACK: THAT'S CORRECT. IT'S A -- THEY CONSIDER THE
- 5 ERROR RATE, THE RESIDUAL VOTE RATE OF THOSE PEOPLE WHO VOTE
- 6 FOR MORE THAN ONE CANDIDATE IN A RACE, SO THAT'S AN OVER VOTE,
- 7 WHICH OFTEN IS AN INTENTIONAL EXPRESSION AS WELL, OR AN UNDER-
- 8 VOTE FOR SOMEONE WHO JUST DIDN'T VOTE IN THAT RACE. THEY
- 9 EXTRAPOLATE THAT BASED ON THE TOP OF THE TICKET, WHETHER OR
- 10 NOT, NOT FOR CONGRESS, THEY EITHER LOOK AT PRESIDENT OR
- 11 GOVERNOR, HOW MANY PEOPLE SKIPPED IT OR VOTED FOR MORE THAN
- 12 ONE, COMBINE THOSE TWO. DIFFERENT VOTING SYSTEMS HAVE -- THE
- 13 TOUCH SCREEN, FOR EXAMPLE, YOU'RE NOT ALLOWED TO OVER-VOTE, IT
- 14 WILL NOT ALLOW YOU TO VOTE FOR TWO CANDIDATES, SO IT PREVENTS
- 15 THE OVER-VOTING, WHICH MAY OR MAY NOT BE INTENTIONAL BEHAVIOR,
- 16 BUT IT DOESN'T PREVENT THE UNDER-VOTING. IT DOES REMIND YOU AT
- 17 THE END OF THE BALLOT YOU HAVE SKIPPED THAT RACE SO THAT IF IT
- 18 WAS UNINTENTIONAL YOU COULD GO BACK AND MAKE THAT CHANGE,
- 19 RATHER THAN THIS SYSTEM DOESN'T REMIND YOU TO DO THAT. AND THE
- 20 DIFFERENCES OF THAT RESIDUAL VOTE RATE BETWEEN TOUCH SCREEN
- 21 AND PUNCH-CARD IS LESS THAN 1%, AND SO IT'S UNKNOWN WHETHER --

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS IT CONCEIVABLE, THEN, GOING BACK TO MY
- 24 QUESTION, THAT BECAUSE IF WE'RE FORCED TO GO INTO A MARCH
- 25 SITUATION, IS IT CONCEIVABLE THAT WE WOULD HAVE -- THAT THE



- 1 CONFUSION THAT YOU DESCRIBED EARLIER COULD LEAD TO PEOPLE
- 2 INADVERTENTLY VOTING OR NOT VOTING OR OVER-VOTING OR UNDER OR
- 3 NOT VOTING OR MISSING SOMETHING, OR SLIPPING, THERE BEING SOME
- 4 SLIPPAGE IN THE --

5

- 6 CONNY MCCORMACK: I THINK IT'S DEFINITELY A SPECULATION, BUT I
- 7 THINK IT'S A SPECULATION THAT SEEMS LOGICAL.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, WHAT DOES CONFUSION MEAN, WHAT DOES
- 10 CONFUSION USUALLY LEAD TO? DOES IT LEAD TO PERFECTION OR DOES
- 11 IT LEAD TO IMPERFECTION?

12

- 13 CONNY MCCORMACK: I THINK THE IMPERFECTION. AND I DO THINK THAT
- 14 RATHER THAN DO WHAT THE PLAINTIFFS DID IN THEIR CASE AND
- 15 PROJECT ERRORS, I DON'T THINK WE CAN NECESSARILY PROJECT ANY
- 16 PERCENTAGES, BUT I DO THINK THAT WE CAN SAY THAT PEOPLE WHO
- 17 ARE CONFRONTED WITH SOMETHING THEY'VE NEVER SEEN BEFORE ARE
- 18 GOING TO HAVE A HARDER TIME WITH IT, AND I THINK IT'S JUST
- 19 LOGICAL TO ASSUME THAT THERE COULD BE PEOPLE WHO EITHER SKIP
- 20 ONE OF THE ELECTIONS OR THE OTHER UNINTENTIONALLY OR ARE
- 21 CONFUSED WITH THE PROCESS.

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO THAT THE ARGUMENT THAT WAS MADE THAT
- 24 PEOPLE IN THE SIX COUNTIES, LET'S JUST STICK TO OUR COUNTY FOR
- 25 NOW, WOULD BE -- WOULD NOT BE EQUALLY TREATED WITH THE OTHER



- 1 COUNTIES THAT HAVE THE MORE MODERN EQUIPMENT, THAT COULD STILL
- 2 BE THE CASE IN MARCH, EVEN UNDER A NEW SET OF RULES, BECAUSE
- 3 WE WILL HAVE THIS SCREWY MITIGATION OF THE COURT'S DECISION TO
- 4 DEAL WITH. IS THAT A FAIR STATEMENT?

5

- 6 CONNY MCCORMACK: I THINK YOU COULD ALMOST TAKE THAT TO THE
- 7 EXTREME OF SAYING UNLESS EVERYONE IN THE UNITED STATES VOTED
- 8 ON THE EXACT SAME SYSTEM, EVERY SYSTEM IS A LITTLE DIFFERENT
- 9 AND HAS THE SUPPOSED ERROR OR RESIDUAL RATES OF PEOPLE
- 10 SKIPPING RACES OR OVER-VOTING A RACE DIFFERENT. EVERY ONE OF
- 11 THE SYSTEMS IS DIFFERENT, AND THERE ARE MANY SYSTEMS THAT ARE
- 12 CERTIFIED FOR USE IN THIS COUNTRY AND IN CALIFORNIA, AND THE
- 13 COUNTIES IN CALIFORNIA USE DIFFERENT SYSTEMS, SO EVERY
- 14 ELECTION, DEPENDING ON WHAT SYSTEM YOU USE, THERE IS A
- 15 DIFFERENCE IN HOW MANY PEOPLE SKIP A RACE OR DON'T OR OVER-
- 16 VOTE THE RACE OR DON'T, AND THAT'S BEEN PROVEN AND THERE ARE
- 17 DOCUMENTED NUMBERS ON IT.

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO TO THE EXTENT THERE'S CONFUSION THAT
- 20 LEADS TO SLIPPAGE IN A COUNTY LIKE OURS, WHICH, BY THE COURT'S
- 21 CONCLUSION AND BY SELF-EVIDENCE, IS A MORE HEAVILY MINORITY
- 22 COUNTY THAN SOME OF THE OTHER 56% OF THE VOTING POPULATION,
- 23 WHICH WAS THE BASIS OF THIS -- ONE OF THE BASES OF THIS
- 24 DECISION, THAT THE SLIPPAGE WILL OCCUR IN PRECISELY THAT KIND
- 25 OF A COUNTY HERE. I MEAN I THINK THAT'S WHAT THE LEAST TO --



1

- 2 CONNY MCCORMACK: AGAIN, IT'S VERY SPECULATIVE, BUT I THINK WE
- 3 CAN SAY THAT IT'S A LOGICAL PROGRESSION OF WHAT WE'RE TALKING
- 4 ABOUT.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: TWO -- LAST QUESTIONS I HAVE. ONE IS, WHAT
- 7 ARE THE OTHER COUNTIES, THE OTHER FIVE MAJOR COUNTIES THAT ARE
- 8 AT ISSUE HERE DOING. DO YOU KNOW?

9

- 10 CONNY MCCORMACK: YES, I DO. SACRAMENTO AND LOS ANGELES ARE THE
- 11 TWO THAT ARE LOOKING AT THE SMALL BALLOT OPTICAL SCAN THAT
- 12 LOOKS LIKE THIS SYSTEM. THE OTHERS, SAN BERNARDINO SANTA
- 13 CLARA, SAN DIEGO, AND SOME OF THE SMALLER ONES, MENDOCINO, ARE
- 14 GOING TO THE TOUCH SCREEN SYSTEM BY MARCH.

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. THE LAST QUESTION I HAVE IS HAS
- 17 ANYBODY IN THIS CASE, ON EITHER SIDE, PLAINTIFFS OR
- 18 DEFENDANTS, ASKED YOU FOR YOUR INPUT AS THE REGISTRAR RECORDER
- 19 FOR THE LARGEST COUNTY IN CALIFORNIA?

20

- 21 CONNY MCCORMACK: THERE'S A FRIEND OF THE COURT GROUP THAT HAS
- 22 ASKED ME TO DO THAT, BUT IN TERMS --

23

24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: RECENTLY?



1 CONNY MCCORMACK: YES.

2

3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SINCE YESTERDAY?

4

5 CONNY MCCORMACK: YES.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT PRIOR TO YESTERDAY, HAS YOUR INPUT BEEN
- 8 SOLICITED?

9

- 10 CONNY MCCORMACK: ON A FRIEND OF THE COURT BRIEF THAT
- 11 SACRAMENTO COUNTY DID, I WAS ASKED TO REVIEW THEIR FRIEND OF
- 12 THE COURT BRIEF, WHICH I DID, AND ASSISTED IN EDITING IT, BUT
- 13 A FRIEND OF THE COURT BRIEF AS YOU KNOW IS NOT THE SAME AS
- 14 BEING A PARTY TO THE LAWSUIT.

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT I'M LEADING TO, AND MAYBE MR. PELLMAN
- 17 YOU CAN JUST MULL THIS OVER, IS IT SEEMS TO ME THIS
- 18 INFORMATION SOMEHOW NEEDS TO GET BEFORE A COURT, OR THE COURT,
- 19 OR SHOULD HAVE BEEN BEFORE THE COURT, I --

20

21 CONNY MCCORMACK: I COULDN'T AGREE MORE.

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND THEN THEY COULD HAVE MADE THE SAME
- 24 DECISION AFTER HEARING WHAT MISS MCCORMACK HAD TO SAY, AND
- 25 PROBABLY WOULD HAVE MADE THE SAME DECISION, AT LEAST IN THE



- 1 CASE OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT COURT, AND I'M SURE THE DISTRICT
- 2 COURT WOULD HAVE MADE THE SAME DECISION, TOO. BUT SOMEHOW --

3

- 4 CONNY MCCORMACK: I THINK WE HAVE TO GO BACK TO TWO YEARS AGO
- 5 WHEN --

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HANG ON A SECOND. THE PEOPLE WHO ARE IN THE
- 8 TRENCHES, DOING THE WORK AND HAVE TO IMPLEMENT THE DECISION OF
- 9 THE COURT, THEIR INPUT NEEDS NOT ONLY TO BE SOLICITED, BUT
- 10 NEEDS TO BE BEFORE THE COURT IN A FORMAL WAY SO THAT THEY CAN
- 11 EVALUATE IT. OTHERWISE, IT'S A PROFESSOR FROM U.C. BERKELEY,
- 12 GREAT SCHOOL, PONTIFICATING AND OPINING ABOUT WHAT HE THINKS
- 13 THE IMPACT IS, AND SOME OTHER PROFESSOR OPINING THE OPPOSITE,
- 14 AND NO REGISTRAR RECORDER HAS BEEN ASKED FOR HIS OR HER
- 15 OPINION. AND TO ME THAT'S KIND OF FOOLISH, BUT, YOU KNOW, WHAT
- 16 AM I?

17

18 SUP. KNABE: PARTICULARLY THE LARGEST VOTING SYSTEM IN AMERICA.

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH. SO I WOULD ASK THAT YOU CONSIDER THAT
- 21 WHEN WE GO INTO CLOSED SESSION, TO EVALUATE ALL THESE CASES,
- 22 IF WE CAN DEAL WITH THAT ISSUE, IF THERE IS A WAY TO DEAL WITH
- 23 THAT ISSUE, IT MAY BE TOO LATE. I'M SORRY I CUT YOU OFF,
- 24 CONNY, GO AHEAD.



- 1 CONNY MCCORMACK: NO, I WAS CUTTING YOU OFF, SUPERVISOR. I
- 2 COULDN'T AGREE MORE. THIS CASE, WHEN IT STARTED IN 2001, WE
- 3 WERE ATTEMPTING TO BE -- WE WERE GOING TO BE WHEN IF IT HAD A
- 4 TRIAL IN JUDGE WILSON'S CASE, I WAS GOING TO BE THE LEAD
- 5 WITNESS, AND I DID AN EIGHT-HOUR DECLARATION AND THEN THE
- 6 JUDGE DETERMINED NOT TO HAVE A TRIAL AND RULED FROM THE BENCH,
- 7 AND SUBSEQUENT COURT CASES, WE'VE NOT BEEN BROUGHT IN ON, AND
- 8 WE WEREN'T BROUGHT IN ON THAT ONE, EITHER, BUT THEY WERE GOING
- 9 TO ALLOW US TO TESTIFY, BUT THERE'S BEEN NO TESTIFYING, IT'S
- 10 ALL BEEN A PROBLEM.

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WON'T THERE BE AN ADMINISTRATIVE PROBLEM IF
- 13 THE ELECTION WOULD BE IN MARCH AND THEN THE CLERK OF THE
- 14 POLLING PLACE WOULD HAVE TO DETERMINE IF THAT PERSON HAD
- 15 ALREADY VOTED? IF NOT, AND THEN YOU'D HAVE CHALLENGES CREATING
- 16 MORE OF AN ADMINISTRATIVE NIGHTMARE?

17

- 18 CONNY MCCORMACK: AT THIS POINT, SUPERVISOR, I REALLY CAN'T
- 19 SAY, UNTIL WE SEE WHAT THE COURTS ARE GOING TO DO WITH THIS
- 20 AND THE BALLOTS THAT HAVE ALREADY BEEN CAST AND WHETHER OR NOT
- 21 THEY'RE GOING TO DISALLOW THEM OR, YOUR GUESS IS AS GOOD AS
- 22 MINE AT THIS POINT.

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO THEY'VE REALLY CREATED A STATE OF CHAOS,
- 25 AND WE'RE ALL IN LIMBO, IN A CATCH-22 POSITION.



1

- 2 CONNY MCCORMACK: WELL, WE SEEM TO BE. AGAIN WE ARE PROCEEDING
- 3 IN THE NEXT SIX DAYS AS THE COURT HAS ORDERED THAT WE PROCEED
- 4 WITH THE ELECTION, AND WE ARE DOING THAT.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT IS THERE, IF THERE IS ONE THING YOU
- 7 WOULD WANT TO SAY IN FRONT OF ALL THESE REPRESENTATIVES OF THE
- 8 PUBLIC, IF THERE WAS ONE WISH YOU HAD FOR THIS WHOLE THING AT
- 9 THIS POINT IN TIME, AS THE REGISTRAR OF THE LARGEST COUNTY IN
- 10 AMERICA, WHAT WOULD IT BE?

11

12 CONNY MCCORMACK: WELL I THINK I MIGHT HAVE ALREADY SAID IT.

13

14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SAY IT AGAIN.

- 16 CONNY MCCORMACK: I REALLY THINK THAT WE OUGHT TO BE THINKING
- 17 ABOUT THE VOTER. I'M MOST CONCERNED ABOUT THE VOTER AND THE
- 18 POTENTIAL DESTABILIZING EFFECT OF ALL OF THIS TURMOIL, NOT
- 19 JUST FOR THIS ELECTION, BUT INTO THE FUTURE. I'M CONCERNED
- 20 WILL PEOPLE IN THE FUTURE NOT WANT TO MAIL IN THEIR ABSENTEE
- 21 BALLOTS FOR OTHER ELECTIONS THINKING THEY SHOULD WAIT 'TIL THE
- 22 LAST MINUTE AND THEN WE DON'T GET THEM IN TIME AND I MEAN,
- 23 THERE'S JUST LOTS OF POTENTIAL REPERCUSSIONS DOWN THE LINE ON
- 24 THE PEOPLE'S BELIEF IN THE ELECTORAL PROCESS, AND I THINK WE
- 25 HAVE TO BE VERY CAREFUL ABOUT THAT, BECAUSE I THINK THAT'S



- 1 BEEN, EVER SINCE NOVEMBER 2000, THERE'S BEEN A LOT OF CONCERN
- 2 ABOUT THAT, AND WE'VE DONE EVERYTHING WE CAN AND YOUR BOARD
- 3 HAS DONE EVERYTHING WE CAN TO BE ENSURE THAT WE'RE COUNTING
- 4 THE BALLOTS APPROPRIATELY, BUT NOW WE'VE GOT A LOT OF BALLOTS
- 5 CAST IN THIS ELECTION AND PEOPLE ARE WONDERING, THEY'RE
- 6 CALLING OUR OFFICES 'WHAT THEY SHOULD BE DOING,' AND I DON'T
- 7 THINK THAT JUST GOES AWAY AFTER THIS ISSUE IS RESOLVED,
- 8 NECESSARILY. I THINK WE'VE GOT A LARGER CHALLENGE NOW TO
- 9 ASSURE PEOPLE THAT THE ELECTION PROCESS IS -- THERE'S A
- 10 SANCTITY TO IT.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOUR ADVICE TO THE PUBLIC, TO THE VOTING
- 13 PUBLIC IS TO CONTINUE TO PROCEED AS THOUGH THE ELECTION IS
- 14 BEING HELD ON OCTOBER 7TH UNTIL THEY HEAR OTHERWISE?

15

- 16 CONNY MCCORMACK: THAT'S BEEN THE COURT'S ADVICE AND THE
- 17 SECRETARY OF STATE'S ADVICE AND I BELIEVE ALL OF YOU WOULD
- 18 WANT THE SAME. BUT AGAIN WE'RE IN A LIMBO, AND WE'RE GOING TO
- 19 DO IT, BUT THEN WHAT WILL HAPPEN IN FIVE OR SIX DAYS IS
- 20 ANYONE'S --

21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ARE YOU AWARE OF WHETHER THE SECRETARY OF
- 23 STATE HAS DECIDED TO APPEAL THE CASE OR NOT?



- 1 CONNY MCCORMACK: I JUST GOT OFF THE PHONE BEFORE COMING,
- 2 THAT'S WHY I WAS LATE, AND HE'S HAVING A PRESS CONFERENCE AT
- 3 2:00, AND HE HAS NOT REVEALED THAT AT THIS POINT.

4

5 SUP. KNABE: ANYTHING ELSE?

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: LET ME ASK COUNTY COUNSEL. THE TWO OPTIONS
- 8 BILL, WOULD BE FOR AN APPEAL DIRECTLY TO THE U.S. SUPREME
- 9 COURT OR TO ASK FOR THE NINTH DISTRICT COURT TO MEET AND HOLD
- 10 AS A BODY AND MAKE A DECISION. IF THEY WENT WITH THE NINTH
- 11 DISTRICT TO MEET AS A WHOLE, WHAT IS A TIME FRAME FOR THAT?

12

- 13 COUNSEL PELLMAN: I BELIEVE I SAW SOME INFORMATION IN THE LAST
- 14 24 HOURS THAT INDICATED THIS WOULD HAVE TO BE DONE IN A VERY
- 15 SHORT PERIOD, SUCH AS A WEEK FOR AN EN BANC HEARING.

16

17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: ABOUT A WEEK?

18

- 19 COUNSEL PELLMAN: WHICH WOULD, AT THE MOST EXPEDITIOUS, CHEW UP
- 20 AN ADDITIONAL PERIOD OF TIME.

21

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO DOES THE TIMEFRAME PERMIT THAT TYPE OF
- 23 HEARING AND DECISION TO BE MADE PRIOR TO OCTOBER 7TH?



- 1 COUNSEL PELLMAN: IT DOES, IF THE EN BANC HEARING IS GOING TO
- 2 BE HELD. IF THE NINTH CIRCUIT TAKES TIME TO REVIEW IT, TO
- 3 DECIDE WHETHER TO HAVE AN EN BANC HEARING, IT'S HARD TO
- 4 PREDICT WHETHER --

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO AN EN BANC'S HEARING TAKES A MINIMUM OF
- 7 SEVEN DAYS, OR A MAXIMUM OF SEVEN DAYS?

8

- 9 COUNSEL PELLMAN: NO, THE INFORMATION I SAW THIS MORNING, BUT I
- 10 HAVEN'T CONFIRMED IT WAS IT WAS GOING TO TAKE SEVEN DAYS FOR
- 11 THAT PROCESS TO TAKE PLACE FOR A DECISION TO BE MADE WITH
- 12 RESPECT TO AN EN BANC HEARING. AND THEN THE HEARING WOULD HAVE
- 13 TO BE HELD, THAT DECISION WOULD ALSO BE SUBJECT TO REVIEW BY
- 14 THE U.S. SUPREME COURT.

15

16 **SUP. ANTONOVICH: RIGHT.**

17

- 18 COUNSEL PELLMAN: I'VE ASKED JUDY WHITEHURST TO ADVISE US, MS.
- 19 MCCORMACK'S DEPARTMENT, TO BE RESEARCHING THOSE ISSUES AND
- 20 BASED ON THE REPORT THAT YOU'VE RECEIVED THIS MORNING FROM MS.
- 21 MCCORMACK, THAT IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE FOR THE BOARD TO
- 22 DETERMINE THAT THE NEED TO TAKE ACTION AROSE SUBSEQUENT TO THE
- 23 POSTING OF THE AGENDA SO THAT WE COULD HAVE THIS ITEM FOR
- 24 CLOSED SESSION THIS MORNING.



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I WOULD SO MOVE.

2

- 3 SUP. KNABE: AND I WOULD SECOND, ANY OBJECTION? SO ORDERED.
- 4 ANYTHING ELSE? ANY OTHER? CONNY, THANK YOU, AND I KNOW THAT
- 5 YOU'LL CONTINUE TO KEEP US ALL INFORMED AS IT RELATES TO THESE
- 6 ISSUES, AND THANK YOU FOR BEING HERE THIS MORNING. ZEV, YOU'RE
- 7 STILL UP ON SPECIALS. YOU DID YOUR ADJOURNMENTS.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: LET'S TAKE UP ITEM NUMBER 10, AT LEAST FOR
- 10 NOW. I THINK YOU AND MS. BURKE, DO YOU WANT TO WAIT 'TIL MS.
- 11 BURKE COMES BACK ON THIS?

12

13 SUP. KNABE: YES SHE HAS REQUESTED ITEM 10.

14

15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL LET'S HOLD IT 'TIL SHE COMES BACK, I --

16

17 SUP. KNABE: WHAT IF WE DO NUMBER 8 TEMPORARILY AND.

18

19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT.

20

- 21 SUP. KNABE: SUPERVISOR BURKE'S REQUESTED THAT WE DO 10, 17 AND
- 22 21, I THINK THAT'S ABOUT WHAT WE HAVE, SO SHE SHOULD BE BACK
- 23 SHORTLY. BUT ITEM NUMBER 8 WAS HELD FOR PETER BAXTER. CALL HIM
- 24 FORWARD.



- 1 PETER BAXTER: THANK YOU. MR. CHAIR -- CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF
- 2 YOUR HONORABLE BOARD, MR. JANSSEN, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, MY
- 3 NAME IS PETER BAXTER, AND I LIVE IN LOS ANGELES. IT IS MY
- 4 RESPECTFUL POSITION THAT I -- THAT YOU NAME A COUNTY
- 5 COURTHOUSE IS, I BELIEVE -- I SINCERELY BELIEVE BEYOND OR
- 6 WITHOUT THE AUTHORITY OF ANYBODY AT ALL AS BEING A POLITICAL
- 7 ACTION WHEN THE EFFORT, WHICH IS AGAINST THE PRINCIPLE OF THE
- 8 SEPARATION OF POWERS, ALL OF WHICH IS RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED.
- 9 THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.

10

11 SUP. KNABE: OKAY. IS THERE A MOTION TO APPROVE?

12

13 **SUP. ANTONOVICH: MOVED.**

14

15 SUP. KNABE: SECOND. ANY OBJECTION? SO ORDERED.

16

17 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** ADJOURNMENTS?

- 19 SUP. KNABE: YES. GO AHEAD, LET'S DO ADJOURNMENTS AS WE WAIT
- 20 HERE. I'LL GO AHEAD AND DO MINE. I WOULD ASK YVONNE AUTRY HAS
- 21 SIGNED UP FOR MULTIPLE ITEMS, AND DUE TO THE RULES, IF SHE
- 22 COULD VISIT WITH SOMEBODY FROM THE EXECUTIVE OFFICE TO PICK
- 23 WHICH ONE SHE WANTS TO ADDRESS WOULD BE APPROPRIATE, SO. I'D
- 24 ASK TODAY THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF CHARLES METZGER, WHO
- 25 PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 99. HE BECAME AN ELECTRICAL WORKER



- 1 FOR THE CITY OF LONG BEACH AND EVENTUALLY BECAME THE CITY'S
- 2 CHIEF ELECTRICAL INSPECTOR FOR 13 YEARS BEFORE HE RETIRED IN
- 3 1969 AFTER 34 YEARS OF SERVICE. HE WAS A GREAT SAXOPHONE
- 4 PLAYER WHO ALSO PERFORMED AT THE CASINO IN CATALINA ISLAND. HE
- 5 IS SURVIVED BY HIS BELOVED WIFE OF 73 YEARS, RETA, TWO
- 6 DAUGHTERS, MARRILLYN AND MARGIE, THREE GRANDCHILDREN, AND
- 7 EIGHT GREAT GRANDCHILDREN. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF
- 8 HENRY BELANGER. HENRY RETIRED IN 1996 AFTER 40 YEARS AS A MAIL
- 9 CARRIER WITH THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE IN LAKEWOOD. HE
- 10 ENJOYED FISHING AND HIKING WITH HIS FAMILY IN MAMMOTH. AFTER
- 11 RETIRING WHEN HE WASN'T THERE HE WAS SPENDING TIME WITH HIS
- 12 GRANDCHILDREN, ALEX AND AMANDA. HE'S SURVIVED BY HIS BELOVED
- 13 WIFE OF 45 YEARS, JUDITH, HIS DAUGHTER, SUZANNE, ALEX, HIS
- 14 GRANDCHILDREN ALEX AND AMANDA, MOTHER-IN-LAW, EVELYN, AND MANY
- 15 OTHER FRIENDS AND RELATIVES. HE WILL BE MISSED. ALSO THAT WE
- 16 ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF MR. BILL MCFADDEN, PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE
- 17 OF 91. HE'S A LONG-TIME RESIDENT OF BELMONT SHORE. HE WAS
- 18 STILL AT THE AGE OF 91 JUST PRIOR TO PASSING WAS STILL TAKING
- 19 HIS DAILY HALF A MILE SWIM AT THE SECOND STREET DOCK AND THEN
- 20 FOLLOWING UP WITH A HALF-MILE JOG. HE LOVED THE WATER AND HE
- 21 SAILED AROUND THE WORLD, HE TOOK A JOB AS A CRANE OPERATOR AT
- 22 THE SHIPYARD AND SO ON, AND HE JUST WAS VERY, VERY ACTIVE.
- 23 HE'S SURVIVED BY HIS COUSIN, DIANNE, CLOSE FRIEND, MICHAEL,
- 24 AND MANY OTHER FRIENDS. SO ORDERED. SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE THAT WE ADJOURN IN
- 2 MEMORY OF DR. EDWARD TELLER, THE PHYSICIST WHO CAME TO DEVELOP
- 3 OUR ATOMIC AND STRATEGIC DEFENSE PROGRAMS, WHO PASSED AWAY AT
- 4 THE AGE OF 95. I HAD THE PRIVILEGE OF HAVING DR. TELLER AS ONE
- 5 OF THE LECTURERS AT A PROGRAM I WAS INVOLVED IN AT STANFORD
- 6 UNIVERSITY WHEN HE WAS AT THE HOOVER INSTITUTE. HE WAS THE
- 7 WHOLE DEDICATION OF HIS LIFE, HEART AND SOUL, WAS TO ENSURING
- 8 A STRONG NATIONAL DEFENSE POLICY. HE WAS A RECIPIENT OF THE
- 9 PRESIDENTIAL MEDAL OF FREEDOM, THE ALBERT EINSTEIN AWARD, AND
- 10 HE HAD BEEN ELECTED TO THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES AND
- 11 THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF ARTS AND SCIENCES. HIS FAMILY, WHILE
- 12 FROM HUNGARY, SAW THE TOTALITARIAN EVILS OF BOTH COMMUNISM AND
- 13 FASCISM, AND THEY MIGRATED TO THE UNITED STATES. HE WAS A
- 14 BRILLIANT LECTURER AND A VERY WARM PERSON. CAROLE DYNDA, WHO
- 15 PASSED AWAY, WAS THE WIFE OF ERNIE DYNDA, FORMER CITY COUNCIL
- 16 MEMBER IN AGOURA HILLS. AND SHE WAS VERY SUCCESSFUL IN THE
- 17 CITYHOOD CAMPAIGN IN 1982 IN CAMARILLO, I SHOULD SAY IN AGOURA
- 18 HILLS AND THEN RETIRED TO CAMARILLO WHERE SHE PASSED AWAY. SHE
- 19 WAS OFFICE MANAGER FOR THE UNITED ORGANIZATION OF TAXPAYERS.
- 20 ALSO IN THE PASSING OF THE SINGING GREAT, JOHNNY CASH. I HAD
- 21 THE OPPORTUNITY OF MEETING HIM. ONE OF HIS BOOKS THAT HE HAD
- 22 WRITTEN WAS 'THE MAN IN WHITE,' WHICH IS THE LIFE OF SAINT
- 23 PAUL, WHICH WAS A VERY MOVING ACCOUNT AND SIMILAR IN THE
- 24 TRADITION OF A BOOK BY TAYLOR CALDWELL, 'THE DEAR AND GLORIOUS
- 25 PHYSICIAN, WHICH WAS THE LIFE OF LUKE, AND JUST HE



- 1 CONTRIBUTED A LOT AND THEN I UNDERSTAND THEY STILL HAVE 100
- 2 SONGS OF HIS THAT HAVE NEVER BEEN RELEASED THAT THEY'LL BE
- 3 RELEASING IN THE YEARS AHEAD. AGNES CRAIKER, WHO PASSED AWAY
- 4 ON SEPTEMBER 10TH AT THE AGE OF 94. SHE AND HER HUSBAND WERE
- 5 BOTH INSTRUMENTAL AND ACTIVE IN THE LOS ANGELES WRITERS LEAGUE
- 6 AND INSTRUMENTAL IN MAKING A HIGH COUP POET POETRY A PART OF
- 7 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ARTS AND CRAFTS MOVEMENT. SHE WAS A
- 8 PERSONAL FRIEND AND SUPPORTER BACK WHEN I WAS A MEMBER OF THE
- 9 COMMUNITY COLLEGE BOARD OF TRUSTEES. MILTON GORDON, ANOTHER
- 10 FORMER SUPPORTER AND COMMUNITY LEADER. MILT GORDON SERVED ON A
- 11 NUMBER OF COUNTY AND CITY COMMISSIONS AND BOARDS. HE WAS ALSO
- 12 AT ONE TIME AT THE STATE INSURANCE -- OR I SHOULD SAY REAL
- 13 ESTATE COMMISSIONER UNDER GOVERNOR BROWN, SR., AND HE IS
- 14 SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE AND THEIR TWO CHILDREN. DR. EARL
- 15 KENDRICK, WHO PASSED AWAY, HE WAS A UNITED STATES MARINE, AND
- 16 RECIPIENT OF THE BRONZE STAR AND PRESIDENTIAL CITATION, AND HE
- 17 WAS ALSO A RESPECTED PHYSICIAN, SERVED AS DISTRICT HEALTH
- 18 OFFICER FOR THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY HEALTH SERVICES FROM 1958
- 19 TO 1990. THU VAN NGUYEN, FORMER DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND
- 20 FAMILY SERVICES EMPLOYEE, HE PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 68. HE
- 21 WORKED FOR THE DEPARTMENT FOR 15 YEARS, AND MANY OF YOU MAY
- 22 REMEMBER HIM WHEN HE WAS INVOLVED IN A WORKPLACE SHOOTING, BUT
- 23 HE RECOVERED AND WAS ABLE TO RETURN TO WORK. AND HE LEAVES HIS
- 24 WIFE AND THEIR FOUR CHILDREN. JOHN RITTER, WHO PASSED AWAY.
- 25 AND HIS FATHER WAS TEX RITTER, THE GREAT COWBOY STAR AND



- 1 SONGWRITER AS WELL. AND I MET THE FAMILY WITH, HIS MOTHER WAS
- 2 PART OF THE REAGAN DELEGATION BACK IN 1978 WHEN PRESIDENT
- 3 REAGAN WAS RUNNING FOR PRESIDENT AT THE TIME. AND HE LEAVES A
- 4 BROTHER AND HIS FAMILY AND FOUR CHILDREN.

5

6 SUP. KNABE: ALL MEMBERS.

7

- 8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: PAUL MCELROY FOREMAN, WHO WAS ACTIVE WITH THE
- 9 -- 40 YEARS AS VICE PRESIDENT AT UNION OIL COMPANY, UNOCAL AND
- 10 INVOLVED WITH THE SAN MARINO COMMUNITY CHURCH, WHERE HE SERVED
- 11 AS ELDER CLERK AND CHANCEL CHOIR. THOSE ARE MY ADJOURNMENT
- 12 MOTIONS.

13

- 14 SUP. KNABE: OKAY. SUPERVISOR MOLINA, DO YOU HAVE ANY
- 15 ADJOURNMENTS?

16

17 SUP. MOLINA: NO I DON'T.

18

- 19 SUP. KNABE: OKAY, SUPERVISOR BURKE SHOULD BE HERE SHORTLY, BUT
- 20 I THINK WE CAN -- DAVID I -- DAVID, I THINK WE CAN PROCEED
- 21 WITH ITEM S-2, AT LEAST, THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT BUDGET
- 22 COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE.

- 24 C.A.O. JANSSEN: LAZAR, WE NEED THE HEALTH PEOPLE FOR THE
- 25 COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE? WHILE THE DEPARTMENT IS COMING, MR.



- 1 CHAIRMAN, THEY HAVE FILED AN ADDITIONAL BOARD LETTER UPDATING
- 2 THE CONDITION OF THE FORECAST SINCE THE JULY DISCUSSION WITH
- 3 THE BOARD. THERE ARE A NUMBER OF HEALTH-RELATED ITEMS THAT ARE
- 4 INCLUDED IN THE BUDGET DOCUMENT AS WELL, BOTH IN CLOSING AND
- 5 IN VARIOUS CHANGES WITHIN THE BUDGET THAT WE CAN DISCUSS,
- 6 EITHER HERE OR THERE OR BOTH, BUT I'LL ASK FRED LEAF AT THIS
- 7 TIME TO GIVE YOU A UPDATE ON WHERE THEY ARE.

9 **FRED LEAF:** GOOD MORNING, SUPERVISORS.

11 SUP. MOLINA: WAIT A MINUTE, WHERE -- WHAT ARE YOU DISCUSSING?

13 C.A.O. JANSSEN: S-2. THE CHAIRMAN CALLED UP S-2.

15 **SUP. KNABE:** S-2.

17 SUP. MOLINA: AND S-2 IS WHAT?

19 C.A.O. JANSSEN: COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE.

21 **SUP. MOLINA:** AND IS IT '02/'03, OR '03/'04?

- 23 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO IT'S JUST THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE
- 24 DISCUSSION. AS I SAID --

25

8

10

12

14

16

18

20



1 SUP. MOLINA: I JUST WANT TO KNOW WHERE I'M AT.

2

- 3 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO AS I INDICATED THERE ARE HEALTH ITEMS IN
- 4 THE 51 THROUGH 56 ALSO, THEN YOU HAVE THE COMMITTEE OF THE
- 5 WHOLE DISCUSSION, WHICH I THINK WAS ANTICIPATED TO BE JUST AN
- 6 UPDATE OF THE FORECAST IN THE CURRENT CONDITION.

7

8 SUP. MOLINA: ALL RIGHT, '03/'04.

9

10 C.A.O. JANSSEN: AND FUTURE YEARS, '04/'05, '05/'06.

- 12 FRED LEAF: OKAY, THE BOARD HAS BEEN PROVIDED WITH SOME
- 13 FINANCIAL DOCUMENTS FOR THIS BUDGET COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE'S
- 14 FORECAST. THE DEPARTMENT'S FISCAL OUTLOOK STILL HAS A VERY
- 15 POSITIVE RESULT THROUGH FISCAL YEAR '05. THE FORECAST FOR
- 16 THESE YEARS INCLUDE A ONE-TIME PAYMENT OF \$50 MILLION IN OUT-
- 17 PATIENT LAWSUIT SETTLEMENT, \$406 MILLION IN 1115 WAIVER FUNDS
- 18 AND 200 MILLION IN REVENUE ASSOCIATED WITH THE SP-CP WAIVER.
- 19 AS A RESULT OF THESE REVENUES, THE ANTICIPATED BUDGET SURPLUS
- 20 FOR FISCAL YEAR '02/'03 IS \$384.9, UP SLIGHTLY FROM THE 381
- 21 MILLION SURPLUS REPORTED IN JULY. ONCE THESE REVENUE SOURCES
- 22 EXPIRE, ALONG WITH THE IMPACT OF THE FEDERAL COURT RULINGS AND
- 23 RODIE AND HARRIS LAWSUITS, THE DEPARTMENT WILL EXPERIENCE A
- 24 DEFICIT OF 90.7 MILLION IN THE FISCAL YEAR '05/'06 AND IT
- 25 GROWS TO ABOUT 860.1 MILLION IN -- BY FISCAL YEAR '07/'08. THE



- 1 KEY CHANGES IN THE FORECAST INCLUDE A SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET
- 2 RESOLUTION OF 49.5 MILLION NECESSARY TO SUPPORT THE CONTINUED
- 3 OPERATION OF RANCHO LOS AMIGOS NATIONAL REHABILITATION CENTER
- 4 THROUGH FISCAL YEAR '03/'04.

5

- 6 SUP. MOLINA: PLEASE TELL ME WHAT YOU'RE READING FROM SO I CAN
- 7 FOLLOW ALONG.

8

- 9 FRED LEAF: OH ACTUALLY I EXCERPTED IT FROM OUR BOARD REPORT,
- 10 FROM OUR BOARD REPORT.

11

12 SUP. MOLINA: OKAY. SO WHAT MAP ARE YOU FROM OR?

13

14 FRED LEAF: HERE. LET ME -- YES. HERE YOU ARE.

15

- 16 SUP. MOLINA: GEE THAT'S RIGHT. WE ALREADY HAVE THIS. SO WHERE
- 17 IS WHAT HE'S SAYING, TELL ME WHERE IT IS WHAT HE'S SAYING, IS
- 18 IT ON THE LAST PAGE? [MIXED VOICES]

19

- 20 FRED LEAF: OKAY, I'M GOING TO TALK NOW ABOUT THE HUNDRED BEDS
- 21 AT L.A.C./U.S.C. MEDICAL -- PARDON ME. COULD YOU GIVE HER THIS
- 22 SUMMARY? DO YOU HAVE THAT, THAT I HAVE?

23

24 SUP. MOLINA: WE GOT THIS THIS MORNING. RIGHT?



1 FRED LEAF: THE -- I BELIEVE IT WAS SENT OVER LAST NIGHT, LATE.

2

3 SUP. MOLINA: 9:30 THIS MORNING.

4

5 FRED LEAF: I'LL GO FOR THAT. I'LL AGREE WITH THAT.

6

7 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU.

- 9 FRED LEAF: AND I'M ON THE SECOND PAGE, THE FIRST BULLET. WHILE
- 10 THE DEPARTMENT WILL CONTINUE TO OPERATE THE HUNDRED BEDS AT
- 11 L.A.C./U.S.C. MEDICAL CENTER, PURSUANT TO THE COURT'S RULING,
- 12 IT'S NOT NECESSARY YET TO AUGMENT THE ACTUAL BUDGET VIA A
- 13 BUDGET ADJUSTMENT, AND THAT WILL BE HANDLED LATER THIS FISCAL
- 14 YEAR. SCENARIO THREE SAVINGS HAVE BEEN REDUCED BY 17.4 MILLION
- 15 IN FISCAL YEAR '03/'04, AND BY A TOTAL OF 54.8 MILLION THROUGH
- 16 FISCAL YEAR '07/'08 AS A RESULT OF THE FOLLOWING CHANGES:
- 17 REDUCTION IN SIGHT SAVINGS FROM 20.3 MILLION TO 16.5 MILLION,
- 18 THAT IS WE INCLUDED 20.3 MILLION IN OUR SCENARIO THREE SAVINGS
- 19 AND THAT'S NOW BEEN REDUCED TO 16.5. AN INCREASE IN THE NET
- 20 COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE CONVERSION OF HIGH DESERT FROM 9.3
- 21 TO 10.2 -- SAVINGS, I'M SORRY, NOT THE NET COSTS, THE NET
- 22 SAVINGS OF 10.2 MILLION, INCREASED BY ABOUT \$900,000. A
- 23 REDUCTION IN THE SAVINGS FROM THE COMPETENCE OF HEALTH CENTER
- 24 EFFICIENCIES FROM 23.3 TO 16 MILLION. THAT'S THE RESULT OF A
- 25 FINAL REVIEW OF STAFFING, WHICH WE HAD TO REDUCE THE SAVINGS



- 1 THERE TO ENSURE THERE WAS NO REDUCTION IN SERVICES IN
- 2 ACCORDANCE WITH THE COURT'S ORDER. A REINSTATEMENT OF \$8
- 3 MILLION AND ABOUT 114 POSITIONS TO O.M.C. BECAUSE THE
- 4 OUTSOURCING WITH L.A. CARE WILL PROBABLY NOT OCCUR 'TIL THE
- 5 END OF THIS FISCAL YEAR ONCE WE FINALIZE NEGOTIATIONS WHICH
- 6 HOPEFULLY WILL OCCUR AT THE END OF THIS MONTH. THE FORECAST
- 7 ALSO ASSUMES AN ADDITIONAL 135 MILLION OVER THE FIVE-YEAR
- 8 PERIOD, A HUNDRED MILLION FOR E.M.R. AT MEDICAL CENTER,
- 9 ELECTRONIC MEDICAL RECORDS, AND 35 MILLION TO SUPPORT MOVING
- 10 OPERATIONS IN TRANSITION TO THE NEW HOSPITAL. THE FISCAL
- 11 FORECAST INCLUDES THE ELIMINATION OF 28.7 MILLION IN EQUITY
- 12 DISTRIBUTION FROM THE C.A.P.'S EXCESS TANGIBLE NET EQUITY,
- 13 LEAVING A FORECASTED 52 MILLION AVAILABLE TO PAY FOR CRITICAL
- 14 UNMET NEEDS AND/OR GO TOWARD BUDGET REDUCTION IN THE OUT YEARS
- 15 AS DETERMINED BY THE BOARD. REVENUES IN THE AMOUNT OF 9.8
- 16 MILLION ASSOCIATED WITH A.B.-915, WHICH BASICALLY ENHANCES
- 17 REIMBURSEMENT FOR UNCOMPENSATED COSTS FOR MEDI-CAL PATIENTS,
- 18 HAS BEEN ELIMINATED RECENTLY BECAUSE OF THE FEDERAL CENTERS
- 19 FOR MEDICARE AND MEDICAID SERVICES' CURRENT POSITION ON THIS
- 20 PARTICULAR BILL. THE RULING WILL PROBABLY COME LATER THIS
- 21 MONTH, AND IF IT'S FAVORABLE, WE WILL OF COURSE INCLUDE THE
- 22 9.8 MILLION IN OUR FORECAST IN THE FUTURE MONTHS. THE
- 23 LIOUIDATION OF THE TRUST FUND RESERVE OF 96.1 MILLION, WHICH
- 24 WAS PUT ASIDE TO PAY FOR POTENTIAL MEDI-CAL LIABILITIES HAS
- 25 BEEN ACCELERATED FROM YEAR '05/'06 TO FISCAL YEAR '04/'05



- 1 BASED ON THE EXPECTATION THAT C.M.S. WILL ACT ON A STATE PLAN
- 2 AMENDMENT THAT MAY WAIVE THESE LIABILITIES. IF THE STATE PLAN
- 3 IS APPROVED BY C.M.S. THIS YEAR THE FISCAL YEAR '03/'04
- 4 FORECAST WILL BE ADJUSTED TO REFLECT THE 96.1 MILLION IN
- 5 '03/'04 AS OPPOSED TO '04/'05, BUT DOESN'T CHANGE THE OVERALL
- 6 FORECAST AS WE GO FORWARD.

7

9

11

- 8 SUP. MOLINA: WHY NOT? WHERE DOES THE MONEY GO?
- 10 FRED LEAF: OH IT'S ALREADY INCLUDED IN THE FORE --
- 12 SUP. MOLINA: IT'S INCLUDED WHERE?
- 14 FRED LEAF: IN THE FIVE-YEAR FORECAST. IT'S BEEN INCLUDED, BUT
- 15 JUST IN A DIFFERENT YEAR. SO RIGHT NOW, IT'S IN '05/'06.
- 16 THAT'S WHAT YOU'VE BEEN SEEING, THE 96 MILLION WAS IN THAT
- 17 PARTICULAR YEAR. WE MOVED IT FORWARD TO '04/'05, SO NOW THE
- 18 96.1 IS REFLECTED IN THAT YEAR. HOWEVER, IF THE STATE PLAN
- 19 AMENDMENT IS APPROVED, WHICH WILL PROBABLY OCCUR THE END OF
- 20 OCTOBER, AND IT INCLUDES LANGUAGE IN THAT STATE PLAN
- 21 AMENDMENT, WHICH PROTECTS US FROM HAVING TO PAY THAT MONEY,
- 22 THEN WE'LL MOVE IT INTO THIS FISCAL YEAR TO REFLECT ITS
- 23 AVAILABILITY THIS YEAR. ONE-TIME FUNDING IS INCLUDED IN THE
- 24 AMOUNT OF 8.4 MILLION IN FISCAL YEAR '03/'04, ASSOCIATED WITH
- 25 THE SETTLEMENT OF LONG OUTSTANDING CLAIMS FROM CONTRACT AND



- 1 NON-CONTRACT HEALTH PLANS. THIS IS A NEWLY-ESTIMATED AMOUNT
- 2 BASED ON OUR HISTORICAL EXPERIENCE TO TRY TO MAKE THE FORECAST
- 3 A LITTLE MORE ACCURATE. THE SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET RESOLUTION
- 4 INCLUDES THE REDUCTION OF \$34.7 MILLION IN COSTS ASSOCIATED
- 5 WITH COST OF LIVING AND SALARY, AND VARIABLE EMPLOYEE BENEFITS
- 6 FOR '03/'04, AS NO SUCH INCREASE HAS BEEN APPROVED AT THIS
- 7 TIME BY THE BOARD. THE DEPARTMENT HAS ALSO INCLUDED A ONE-TIME
- 8 EXPENSE INCREASE OF 17.5 MILLION FOR INFORMATION SERVICES,
- 9 ASSISTANCE SERVICES, IN RECOGNITION OF INCREASED ACTIVITY
- 10 RELATED TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF SUCH INITIATIVES AS UNIQUE
- 11 PATIENT IDENTIFIER, ENTERPRISE NETWORK, CORPORATE DATA
- 12 REPOSITORY, DATA CENTER CONSOLIDATION AND WEB SITE SERVICES.
- 13 THE DEPARTMENT'S OVERALL BUDGETED SURPLUS, BEGINNING IN FISCAL
- 14 YEAR '03/'04 AND CARRYING FORWARD HAS ALSO BEEN INCREASED BY
- 15 25 MILLION, BRINGING IT TO A TOTAL OF 68 MILLION PER THE
- 16 C.A.O.'S SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET RESOLUTION. IN CLOSING, BASED ON
- 17 THE CURRENT FORECAST, THE DEPARTMENT NEEDS TO REMAIN DILIGENT
- 18 AND AGGRESSIVE IN BRINGING ABOUT SYSTEM REFORMS AND SEEKING
- 19 ADDITIONAL SOURCES OF REVENUE. AND WITH THAT, I WOULD BE GLAD
- 20 TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU MIGHT HAVE.

- 22 SUP. KNABE: FRED, PUTTING ASIDE THE DELAY IN THE DISCUSSIONS
- 23 WITH L.A. CARE, IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THE C.H.P. TRUST
- 24 FUND CURRENTLY HAS ABOUT \$50 MILLION IN EXCESS OF WHAT'S
- 25 REQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW TO COVER THOSE LIABILITIES. THE



- 1 QUESTION IS, WHAT ARE THE PLANS FOR THE 50 MILLION? ARE THEY
- 2 PART OF YOUR NEW FORECAST, OR ARE THEY OUT THERE IN NEVER
- 3 NEVER LAND?

4

- 5 FRED LEAF: OKAY. WE INCLUDED 28.7 MILLION IN OUR FORECAST
- 6 PREVIOUSLY. HOWEVER, IF WE CONTINUE TO INCLUDE IT, THAT WOULD
- 7 REQUIRE THE BOARD THIS FISCAL YEAR TO MAKE AN ADJUSTMENT AND
- 8 REMOVE IT FROM THE TRUST FUND. RATHER THAN DO THAT, WHICH I
- 9 THINK WOULD PROBABLY ROB THE BOARD OF AN OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE
- 10 DECISIONS REGARDING THE USE OF THE FUND, WE ARE LEAVING IT IN
- 11 THE TRUST FUND, WHICH IS INTEREST BEARING, BY THE WAY, AND AT
- 12 SOME FUTURE DATE, AT ANY FUTURE DATE, AS A MATTER OF FACT, THE
- 13 BOARD WILL HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE DECISIONS REGARDING
- 14 THAT FUND, AND IT CANNOT BE EXPENDED WITHOUT FURTHER BOARD
- 15 ACTION.

16

- 17 SUP. KNABE: BUT SO IS IT 50 MILLION OR IS IT 28.7 OR IS IT
- 18 21.3?

19

20 FRED LEAF: THE TOTAL IS 52 MILLION, THE AMOUNT --

21

22 **SUP. KNABE:** HOW MANY?

- 24 FRED LEAF: 52 MILLION, WOULD BE THE AMOUNT THAT'S IN THE FUND
- 25 CURRENTLY. THE 28.7 IS JUST THAT WHICH WE HAD HAD IN OUR



- 1 FORECAST AS A DEFICIT REDUCTION. SO THIS WAY, IT PUTS IT ALL
- 2 INTACT, KEEPS IT INTACT, AND ALLOWS THE BOARD TO MAKE
- 3 DECISIONS ABOUT THE TOTAL DOLLAR VALUE OF THAT TRUST FUND AS
- 4 OPPOSED TO REDUCING IT BY THE 28.7, LEAVING ABOUT 20 MILLION
- 5 IN THERE.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE: WHAT'S THE STATUS ON EACH OF THE INITIATIVES YOU
- 8 REPORTED ON BEFORE, LIKE THE MEDI-CAL MANAGED CARE, RATE
- 9 INCREASES, D.A. -- THE FLEXIBILITY ISSUES IN MATCHING TRAUMA
- 10 FUNDS? WHAT ARE THE STATUS ON THOSE PARTICULAR ISSUES?

11

- 12 FRED LEAF: THE MEASURE B MATCHING FUNDS IS GOING ALONG FAIRLY
- 13 AS EXPECTED. THAT LOOKS PRETTY POSITIVE, AND THE RESULT, I
- 14 BELIEVE, WILL BE THAT WE DO ACHIEVE THAT ADDITIONAL REVENUE
- 15 THROUGH THE MATCHING. THE MEDI-CAL-MANAGED CARE HAS RUN INTO A
- 16 REAL SNAG, AND AT THIS POINT, LOOKS VERY, VERY CHALLENGING. A
- 17 LOT OF BARRIERS. THE C.M.S. HAS TAKEN A REAL FIRM POSITION ON
- 18 THE USE OF THESE MATCHING DOLLARS, IN THIS FORM, SO THAT ONE
- 19 LOOKS IN PRETTY BAD SHAPE. WAS THERE ONE OTHER ONE,
- 20 SUPERVISOR?

21

- 22 SUP. KNABE: WELL BECAUSE OF THAT THOUGH I MEAN ARE YOU
- 23 PURSUING ANY ADDITIONAL INITIATIVES OR?



- 1 FRED LEAF: WELL WE'RE CONTINUING TO PURSUE THIS -- THE
- 2 INITIATIVE OF THE MATCHING FUNDS FOR MEDI-CAL MANAGED CARE,
- 3 IT'S JUST THAT RIGHT NOW, C.M.S. HAS TAKEN A VERY, VERY STRONG
- 4 POSITION, WHICH MAKES THE ACCOMPLISHMENT OF THAT OBJECTIVE
- 5 MORE DIFFICULT. WE'RE CONTINUING IN THAT VEIN, BUT WE DID NOT
- 6 INCLUDE THE ESTIMATED \$47 MILLION IN THE FORECAST, SO THAT
- 7 HASN'T BEEN INCLUDED AS PART OF THE REVENUE. SO IT WOULD BE
- 8 NEW MONEY, IF WE ACTUALLY WERE TO GET IT. I MEAN NEW MONEY IN
- 9 TERMS OF THE FORECAST.

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE: THIS NEW \$25 MILLION WORTH OF CUTS THAT YOU'VE
- 12 IDENTIFIED, ANY SPECIFICS? I MEAN, HOW ARE THESE BEING
- 13 IDENTIFIED?

14

- 15 FRED LEAF: WELL, THIS IS A DOLLAR AMOUNT AGREED UPON WITH THE
- 16 C.A.O. IN TERMS OF THEIR BELIEF THAT JUST PART OF OUR SYSTEM
- 17 GENERATION OF SURPLUS FUNDING OVER THE YEAR SHOULD BE
- 18 INCREASED BY THAT DOLLAR AMOUNT. IN PREVIOUS YEARS, THERE WAS
- 19 A BUDGET AMOUNT OF ABOUT 42 MILLION, I BELIEVE, 43 MILLION.

20

- 21 SUP. KNABE: SO WHEN DO YOU ANTICIPATE PRESENTING THIS PLAN FOR
- 22 THAT 25 MILLION?

- 24 FRED LEAF: WELL, I THINK WHEN WE, IN MID YEAR TO THE, I THINK
- 25 THE THIRD QUARTER, POSSIBLY, WE WILL GIVE, MID YEAR TO THE



- 1 THIRD QUARTER, WE WILL GIVE YOU AN UPDATE ON ACTUALLY WHERE WE
- 2 ARE IN TERMS OF ACHIEVING THOSE SAVINGS.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE: ON THE ONGOING, I GUESS ONE OF THE CHANGES IN THIS
- 5 FORECAST IS A NEED FOR ADDITIONAL ALMOST \$18 MILLION FOR THE
- 6 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY PROJECT. OBVIOUSLY THESE PROJECTS HAVE
- 7 BEEN GOING ON FOR YEARS. WHAT'S HAPPENING WITH THEM AND WHY DO
- 8 THEY NOW NEED MORE MONEY, WHERE ARE WE?

9

- 10 FRED LEAF: WE HAVE A GROUP AT THE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF
- 11 INFORMATION OFFICER WORKING DILIGENTLY ON PREPARING A FINAL
- 12 PLAN ON OUR I.T. SYSTEM THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO IMPLEMENT, AND
- 13 INCLUDING TIME FRAMES AND CAPABILITIES, AND TOM?

14

- 15 DR. THOMAS GARTHWAITE: I DON'T HAVE MUCH OTHER THAN WE DID --
- 16 WE DEFERRED SOME DECISIONS BECAUSE WE DIDN'T THINK WE COULD
- 17 MAKE THEM IN A TIMELY MANNER FROM LAST YEAR, BUT WE BELIEVE
- 18 WE'LL BE READY. WE'VE BEEN PUTTING A LOT OF EFFORT INTO
- 19 IMPROVING OUR DATA SYSTEMS.

20

21 SUP. KNABE: MADAM CHAIR, I'LL TURN IT BACK TO YOU.

22

- 23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. SUPERVISOR
- 24 ANTONOVICH.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IN THE '04/'05 REFLECTS A \$96.1 MILLION ONCE
- 2 C.M.S. APPROVES THE AMENDED O.B.R.A. '93 STATE PLAN
- 3 AMENDMENTS. WHY WOULD THE DEPARTMENT REFLECT THESE FUNDS IN
- 4 THE '04/'05 IF THE DEPARTMENT ANTICIPATES RECEIVING THE
- 5 REVENUES THIS FISCAL YEAR?

6

- 7 FRED LEAF: THE PROBLEM, SUPERVISOR, IS THAT BASED ON FEEDBACK
- 8 FROM C.M.S. THEIR -- OUR CONFIDENCE IN THEIR APPROVAL OF THE
- 9 STATE PLAN AMENDMENT HAS WANED SOMEWHAT, WHICH, AS IT RELATES
- 10 TO THE INCLUSION OF THE PROTECTIONS THAT THE C.M.S. HAD
- 11 INDICATED THAT THEY WOULD OFFER US. SO WE JUST FEEL A LITTLE
- 12 UNCOMFORTABLE MAKING THE ASSUMPTION THEY WILL APPROVE THAT
- 13 PLAN AS THEY'VE SUBMITTED IT UNTIL WE ACTUALLY RECEIVE IT, AND
- 14 THAT SHOULD BE AT THE END OF OCTOBER, I BELIEVE.

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH: TO RECOGNIZE THE ESTIMATED COST OF A HUNDRED
- 17 MILLION DOLLARS TO INSTALL THE ELECTRONIC MEDICAL RECORDS
- 18 SYSTEM AT THE L.A.C./U.S.C. REPLACEMENT PROJECT, YOU REFLECT
- 19 IN THE '03/'04 TO '07/'08 BUDGET, WHAT TYPE OF CATEGORICAL
- 20 FUNDING WILL THE DEPARTMENT AND THE C.A.O. REQUEST TO PURCHASE
- 21 THIS ELECTRONIC MEDICAL RECORDS SYSTEM AND THE TRANSITION
- 22 COSTS?

- 24 FRED LEAF: WELL, AS YOU KNOW, WE HAVE RECOMMENDED THE 105
- 25 MILLION BE TAKEN -- BE MOVED TO AN A.C.O. FUND FOR PURCHASE OF



- 1 EQUIPMENT AND OTHER TRANSITION NEEDS AT THE MEDICAL CENTER.
- 2 RIGHT NOW, THAT FUND IS RATHER RESTRICTIVE, BUT I WOULD SAY
- 3 THAT THE PAYMENT FOR THE E.M.R. WILL ALSO BE GENERATED IN THAT
- 4 MANNER OVER THE NEXT SEVERAL YEARS THROUGH SURPLUSES GENERATED
- 5 AT THE MEDICAL CENTER AND IN OUR DEPARTMENT.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE C.A.O. HAS AN IDENTIFIED SAVINGS OF \$25
- 8 MILLION PER YEAR BEGINNING '03/'04. WILL THE DEPARTMENT
- 9 STREAMLINE SERVICES TO ACHIEVE THE \$25 MILLION SAVINGS?

10

- 11 FRED LEAF: THE C.A.O. HAS GIVEN US NO CHOICE BUT TO ACHIEVE
- 12 THOSE SAVINGS. IT'S INCLUDED IN OUR BUDGET AND OUR BUDGET IS
- 13 REDUCED ACCORDINGLY.

14

- 15 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I KNOW, BUT WE HAVE THOSE SAVINGS, AND THEN
- 16 WE COME BACK AND WE HAVE MORE SPENDING, SO THAT'S WHY I ASK.

- 18 C.A.O. JANSSEN: MADAM CHAIR, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, THERE ARE
- 19 TWO AREAS OF THE FORECAST THAT WERE ADJUSTED AFTER THE JULY
- 20 DISCUSSION. SUPERVISOR MOLINA RAISED A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS
- 21 ABOUT THE ASSUMPTIONS BEING USED IN THE FUND BALANCES OF THE
- 22 DEPARTMENT AND ALSO THE ASSUMPTIONS USED IN THE REVENUE OF THE
- 23 DEPARTMENT, AND AS A RESULT IN TAKING A LOOK AT THEIR BUDGET
- 24 WE BELIEVE THAT WE CAN ASSUME AN ADDITIONAL \$25 MILLION A YEAR
- 25 IN FUND BALANCE FOR A TOTAL OF 68 MILLION. THAT HAS A POSITIVE



- 1 IMPACT ON THE FUND BALANCE, AND WE ALSO HAVE INCREASED THE
- 2 ASSUMPTION IN INSURANCE REVENUES BY 28.5 MILLION. THAT ALSO
- 3 HAS A POSITIVE IMPACT ON THE FORECAST OF THE DEPARTMENT. WE
- 4 BELIEVE AT THIS POINT, ANYWAY, THAT THEY ARE CAPABLE OF
- 5 ACCOMPLISHING BOTH.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE DEPARTMENT ELIMINATED THE COMMUNITY
- 8 HEALTH PLAN'S EQUITY DISTRIBUTION IN THE CURRENT FISCAL YEAR
- 9 JUST NEARLY \$29 MILLION AND FUTURE YEARS' EXPECTATIONS WERE
- 10 REDUCED DUE TO UNRESOLVED ISSUES. WHY WAS THIS DONE?

11

- 12 FRED LEAF: THE INCLUSION OF THAT MONEY IN THE FORECAST WOULD
- 13 RESULT IN A BUDGET ADJUSTMENT THIS YEAR, TAKING THAT 28
- 14 MILLION, 29 MILLION OUT OF THE TRUST FUND AT C.H.P., WHICH
- 15 WOULD -- CURRENTLY CONTAINS ABOUT 52 MILLION, AND IT -- OUR
- 16 BELIEF IS THAT THE -- THAT MONEY SHOULD REMAIN IN THAT FUND
- 17 FOR -- AVAILABLE TO THE BOARD FOR FUTURE DECISIONS REGARDING
- 18 THIS DEPARTMENT TO OFFSET EITHER FUTURE DEFICITS AND/OR MEET
- 19 OTHER CRITICAL UNMET NEEDS.

20

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WILL THE DEPARTMENT INVEST IN AN INFORMATION
- 22 PROGRAM TO TRACK ACTUAL MEDICAL SERVICES PROVIDED AND DIRECT
- 23 COSTS FOR EACH FACILITY?



- 1 FRED LEAF: YES. AS MENTIONED BRIEFLY A FEW MINUTES AGO, THE
- 2 DEPARTMENT, ONE OF THE MAJOR INITIATIVES IN THE DEPARTMENT AND
- 3 ONE THAT DR. GARTHWAITE IS FIRMLY BEHIND AND IS ESTABLISHING A
- 4 INFORMATION SYSTEM STRUCTURE IN THE DEPARTMENT THAT WILL
- 5 CAPTURE COSTS AS WELL AS PROGRAM INFORMATION, WHICH WILL BOTH
- 6 IMPROVE OUR ABILITY TO MANAGE WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT FROM A
- 7 FINANCIAL STANDPOINT AS WELL AS ESTABLISH INFORMATION THAT
- 8 WILL BETTER ABLE THE PHYSICIANS AND CARE GIVERS TO TRACK AND
- 9 TREAT PATIENTS MORE EFFICIENTLY, EFFECTIVELY.

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WILL THE DEPARTMENT BE PROVIDING FUNDS TO
- 12 L.A. CARE TO DEVELOP THEIR INFORMATION SYSTEM?

13

- 14 FRED LEAF: TO L. -- NO. WELL, THE L.A. CARE, IF WE EFFECT THE
- 15 OUTSOURCING OF C.H.P. AS WE HAVE PLANNED, THEN L.A. CARE WILL
- 16 BE ENHANCING THEIR INFORMATION SYSTEM FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF
- 17 THE C.H.P. PATIENT POPULATION, BUT THE SYSTEM I WAS
- 18 REFERENCING WAS ACTUALLY BROADER THAN JUST THE C.H.P., IT WAS
- 19 TO MANAGE THE COSTS AND PATIENT INFORMATION ON A DEPARTMENT-
- 20 WIDE BASIS, INCLUDING THE C.H.P. CLIENTS' LIVES.

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION IS
- 23 REOUESTING A 17 AND A HALF MILLION DOLLAR ONE-TIME FUNDING TO
- 24 COVER ITS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY NEEDS. CATEGORICALLY, HOW
- 25 MUCH IS THE DEPARTMENT GOING TO ALLOCATE FOR THE FOLLOWING,



- 1 THE WEB SERVICE INFRASTRUCTURE, THE PERSONAL COMPUTER
- 2 REPLACEMENT, THE CORPORATE DATA REPOSITORY, UNIQUE PATIENT
- 3 IDENTIFIER SYSTEM AND THE ENTERPRISE NETWORK?

4

- 5 FRED LEAF: I DO NOT HAVE THAT INFORMATION HERE WITH ME,
- 6 SUPERVISOR, BUT I'LL BE GLAD TO MEET WITH YOUR STAFF AND
- 7 PROVIDE THAT INFORMATION TO THEM.

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE BUREAU OF STATE AUDITS HAS CONFIRMED THAT
- 10 DESPITE YOUR DEPARTMENT'S EFFORTS TO REDUCE EXPENDITURES AND
- 11 INCREASE REVENUES WITHOUT ONGOING FEDERAL AND STATE FINANCIAL
- 12 SUPPORT, YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE ANOTHER DEFICIT IN THE '04/'05
- 13 FISCAL YEAR. WHAT DISCUSSIONS WITH THE STATE HAVE TAKEN PLACE
- 14 RELATIVE TO DETERMINING HOW WE WILL ATTAIN THE APPROPRIATE
- 15 FUNDING FROM THE STATE AND FEDERAL GOVERNMENTS?

16

- 17 FRED LEAF: AS YOU PROBABLY CAN SURMISE, YOU KNOW, DISCUSSIONS
- 18 WITH THE STATE AT THIS JUNCTURE REGARDING YEARS '04/'05 AND
- 19 ON, HAVE NOT BEEN A MATTER OF CURRENT DISCUSSION. HOWEVER, WE
- 20 WILL BE INITIATING OUR RENEGOTIATION ACTIVITIES OF THE
- 21 S.P.C.P. WAIVER IN THE NEXT SIX TO EIGHT MONTHS, AT WHICH TIME
- 22 I THINK THAT THE REALITY OF ANY FUTURE REVENUES AS WELL AS ANY
- 23 OTHER OPPORTUNITIES THAT MAY EXIST WILL BE DISCUSSED AT THAT
- 24 TIME.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AS WE HAVE POINTED OUT IN THE PAST, THE
- 2 CONTINUED DEFICIT SPENDING IN THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH COULD
- 3 VERY LIKELY BANKRUPT THE SYSTEM, AND YOU'RE TALKING HERE OF A
- 4 800-MILLION-DOLLAR-PLUS DEFICIT IN THE 2007/2008 FISCAL YEAR,
- 5 SO WE'RE NEARING THAT ONE-BILLION-DOLLAR DEFICIT, AND A RECENT
- 6 AUDIT BY THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FURTHER CONFIRMS THAT THIS IS
- 7 A SERIOUS FISCAL PROBLEM THAT THE DEPARTMENT HAS, AND IT IS A
- 8 CONCERN OF, AGAIN, A POLICY WHERE OUR COUNTY IS DOING WHAT
- 9 OTHER COUNTIES ARE NOT DOING, AND THAT IS BEING EXTRA GENEROUS
- 10 IN PROVIDING SERVICES TO INDIVIDUALS WHO DO NOT QUALIFY OR
- 11 WOULD NOT QUALIFY FOR THOSE SAME MEDICAL SERVICES IF THEY
- 12 RESIDED IN ANOTHER COUNTY IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. SO L.A.
- 13 COUNTY IS KIND OF THE ODDBALL COUNTY. AND I WOULD LIKE TO SEE
- 14 THAT THE POLICY BE LIMITED TO NON-EMERGENCY HEALTHCARE
- 15 SERVICES TO ITS MANDATED PATIENT POPULATION AS ESTABLISHED BY
- 16 CALIFORNIA WELFARE AND INSTITUTION CODE 17000, AND I'D LIKE TO
- 17 PUT ON THE TABLE, MADAM CHAIRMAN, THE MOTION THAT THE BOARD
- 18 DIRECT THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES TO DEVELOP A POLICY
- 19 WHICH LIMITS NON-EMERGENCY HEALTHCARE TO ONLY LAWFUL COUNTY
- 20 RESIDENTS AND REPORT BACK IN 15 DAYS WITH A PLAN ON
- 21 IMPLEMENTING THAT POLICY.

23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. OTHER QUESTIONS?

24



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: WELL I WANT TO UNDERSTAND WHAT THAT MOTION IS. IS
- 2 THERE A SECOND ON THAT MOTION?

3

4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I HAVE -- IS THERE A SECOND?

5

6 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU.

7

- 8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: OKAY, WE'RE ASKING THAT WE IMPLEMENT SECTION
- 9 17000 OF THE HEALTH CODE --

10

11 SUP. MOLINA: WE IMPLEMENT 17000 NOW.

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NO WE DON'T, WE ARE MORE GENEROUS IN THE
- 14 17000, AND I'M ASKING THAT WE IMPLEMENT 17000 FOR LAWFUL
- 15 RESIDENTS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY, AND THAT'S A SIMILAR POLICY
- 16 THAT OTHER COUNTIES ARE DOING IN THEIR JURISDICTION WHERE THEY
- 17 HAVE THAT ABILITY. WE ARE THE ONE THAT IS NOT DOING IT, AND
- 18 THAT'S ONE REASON WHY WE CONTINUE TO HAVE A DEFICIT THAT IS
- 19 SLOWLY SINKING THAT DEPARTMENT INTO THE RED.

20

21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT.

- 23 SUP. MOLINA: MADAM CHAIR? MADAM CHAIR. I WANT TO UNDERSTAND A
- 24 COUPLE OF THINGS AS HOW YOU LOOK AT THIS FISCAL OUTLOOK AND
- 25 WE'VE BEEN ASKING A LOT OF QUESTIONS AND IT'S HERE, SO I'M



- 1 GOING TO START LOOKING INTO SOME OF THESE NUMBERS MORE SO AND
- 2 WE DID, IT'S I GUESS IT IS WORTHWHILE TO LOOK AT SOME OF THESE
- 3 NUMBERS. AS I UNDERSTAND FOR 2003-2004 WE WILL AGAIN OUR
- 4 RESERVES HAVE HELPED US AND WILL BE OKAY FOR THAT YEAR, WE
- 5 CONTINUE WITH OUR RESERVES FOR THE SO-CALLED QUOTE 'SOFT
- 6 LANDING.' AND THAT IS INCLUSIVE AT THAT TIME, THE BEDS AT L.A.
- 7 COUNTY U.S.C., WHICH NOW?

8

9 FRED LEAF: YES, NO THE CURRENT -- THE \$384 MILLION SURPLUS --

10

11 SUP. MOLINA: WELL YES, THAT'S IN '02/?03 BUT IN --

12

- 13 FRED LEAF: RIGHT BUT IF YOU GO FORWARD, THAT INCLUDES L.A.C./
- 14 U.S. C. ONLY TO THE END OF THIS FISCAL YEAR AT THIS POINT.

15

16 **SUP. MOLINA:** OF WHAT, OF '03/'04?

17

- 18 FRED LEAF: '03/'04, YES, AND IN THE REMAINING YEARS IT
- 19 INCLUDES THAT REDUCTION.

20

- 21 SUP. MOLINA: IT INCLUDES THAT, THAT REDUCTION. ALL RIGHT SO
- 22 THEN IF YOU ADDED IT ON IN '04/'05 WHAT WOULD IT RAISE OR
- 23 DEDUCT FROM THAT DEFICIT? IT WOULD BE A SURPLUS BUT A?

24

25 FRED LEAF: YOU MEAN IF WE REVERSED OUT THE SAVINGS?

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 SUP. MOLINA: YES. 2 3 FRED LEAF: IT WOULD ADD IN '04/'05 IT WOULD ADD ABOUT \$32 4 5 MILLION IN COSTS. 6 SUP. MOLINA: \$32 MILLION. OKAY, SO THEN '05/'06 WE START GOING 7 8 INTO OUR FIRST REAL DEFICIT, THAT'S REAL HARD CORE CASH DOLLARS OF \$27 MILLION, RIGHT? 9 10 FRED LEAF: YES. 11 12 SUP. MOLINA: ALL RIGHT, NOW, IN '06/' 07 WE GET INTO THE VERY, 13 VERY MUCH LARGER, I MEAN UNLESS WE REALLY FIND SOME TREMENDOUS 14 SAVINGS ALONG THE WAY WE'RE IN TROUBLE AND THESE AGAIN ARE 15 16 GUESSTIMATES, IF NOTHING ELSE. 17 18 FRED LEAF: CORRECT. 19 SUP. MOLINA: NOW IN THE BURTON LEGISLATION, AS I UNDERSTAND, 20 THAT IS NOW BEFORE THE GOVERNOR, IN WHICH THERE IS NOW A 21 22 MANDATE, AS WE WOULD LIKE TO SEE, ONE OF THE SOLUTIONS TO OUR 23 DEFICIT IN L.A. COUNTY AND PROBABLY THE DEFICIT OF MOST HOSPITALS IS GOING TO BE THAT EMPLOYERS PROVIDE HEALTH CARE 24

COVERAGE. IF MOST OF OUR -- IF MOST OF OUR PATIENTS CAME IN



- 1 WITH SOME KIND OF AN INSURANCE COVERAGE, WE WOULD DO QUITE
- 2 WELL AND PROBABLY MOST THE HOSPITALS AROUND AND WE PROBABLY
- 3 WOULDN'T GET AS MANY PATIENTS BECAUSE MANY OF THE OTHER
- 4 PRIVATE OR NONPROFIT HOSPITALS WOULD BE ABLE TO NOW TAKE
- 5 ADVANTAGE OF PROVIDING CARE FOR THOSE INDIVIDUALS BECAUSE THEY
- 6 COME WITH INSURANCE IN HAND. THAT IS SUPPOSED TO START TAKING
- 7 PLACE ACCORDING TO THE LEGISLATION, AS I UNDERSTAND, IN '06.
- 9 FRED LEAF: YES, SUPERVISOR.

8

- 11 SUP. MOLINA: SO POTENTIALLY, POTENTIALLY, IF IN FACT THE
- 12 BURTON LEGISLATION GETS A SIGNATURE FROM THE GOVERNOR, IF IN
- 13 FACT THE MANDATES HOLD, THAT IS, THEY PROBABLY WILL GO THROUGH
- 14 A SERIES OF LAWSUITS, BUT STILL IT REQUIRES THE IMPLEMENTATION
- 15 TO BEGIN AND SO THAT BY THE YEAR '06 MORE THAN LIKELY I'M NOT
- 16 GOING TO SAY 100% 'CAUSE THAT'S IMPOSSIBLE, BUT MANY OF OUR
- 17 PATIENTS WILL NOW HAVE SOME KIND OF INSURANCE COVERAGE IF THIS
- 18 HOLDS. SO WE ARE IN A SITUATION WHEREAS WHEN I BEGAN THIS
- 19 YEAR'S LEGISLATIVE SESSION, I BEGAN WITH THE ONLY HOPE THAT WE
- 20 HAD OF SAVING OUR HEALTH CARE SYSTEM, WAS TO FIND MORE
- 21 REVENUE, AND THE ONLY REVENUE THAT WE COULD FIND, SINCE WE DID
- 22 NOT HAVE EMPLOYERS PROVIDING HEALTH CARE COVERAGE, WAS TO LOOK
- 23 AT GETTING SOME KIND OF INCREASE IN OUR LIQUOR TAX. OF COURSE,
- 24 AS YOU ALL KNOW, WE WERE DREADFULLY UNSUCCESSFUL IN THE
- 25 LEGISLATURE, THAT LOBBY CONTINUES TO BE STRONG AND WE DON'T



- 1 HAVE THE AUTHORITY AS A BOARD TO EVEN GO OUT TO THE VOTERS AND
- 2 ASK THEM TO TAX ALCOHOL, WHICH IN MANY INSTANCES IS A DIRECT
- 3 CONTRIBUTOR TO MANY OF OUR HEALTH CARE WOES AND WHY WE HAVE SO
- 4 MANY PATIENTS IN OUR HOSPITAL SYSTEM. UNFORTUNATELY THE
- 5 INDUSTRY IS VERY INTIMIDATED BY THAT CONNECTION AND WILL
- 6 CONTINUE TO BE. AND AGAIN, WE WERE HOPEFUL THAT THE SHEILA
- 7 CULE LEGISLATION MIGHT MOVE FORWARD, THAT'S A WHOLE DIFFERENT
- 8 PROGRAM, IN WHICH OF COURSE WE CREATE A FUND THROUGH A WHOLE
- 9 SERIES OF HOW WE COULD MAKE CONTRIBUTIONS AND ASK EMPLOYERS TO
- 10 MAKE CONTRIBUTIONS AND HOPEFULLY CREATE A LARGE ENOUGH FUND SO
- 11 THAT MANY OF THE UNINSURED WILL HAVE THE KIND OF HEALTH CARE
- 12 THAT THEY NEED AND INSTEAD OF FORCING COUNTIES LIKE OURS TO
- 13 CONTINUE TO DOLE OUT COUNTY TAXPAYER DOLLARS FOR THAT. AND SO
- 14 THESE AGAIN ARE ABOUT WORKERS, IT'S ABOUT PEOPLE WHO ARE
- 15 WORKING HERE EVERY SINGLE DAY, CONTRIBUTING TO THE ECONOMY OF
- 16 NOT ONLY L.A. COUNTY BUT OF CALIFORNIA AND NOT HAVING THE
- 17 ASSISTANCE THAT THEY NEED WHEN IT COMES TO HAVING A HEALTH
- 18 CARE PROBLEM AND BASICALLY L.A. COUNTY, FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS,
- 19 HAS BEEN SUBSIDIZING THOSE EMPLOYERS. RESTAURANTS, HOTELS, THE
- 20 GARMENT INDUSTRY, WHEREVER THERE IS AN EMPLOYER THAT IS NOT
- 21 PROVIDING ANY KIND OF HEALTHCARE COVERAGE FOR HIS FULL-TIME
- 22 EMPLOYEES, AND I'M NOT TALKING ABOUT FOR THE ENTIRE FAMILY, I
- 23 AM TALKING RIGHT NOW ABOUT THAT EMPLOYEE WE HAVE BEEN
- 24 SUBSIDIZING, AND SO OUR DEFICIT IS A DIRECT RESULT. IS A
- 25 DIRECT RESULT OF PEOPLE COMING TO US, WHO ARE WORKERS HERE AND



- 1 HAVE NO HEALTHCARE COVERAGE. SO CONSEQUENTLY, I THINK IT IS
- 2 INCUMBENT, IF WE WANT TO SEE OURSELVES SAVE OURSELVES FROM
- 3 THIS POTENTIAL \$387 MILLION DEFICIT IN '06-'07, WHICH WILL
- 4 INCREASE TO \$796 MILLION, POTENTIALLY \$860 MILLION, WE HAVE AN
- 5 OPPORTUNITY TO ASK THIS GOVERNOR AFTER THE LEGISLATURE HAS
- 6 APPROVED THIS LEGISLATION TO NOW SOLVE THIS ISSUE. AND IT GETS
- 7 SOLVED BY THE GOVERNOR SIGNING THE BURTON BILL, BY PUTTING IN
- 8 PLACE A MECHANISM TO DEFEND IT IN THE COURTS AND, MORE
- 9 IMPORTANTLY, TO BEGIN THE PROCESS OF RIGHTING ALL THE WRONGS
- 10 THAT HAVE BEEN GOING ON. COUNTIES HAVE BEEN SUBSIDIZING
- 11 EMPLOYERS. COUNTIES HAVE HAD TO BEAR THE BRUNT OF THESE
- 12 ESCALATING COSTS AND COUNTIES LIKE OURS THAT HAS NOT ONLY
- 13 HOSPITALS, IT HAS REHAB FACILITIES, IT HAS COMPREHENSIVE
- 14 HEALTH CENTERS, IT HAS COMMUNITY CLINICS, IT HAS SPECIALTY
- 15 CLINICS, WE HAVE THE WHOLE GAMUT OF VERY COMPREHENSIVE CARE
- 16 WHICH WE SHOULD TAKE PRIDE IN BUT UNFORTUNATELY IS COSTING US
- 17 SO MANY DOLLARS. SO WHO WE REALLY SEE THERE IS SOME HOPE HERE,
- 18 THAT POTENTIALLY IN '05/' 06 WE MAY GET THE RELIEF THAT WE
- 19 NEED BECAUSE NO LONGER WILL WE BE SUBSIDIZING THOSE EMPLOYERS,
- 20 THEY HOPEFULLY WILL BE MANDATED BY THE BURTON LEGISLATION TO
- 21 PROVIDE HEALTHCARE COVERAGE, NOT TO ALL EMPLOYEES, TO A
- 22 MAJORITY OF ITS EMPLOYEES. AND OF COURSE WE'VE SEEN OTHER
- 23 OPTIONS THAT BECOME AVAILABLE, WE -- HEALTHY FAMILIES HAS GOT
- 24 TO INCREASE THE NUMBER OF CHILDREN THAT ARE GETTING COVERED.
- 25 RECENTLY WE SAW PROP 10 DOLLARS UNDER AN INITIATIVE BY



- 1 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY AND BURKE IN WHICH OVER \$100 MILLION IS
- 2 GOING TO BE MADE AVAILABLE TO L.A. COUNTY CHILDREN FOR THOSE
- 3 FAMILIES WHO MAKE ABOVE THE AMOUNT UNDER THE HEALTHY FAMILIES
- 4 LIMIT. SO WE HAVE MANY, MANY THINGS COMING IN PLACE IN WHICH
- 5 TO HOPEFULLY, THAT WE CAN LOOK FORWARD TO SOME KIND OF
- 6 POSITIVE ASPECT. SO I AM ASKING AND THAT SUPPORT FOR A MOTION
- 7 I'D LIKE TO PASS OUT. ON SATURDAY SEPTEMBER THE 13TH THE STATE
- 8 LEGISLATURE PASSED SENATE BILL NUMBER 2. THE BILL AUTHORED BY
- 9 SENATE PRESIDENT PRO TEM JOHN BURTON WOULD REQUIRE EMPLOYERS
- 10 TO PROVIDE HEALTHCARE COVERAGE FOR ELIGIBLE EMPLOYEES AND
- 11 DEPENDENTS INCLUDING PRESCRIPTION DRUG COVERAGE. EMPLOYERS
- 12 COULD OPT TO PAY A FEE TO THE STATE TO PROVIDE COVERAGE AND
- 13 REOUIRE EMPLOYERS TO PAY UP TO 20% OF THE COST OF COVERAGE. IN
- 14 L.A. COUNTY WE ARE WELL AWARE OF THE COST BURDEN ON LOCAL
- 15 GOVERNMENT TO PROVIDE HEALTHCARE SERVICES TO THE INSURED.
- 16 S.B.2 WOULD BRING SIGNIFICANT FINANCIAL RELIEF TO THE COUNTY
- 17 BY EXPANDING HEALTHCARE COVERAGE TO THE WORKING POOR. THE BILL
- 18 HAS BEEN SENT TO THE GOVERNOR'S DESK FOR SIGNATURE. THE BOARD
- 19 OF SUPERVISORS SHOULD CONTINUE TO SUPPORT S.B.2 CONSISTENT
- 20 WITH THE C.A.O.'S STATE LEGISLATIVE AGENDA FOR THIS LAST YEAR.
- 21 I THEREFORE MOVE THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SEND THE
- 22 GOVERNOR, GRAY DAVIS, URGING A SIGNATURE ON S.B.2 AND I
- 23 FURTHER MOVE THAT THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER AND THE
- 24 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES REPORT BACK IN 60 DAYS ON THE



- 1 POTENTIAL IMPACT OF S.B.2 ON REDUCING THE NUMBERS OF THE
- 2 UNINSURED FOR L.A. COUNTY.

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I'LL SECOND IT, I'LL SECOND IT BUT I WOULD
- 5 LIKE TO SPEAK TO IT.

6

7 **SUP. MOLINA:** SURE.

- 9 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I DO THINK THAT IT'S THE THING THAT SHOULD
- 10 HAPPEN, THAT THERE SHOULD BE AN INITIATIVE AND SOME KIND OF
- 11 MOVE IN THIS NATION TO SAY THAT EVERYONE WHO IS WORKING SHOULD
- 12 HAVE HEALTH INSURANCE. WE NEED TO HAVE UNIVERSAL HEALTH
- 13 INSURANCE. I AM NOT SO OPTIMISTIC THAT IT'S GOING TO RAISE THE
- 14 REVENUE IN OUR SYSTEM. SO FAR THE RECORD, IN TERMS OF THE
- 15 NUMBER OF PRIVATE INSURANCE PATIENTS WE'RE GETTING IN OUR
- 16 SYSTEM, IS NOT SHOWING A HUGE INCREASE. IT WOULD DECREASE SOME
- 17 OF THE PATIENTS THAT WE'RE HAVING TO SEE, THERE IS NO QUESTION
- 18 ABOUT THAT. IT WOULD REMOVE MANY OF THOSE PEOPLE THAT WE HAVE
- 19 TO SERVE, BUT IT'S GOING TO TAKE A LOT FOR US TO MOVE INTO THE
- 20 PRIVATE INSURANCE H.M.O. PARAMETER, BECAUSE I WOULD SUSPECT
- 21 THAT MOST OF THESE PEOPLE ARE GOING TO HAVE H.M.O.'S OR
- 22 P.P.O.'S, THEY'RE GOING TO HAVE SOMETHING LIKE THAT, OR
- 23 THERE'S GOING TO COME UP SOME KIND OF A STATE LOW COST
- 24 INSURANCE AND THE INSURANCE COMPANIES ARE GOING TO WORK WITH
- 25 THE STATE TO COME UP WITH SOME KIND OF A LOW-COST P.P.O. OR



- 1 H.M.O. KIND OF COVERAGE FOR THAT EMPLOYERS WOULD BE ABLE TO
- 2 PROVIDE. AND THAT'S SOMETHING THAT SHOULD HAPPEN, OR IT HAS TO
- 3 HAPPEN. BUT IN ORDER FOR US TO GET THOSE P.P.O. AND H.M.O.
- 4 PATIENTS, IT'S GOING TO TAKE A BIG STEP FOR US TO GET THOSE
- 5 CONTRACTS WITH THOSE PROVIDERS WITH OUR HOSPITALS. AND ALSO
- 6 CONVINCING THOSE PEOPLE WHO AREN'T IN P.P.O.'S AND H.M.O.'S,
- 7 WHO ARE FEE-FOR-SERVICE PROGRAMS, THAT THEY WANT TO USE OUR
- 8 FACILITIES. SO IT'S NOT A BIG GUARANTEE FOR US BUT IT SHOULD
- 9 PROVIDE FOR US A REDUCTION IN SOME OF THOSE SERVICES THAT WE
- 10 HAVE TO PROVIDE TO PEOPLE AT THIS POINT WHO REALLY SHOULD HAVE
- 11 INSURANCE. SO I AM -- I BELIEVE IT'S A GOOD APPROACH. FIRST OF
- 12 ALL, IT'S SOMETHING THAT'LL CATCH ON ACROSS THE COUNTRY AND
- 13 MAY HAVE SOME FEDERAL IMPLICATIONS. IF WE SEE THIS HAPPENING,
- 14 IT MAY PUSH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT INTO A UNIVERSAL HEALTHCARE
- 15 KIND OF MODE. SO I AM -- I'LL SECOND THAT.

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: LET ME JUST SAY THAT SENATE BILL 2 IS GOING
- 18 TO DRIVE JOBS OUT OF THE STATE. THERE IS NO WAY THAT SMALL
- 19 BUSINESSES CAN CONTINUE TO SPEND 200, 400 AND 600% INCREASES
- 20 IN WORKER COMPENSATION COSTS, ALONG WITH NOW HAVING SENATE
- 21 BILL 2 BEING ADOPTED. THIS ILL-ADVISED LEGISLATION HAS TIERS
- 22 INVOLVED IN WHICH BUSINESSES ARE GOING TO BE INVOLVED IN
- 23 LAYING OFF PEOPLE SO THEY WILL BE IN A LOWER TIER, TO REDUCE
- 24 THEIR COST IF THEY WANT TO STAY COMPETITIVE AND PROVIDE JOBS
- 25 IN THIS STATE. THIS IS A VERY SERIOUS ASSAULT ON EVERY WORKING



- 1 PERSON IN THE STATE AND EVERY STUDENT WHO WANTS TO HAVE AN
- 2 OPPORTUNITY OF HAVING A JOB AND WORKING IN THE STATE OF
- 3 CALIFORNIA. AND WE HAVE TO GET REALISTIC. WE HAD OVER, I THINK
- 4 IT WAS A MILLION OR PLUS PEOPLE LEAVE THIS STATE LAST YEAR AND
- 5 WE HAVE HAD -- CONTINUE TO HAVE A REDUCTION IN JOBS AS A
- 6 RESULT OF THE PROBLEMS THAT WE HAVE. S.B.2 AND THE PARTIAL
- 7 REFORMS THAT WORKERS' COMP HAS GOTTEN THROUGH THE LEGISLATURE
- 8 WILL NOT MEET THE NEEDS OF PROVIDING A JOB INCENTIVE
- 9 ENVIRONMENT IN CALIFORNIA. IT'S A VERY CRITICAL PROBLEM THAT
- 10 WE HAVE NOW AND WE HAVE LESS PEOPLE WORKING NEXT YEAR, THAT
- 11 MEANS LESS REVENUES FOR CITIES, SCHOOLS, COUNTIES AND THE
- 12 STATE TO FUNCTION ON. SO I WOULD BE OPPOSING THIS ILL-ADVISED
- 13 POSITION.

- 15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YOU KNOW, I WOULD JUST LIKE TO SHARE A
- 16 PERSONAL REFERENCE. MY HUSBAND HAS A COMPANY THAT PROBABLY
- 17 WOULD BE EXEMPT FROM THIS BECAUSE HE HAS SO FEW EMPLOYEES BUT
- 18 HE DOES HAVE EMPLOYEES. BUT THEY FIND INSURANCE FOR THEM.
- 19 THEY'RE ABLE TO GET HEALTH INSURANCE AND IT HAS NOT DESTROYED
- 20 THE COMPANY. THEY ARE ABLE TO NEGOTIATE AND GET HEALTH
- 21 INSURANCE FOR THE EMPLOYEES BECAUSE YOU HAVE TO PROVIDE IT.
- 22 YOU NEED TO PROVIDE IT. AND THIS IS -- HIS COMPANY IS SMALL
- 23 ENOUGH PROBABLY IN TERMS OF THE NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES THAT HE
- 24 WOULD NOT BE MANDATED, BUT I THINK HE'S LIKE MANY SMALL
- 25 BUSINESS PEOPLE WHO RECOGNIZE YOU DO NEED TO PROVIDE FOR THESE



- 1 PEOPLE WHO WORK FOR YOU, SOME OF THEM FOR 20 YEARS, 'CAUSE
- 2 SOME OF HIS EMPLOYEES HAVE BEEN THERE 20 YEARS. AND THEY ARE
- 3 VITAL TO THE OPERATION AND THEIR EXPECTATION IS THAT THEY HAVE
- 4 HEALTH INSURANCE. SO I JUST DON'T QUITE -- I UNDERSTAND THAT
- 5 IT'S A COST TO BUSINESS, BUT THERE ARE LOTS OF COSTS OF DOING
- 6 BUSINESS EVERYWHERE. AND I'VE BEEN IN BUSINESS. I'VE HAD --
- 7 I'VE BEEN -- HAD A LAW FIRM WHERE WE HAD SMALL NUMBERS OF
- 8 EMPLOYEES, LARGE NUMBERS OF EMPLOYEES. YOU HAVE TO PROVIDE
- 9 THEM WITH HEALTH INSURANCE. AND IF YOU -- IT'S PART OF BEING A
- 10 RESPONSIBLE OPERATOR OF A BUSINESS AND THOSE BUSINESSES THAT
- 11 FEEL AS THOUGH THE ONLY WAY THEY CAN SURVIVE IS TO SAY, NO,
- 12 I'M NOT GOING TO GIVE ANY INSURANCE TO MY PEOPLE OR ANY HEALTH
- 13 INSURANCE, THEY'RE SAYING OKAY I BELIEVE THE COUNTY SHOULD
- 14 JUST TAKE CARE AND SHOULD BEAR A PORTION OF MY BUSINESS COSTS.
- 15 SO THAT'S JUST MY OWN PERSONAL EXPERIENCE.

- 17 SUP. KNABE: WELL MADAM CHAIR I, I MEAN I THINK S.B.2 IS AN
- 18 ABSOLUTE JOB-KILLER AS WELL, I THINK, YOU KNOW, YOU WANT TO
- 19 SPEAK OF PERSONAL EXPERIENCE AND MY WIFE'S BUSINESS, SHE WOULD
- 20 BE EXEMPT AS WELL TOO, BUT SHE DOES PROVIDE HEALTHCARE TO SOME
- 21 OF HER EMPLOYEES. AND AGAIN WHETHER YOUR HUSBAND DOES IT OR
- 22 NOT IT'S BY CHOICE AND NOT BY MANDATE. AND I WOULD ONLY LOOK
- 23 AT THE WORKMEN'S COMP, THE STATE WORKMEN'S COMP FUND PROGRAM,
- 24 HOW SUCCESSFUL AND UNSUCCESSFUL THAT'S BEEN. ONCE IT BECOMES
- 25 FROM CHOICE TO MANDATE, IT BECOMES A TOTALLY DIFFERENT



- 1 PROGRAM. AND TO MANDATE STATEWIDE I MEAN THIS KIND OF THING, I
- 2 MEAN WE'RE IN A COMPETITIVE BASIS, WE ALSO WANT JOBS HERE IN
- 3 THE STATE. BUT AGAIN THOSE GOOD EMPLOYERS DO PROVIDE
- 4 HEALTHCARE BUT THEY DO IT BY CHOICE AND NOT BY MANDATE AND I
- 5 THINK THAT'S THE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE, AND PLUS THEY HAVE
- 6 STATE OVERSIGHT OF ANY PORTION OF THIS, I THINK AS WE DEAL
- 7 WITH WORKMEN'S COMP I MEAN THE PROBLEM WITH THE WORKMEN'S COMP
- 8 IN ADDITION TO ALL OF THE GIVE-AWAYS AND EXPANDING OF THE
- 9 BENEFITS OF IT, IS THE FACT THAT WE HAVE NO -- WE HAVE
- 10 INSURERS MOVING OUT OF THE STATE, AND THEN THAT'S DRIVING THE
- 11 COST UP AS WELL, TOO. SO I THINK THAT'S THE OTHER ISSUE. THE
- 12 ONE THING I WOULD LIKE TO DO IS, YOU KNOW, WE DO HAVE A SMALL
- 13 BUSINESS ADVISORY GROUP THAT WE CAN REFER THINGS TO. I WOULD
- 14 LIKE TO HAVE THEM AT LEAST LOOK AT S.B.2, I MEAN I'M GOING TO
- 15 CAST A NO VOTE ON THIS OR UNLESS WE WANT TO REFER IT TO THE
- 16 SMALL BUSINESS ADVISORY GROUP, TO SEE SOME IMPACT, I MEAN JUST
- 17 FOR US TO SIT HERE AS FIVE PEOPLE AND SAY THERE'S NO IMPACT, I
- 18 THINK IS -- AND I DON'T THINK WE CAN DO THAT SO.
- 20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT, CALL THE ROLL.
- 22 SUP. MOLINA: MADAM CHAIR, I'M WILLING TO AMEND IT TO ALSO
- 23 REFER IT TO SMALL BUSINESS ADVISORY AS WELL, I THINK IT'D BE
- 24 WORTHWHILE TO HEAR THEIR INPUT ON IT.

25

19



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MADAM CHAIR I JUST -- CAN I SAY SOMETHING ON
- 2 THIS? I JUST THINK WE OUGHT TO BE -- I'M GOING TO SUPPORT THE
- 3 MOTION, BUT I DON'T THINK IT'S USEFUL TO OVERSTATE AT THIS
- 4 POINT THE POTENTIAL FOR ITS IMPACT -- FOR S.B.2'S IMPACT ON
- 5 THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SYSTEM'S FINANCES. THERE ARE A LOT OF
- 6 THINGS, THAT EVEN S.B.2 LEAVES A LOT OF THINGS YET TO BE
- 7 DEVELOPED, IT SEEMS A LOT OF MEAT NEEDS TO BE PUT ON THOSE
- 8 BONES, A LOT OF SPECIFICS HAVEN'T YET BEEN DEVELOPED AND ARE
- 9 GOING TO HAVE TO BE DEVELOPED. IT'S BEEN PAIRED DOWN FROM
- 10 ORIGINALLY I THINK IT WAS GOING TO COVER TWO AND A HALF
- 11 MILLION PEOPLE, NOW IT'S DOWN TO ONE MILLION PEOPLE STATE-
- 12 WIDE. I WOULD ASSUME THAT MEANS IN THIS COUNTY PROBABLY WHAT
- 13 350,000, 400,000 PEOPLE MAXIMUM. WE HAVE TWO AND A HALF
- 14 MILLION OR THEREABOUTS, SOMEWHERE BETWEEN TWO AND TWO AND A
- 15 HALF MILLION UNINSURED, SO IT WILL STILL LEAVE THE BULK OF OUR
- 16 POPULATION UNINSURED. AND, AND, WE DON'T KNOW HOW THIS IS
- 17 GOING TO FUNCTION IF IT EVER GETS SIGNED BY THE GOVERNOR AND
- 18 GETS -- ATTEMPTED TO GET IMPLEMENTED. AS WE'VE SEEN FROM THE
- 19 CHILD HEALTH PROGRAMS THAT MS. MOLINA REFERENCED EARLIER,
- 20 INCLUDING THE ONE THAT HAS JUST BEEN APPROVED -- OR JUST BEEN
- 21 ANNOUNCED BY THE FIRST FIVE COMMISSION, FOR WHATEVER THE
- 22 REASONS WE HAVE HAD A HELL OF A PROBLEM REACHING ALL OF THE
- 23 ELIGIBLE KIDS ON -- PRIOR TO THE PROP 5, THE FIRST FIVE
- 24 COMMISSION'S CAMPAIGN, THERE JUST -- A LOT OF PEOPLE ARE
- 25 FALLING THROUGH THE CRACKS AND WHETHER IT'S MARKETING, WHETHER



- 1 IT'S OUTREACH, WHATEVER IT IS, IT'S THERE. SO I'M JUST
- 2 CONCERNED THAT WE NOT, AND I DON'T WANT TO CAST A VOTE FOR
- 3 THIS AND HAVE IT SOMEHOW INTERPRETED BY OUR STAFF AND OUR
- 4 ADMINISTRATION HERE THAT WE SOMEHOW THINK THIS IS A PANACEA.
- 5 IT CERTAINLY MAY BE A HELP AND I THINK WE ALL HOPE THAT IT
- 6 WILL BE, BUT IT'S STILL INCREMENTAL, IT'S THE SINGLE BIGGEST
- 7 INCREMENT THAT HAS YET TO COME THROUGH THE LEGISLATURE AND WE
- 8 YET -- WE ARE YET TO SEE HOW IT'S GOING TO BE FASHIONED. BUT
- 9 IT'S STILL AN INCREMENT IN THE WHOLE SCHEME OF THINGS AND I
- 10 THINK WE -- AS WE PLAN AHEAD AND AS YOU, MR. GARTHWAITE -- DR.
- 11 GARTHWAITE AND MR. LEAF AND MR. JANSSEN START TO LOOK AHEAD AT
- 12 THE PROJECTIONS THAT YOU'VE GOT IN YOUR REPORT TO US THAT HAVE
- 13 BEEN RELATIVELY CONSISTENT, RELATIVELY CONSISTENT OVER A
- 14 PERIOD OF TIME, IT VARIES JUST LIKE EVERYTHING ELSE VARIES,
- 15 BUT IT'S BEEN RELATIVELY CONSISTENT IN THE POINT THAT MID-
- 16 DECADE WE'VE GOT A CLIFF THAT UNLESS, THE ONLY SINGLE THING I
- 17 CAN THINK OF THAT SOLVES THAT CLIFF IS A RENEWAL OF A WAIVER
- 18 IN SOME ITERATION, BECAUSE THAT'S THE BIG MISSING GAP IN WHAT
- 19 WE'RE LOOKING AT INTO THE SECOND HALF OF THIS DECADE. NOW
- 20 THAT'S NOT -- THE S.B.2 IS NOT BIG ENOUGH TO SOLVE THAT,
- 21 CLOSING OR SPINNING OFF RANCHO ISN'T BIG ENOUGH TO CLOSE THAT,
- 22 DOWNSIZING U.S. C., THINNING OUT M.L.K., CLOSING 11 CLINICS,
- 23 ALL OF THOSE THINGS, AS THE STATE AUDIT CONFIRMED THE OTHER
- 24 DAY, NONE OF THOSE, ALL OF THOSE TOGETHER AREN'T ENOUGH TO
- 25 ADDRESS THAT, IT MAY TAKE YOUR \$700 MILLION PROBLEM AND MAKE



- 1 IT A \$350 MILLION BUT A \$350 MILLION PROBLEM IS STILL ONE HELL
- 2 OF A BIG PROBLEM. SO THAT'S -- I JUST WANT TO SAY THAT ON
- 3 THAT. THE OTHER THING ON THE BILL ITSELF, I'M GOING TO SUPPORT
- 4 IT -- SUPPORT THE MOTION TO ASK THE GOVERNOR TO SIGN IT, EVEN
- 5 THOUGH I HAVE RESERVATIONS AND MY RESERVATIONS ARE NOT THE
- 6 SAME AS MY COLLEAGUES. MY RESERVATIONS ARE THAT THE SPECIFICS
- 7 AREN'T ALL ATTACHED TO THIS, TO THIS BILL. I DON'T KNOW WHAT
- 8 AT THE END OF THE DAY IT'S, WHEN IT'S FULLY IMPLEMENTED, IT'S
- 9 GOING TO LOOK LIKE, BUT I DO THINK IT'S IMPORTANT FOR THE
- 10 STATE, IN THE ABSENCE OF A NATIONAL HEALTHCARE POLICY, THAT
- 11 DECLARES ONCE AND FOR ALL THAT HEALTHCARE IS A RIGHT AND NOT A
- 12 PRIVILEGE FOR EVERY MAN, WOMAN AND CHILD IN THIS COUNTRY. THAT
- 13 IN THE ABSENCE OF THAT WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO DO THINGS LIKE
- 14 S.B. 2, WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO DO THINGS LIKE THE FIRST FIVE
- 15 INITIATIVE, WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO DO THINGS LIKE, IT'S LIKE
- 16 FIGHTING A GUERILLA WAR AGAINST THE PROBLEMS OF THE UNINSURED,
- 17 AGAINST THE PLIGHT OF THE UNINSURED. THAT'S WHAT THIS IS
- 18 FORCING US TO DO. ALL OF WHAT WE'RE DOING HERE IS REALLY
- 19 TINKERING AROUND THE EDGES BECAUSE OF A LACK OF A NATIONAL
- 20 POLICY THAT LEAVES ONE OUT OF FIVE AMERICANS, NEARLY ONE OUT
- 21 OF FIVE AMERICANS UNINSURED EVERY NIGHT OF THE YEAR. AND
- 22 WHETHER IT'S EXPENSIVE FOR BUSINESS OR IT'S EXPENSIVE FOR
- 23 TAXPAYERS OR HOWEVER IT IS, IT'S EXPENSIVE FOR US LOCALLY NOT
- 24 TO HAVE INSURANCE. WE HAD TO GO TO THE VOTERS IN L.A. COUNTY
- 25 LAST NOVEMBER AND ASK THEM TO APPROVE A PROPERTY TAX INCREASE,



- 1 WHICH THEY DID. THE REASON THEY HAD TO ASSESS THEMSELVES, THAT
- 2 WE ALL HAD TO ASSESS OURSELVES A PROPERTY TAX INCREASE IS
- 3 BECAUSE WE HAVE TO PAY FOR WHAT MOST OF US WOULD ARGUE IS A
- 4 NATIONAL RESPONSIBILITY. BUT BECAUSE THE NATION HAS NOT
- 5 STEPPED UP AND DONE WHAT WE THINK THEY NEED TO DO, AS SEVERAL
- 6 OF THE PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES ARE SAYING ON THE STUMP THESE
- 7 DAYS, IT'S FORCING LOCAL GOVERNMENT TO RAISE PROPERTY TAXES.
- 8 SO WHILE WE HAVE THE RESOURCES TO DO CERTAIN THINGS IN
- 9 WASHINGTON, WE APPARENTLY DON'T HAVE THE RESOURCES TO PROVIDE
- 10 FOR THE BASIC HEALTHCARE NEEDS OF OUR POPULATION AND AS A
- 11 RESULT IT'S FORCING STATES INTO A PREDICAMENT THAT THEY CAN'T
- 12 AFFORD, AS WE KNOW. FORGET CALIFORNIA, LOOK AT ALABAMA THIS
- 13 WEEK, AND THEN IT FORCES COUNTIES LIKE OURS, AS A LAST RESORT
- 14 TO SAY, YOU KNOW, EITHER WE'RE GOING TO ASSESS OURSELVES OR
- 15 WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO CLOSE TRAUMA CENTERS. THAT WAS
- 16 BASICALLY THE CHOICE THAT ALL OF US HAD. AND IT ALL STARTS
- 17 BECAUSE AS A NATION THEY HAVE NOT COME TO THE MOUNTAIN ON THE
- 18 PRINCIPLE THAT EVERY MAN, WOMAN AND CHILD IN THIS COUNTRY IS
- 19 ENTITLED TO HEALTH INSURANCE. WHETHER THEY WORK OR NOT, I
- 20 MIGHT ADD, WHETHER THEY WORK OR NOT. JUST BECAUSE THEY'RE
- 21 ALIVE AND THEY'RE AMERICANS, THEY SHOULD BE COVERED. WE
- 22 GUARANTEE THAT AN EDUCATION, A K THROUGH 12 EDUCATION TO EVERY
- 23 CHILD 6 TO 18. NOT ONLY GUARANTEE IT, WE REQUIRE IT, IN MOST
- 24 CASES. WE GUARANTEE THE RIGHT TO VOTE TO EVERY ADULT OVER 18,
- 25 EVERY CITIZEN OVER 18. 18 AND OVER. BUT WE HAVEN'T GUARANTEED



- 1 HEALTHCARE COVERAGE TO EVERY HUMAN BEING WHO'S A RESIDENT OF
- 2 OUR COUNTRY. MOST COUNTRIES DO DO THAT, MOST INDUSTRIALIZED
- 3 COUNTRIES DO THAT. ALL OF EUROPE DOES IT. ISRAEL DOES IT.
- 4 COSTA RICA DOES IT. YOU GO TO COSTA RICA AND YOU HAVE AN
- 5 APPENDICITIS ATTACK, THEY'LL TAKE YOUR APPENDIX OUT, THEY HAVE
- 6 PRETTY GOOD DOCTORS DOWN THERE, THEY'LL DO IT ON THEIR NICKEL,
- 7 THEY WON'T ASK YOU FOR WHO'S YOUR INSURER, OR WHAT -- WHETHER
- 8 YOU'RE A TOURIST OR A RESIDENT OR WHAT YOU ARE, THEY'LL JUST
- 9 DO IT, AND THE SAME WILL HAPPEN TO YOU IN ISRAEL AND A LOT OF
- 10 OTHER COUNTRIES AROUND THE WORLD WHERE THEY HAVE THE
- 11 PHILOSOPHY THAT HEALTHCARE IS A RIGHT, NOT A PRIVILEGE FOR
- 12 THOSE WHO CAN AFFORD IT. UNTIL WE GET TO THAT POINT IN THIS
- 13 COUNTRY, WE'RE GOING TO KEEP HAVING TO DEAL WITH S.B.2'S AND I
- 14 AGREE WITH MIKE AND WITH DON, IT IS -- LOOKED AT IN A VACUUM
- 15 IT IS UNFAIR, BECAUSE IT IS PUTTING THE BURDEN ON A NARROWER
- 16 GROUP OF TAXPAYERS OR FEE PAYERS THAN NEEDS TO BE, IF WE
- 17 BROADENED IT TO EVERYONE, IF EVERYBODY SHOULDERED THE BURDEN
- 18 IT WOULD BE A LESSER BURDEN ON EVERYBODY AND I THINK IT WOULD
- 19 BE MUCH MORE MANAGEABLE AND LESS UNFAIR. BUT THIS IS THE ONLY
- 20 GAME IN TOWN RIGHT NOW AND I THINK THIS COUNTY, OF ALL
- 21 COUNTIES IN CALIFORNIA AND MAYBE OF ALL COUNTIES IN THE
- 22 COUNTRY, THIS COUNTY NEEDS TO BE ON RECORD IN SUPPORT OF THESE
- 23 KINDS OF STEPS. I'M GOING TO SUPPORT SUPERVISOR MOLINA'S
- 24 MOTION.



1 FRED LEAF: SUPERVISOR, OH.

2

- 3 SUP. KNABE: MADAM CHAIR I JUST WOULD ADD THAT, I MEAN
- 4 OBVIOUSLY WE DON'T KNOW WHAT'S GOING TO COME DOWN BUT ON THE
- 5 OTHER HAND, WITHOUT, YOU KNOW, KNOWING THE GUTS OF S.B.2 AND
- 6 THE IMPLICATIONS, AGAIN I THINK ITS IMPACT IS SO NARROW THAT
- 7 IT'S A JOB-KILLER FOR THIS STATE. BUT THE OTHER CONCERN THAT I
- 8 HAVE, YOU KNOW, I'M NOT SURE THAT IT'S THE ULTIMATE ANSWER FOR
- 9 THIS COUNTY EITHER, BECAUSE IF YOU LOOK AT HEALTHY FAMILIES,
- 10 YOU LOOK AT THE ISSUES AT L.A. CARE, WHEN WE BECOME A
- 11 COMPETITOR, WE DON'T DO VERY WELL.

12

13 SUP. MOLINA: THAT'S RIGHT.

14

- 15 SUP. KNABE: YOU KNOW, AND THAT, YOU KNOW, AGAIN, THIS COULD
- 16 HELP OTHER INSTITUTIONS OUT IN THE COUNTY AND WE'D STILL WIND
- 17 UP IN THIS POSITION. SO I MEAN I THINK THERE'S OTHER
- 18 STRUCTURES THAT WE CAN LOOK AT. BUT AGAIN, WE HAVE NOT DONE
- 19 WELL IN A COMPETITIVE SITUATION, SO.

- 21 SUP. MOLINA: IF I COULD SPEAK TO THAT, BUT I THINK IT'S AN
- 22 IMPORTANT POINT, WHICH IS WHY I ASKED FOR THE REVIEW. THE
- 23 REALITY IS IT'S RIGHT, THIS IS NOT A PANACEA TO ALL OF OUR
- 24 PROBLEMS. IT IS GOING TO HELP, IF IT, IF, IT GETS IMPLEMENTED,
- 25 IF EVERYTHING GOES THROUGH WITH THE COURTS, IF IT ENDS UP



- 1 BEING WHAT IT IS, AND I'M SURE THAT ALONG THE WAY THERE'S
- 2 GOING TO BE A LOT OF LEGISLATION CORRECTIONS AND DONE AND SO
- 3 ON, BUT THE REALITY IS, IT WOULD GO A LONG WAY TO REDUCE THE
- 4 NUMBER OF PATIENTS THAT WE SEE EVERY SINGLE DAY IN OUR
- 5 HOSPITALS. AND IF IT COMES THROUGH, IT DOESN'T MEAN THAT WE
- 6 WOULD END OUR DEFICIT, IT JUST MAKES OUR DEFICIT MAYBE A BIT
- 7 SMALLER, BECAUSE WE'RE NOT GOING TO ATTRACT NECESSARILY THESE
- 8 PATIENTS. I MEAN I'D LOVE TO SEE THAT HAPPEN ALL RIGHT, BUT
- 9 WHEN I SAW HEALTHY FAMILIES AND WHAT HAPPENED THERE, WE, AND,
- 10 YOU KNOW, WE DIDN'T GET THOSE DOLLARS NECESSARILY. SO WHAT I
- 11 AM ASKING IS NOT ONLY TO SUPPORT THIS BECAUSE IT ISN'T THE
- 12 END-ALL, IT IS THE BEGINNING, OF THE KIND OF DISCUSSION THAT
- 13 THE LEGISLATURE AND THIS STATE SHOULD BE HAVING PRETTY
- 14 REGULARLY. NOT ON THE LAST MINUTE OF THE LAST DAY OF A
- 15 LEGISLATIVE SESSION. AND SO UNFORTUNATELY WE'VE GOT TO TAKE
- 16 ADVANTAGE OF THE SITUATION AS IT PRESENTS ITSELF. SO THIS
- 17 COUNTY NEEDS TO BE THERE AND IT NEEDS TO URGE THIS GOVERNOR TO
- 18 SIGN THIS LEGISLATION. I THINK WE'RE GOING TO SEE AN AWFUL LOT
- 19 COME OUT OF IT THAT WE LIKE AND SOME THAT WE DON'T LIKE. BUT
- 20 THE REALITY IS THAT THIS COUNTY HAS BEEN HAVING TO CARRY THE
- 21 BURDEN, CARRY THE BURDEN BY ITSELF, WITH NO HELP FROM THE
- 22 LEGISLATURE, OCCASIONALLY SOME HELP FROM THE FEDS. BUT IF I
- 23 COULD SEE THAT THERE WAS THIS DISCUSSION GOING ON IN THE
- 24 CONGRESS. IN THE PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION THERE WAS ALWAYS A
- 25 DISCUSSION ABOUT PRESCRIPTION MEDICATION COVERAGE AND SO ON,



- 1 INSTEAD OF TALKING ABOUT HEALTHCARE COVERAGE. THAT WAS THE BIG
- 2 ISSUE. AND YET I DON'T THINK THEY HAVE DEBATED IN ALL OF
- 3 CONGRESS THAT ANYTHING'S GOING TO COME OUT EVEN THIS YEAR ON
- 4 PRESCRIPTION COVERAGE FOR SENIORS OR ANYONE ELSE, SO CAN YOU
- 5 IMAGINE IF THEY WERE DISCUSSING UNIVERSAL HEALTH CARE? SO I AM
- 6 HOPEFUL THAT THEY'RE GOING TO GET THERE. MAYBE THIS NEXT
- 7 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION IS GOING TO RAISE THE BAR A LITTLE BIT
- 8 BECAUSE I THINK THAT ALL OF THIS COUNTRY, ALL AMERICANS ARE
- 9 LOOKING AT THE COST OF HEALTH CARE. IT'S ESCALATING SO
- 10 DRAMATICALLY. WE NEED TO DO SOMETHING. WE DON'T KNOW WHAT IT
- 11 IS. BUT THIS IS A STEP IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION. THIS IS A STEP
- 12 THAT HELPS L.A. COUNTY, AND THIS IS A STEP THAT WE NEED TO
- 13 TAKE ADVANTAGE OF. THAT'S WHY I ALSO ASKED FOR THAT ANALYSIS,
- 14 BECAUSE WE NEED TO KNOW THE KIND OF IMPACT THAT IT'S GOING TO
- 15 HAVE ON OUR SYSTEM. IT'S NOT -- IT'S NOT GOING TO -- THEY'RE
- 16 NOT ALL GOING TO RUSH AND PAY US IF THEY CAN GO TO OTHER
- 17 PLACES, THEY'RE GOING TO DO SO. BUT I THINK WE NEED TO
- 18 UNDERSTAND AND IT SHOULD BE PART OF OUR FORECASTING AS WELL.
- 19 SO IT NEEDS TO BE IN THE MIX. THAT'S WHAT I'M ASKING WHEN I
- 20 ASK FOR A REPORT ON WHAT ITS OUTCOME WILL BE, WHEN WE LOOK AT
- 21 IT IN THE FUTURE FORECASTING YEARS, I THINK IT CAN BE PART OF
- 22 IT. IT'S GOING TO BE UP AND DOWN BECAUSE THERE'S GOING TO BE A
- 23 LOT OF CHANGES, THROUGH THE COURTS, THROUGH LEGISLATIVE
- 24 CHANGES HERE AND THERE AND WHAT MAY HAPPEN. BUT I THINK RIGHT
- 25 NOW WE'RE IN A SITUATION THAT WE SHOULD TAKE ADVANTAGE OF



- 1 THIS. IT'S A GREAT OPPORTUNITY FOR US. IT ISN'T THE ANSWER. IT
- 2 DOESN'T ELIMINATE THE DEFICIT. I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THAT HAPPEN
- 3 BUT I DON'T THINK WE'RE THERE YET, BUT IT GOES A LONG WAY. BUT
- 4 IT STILL IS GOING TO REQUIRE AN AWFUL LOT OF DISCUSSION AND
- 5 DEBATE AND MORE IMPORTANTLY PROBABLY A LOT OF CORRECTIVE
- 6 LEGISLATIVE PROPOSALS THAT ARE GOING TO GO ALONG THE WAY. BUT
- 7 AT THIS POINT IN TIME, AND I AM HAPPY TO SEND IT TO THE SMALL
- 8 BUSINESS COMMISSION AND LET THEM EVALUATE IT. WE SHOULD
- 9 UNDERSTAND WHAT IT'S GOING TO DO. WE'RE A MAJOR EMPLOYER AS
- 10 WELL, WE NEED TO KNOW WHAT KIND OF IMPACT IT'S GOING TO HAVE
- 11 ON ALL OF US AS WELL. SO I'M HAPPY TO DO THIS BUT I THINK WE
- 12 HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO SEND A MESSAGE TO THE GOVERNOR AND LET
- 13 HIM KNOW THAT THIS IS SOMETHING THAT WILL GO A LONG WAY TO
- 14 HELP L.A. COUNTY AND HE SHOULD SIGN IT. SO I ASK FOR YOUR
- 15 SUPPORT.

- 17 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I UNDERSTAND GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL WAS
- 18 REOUESTING TO SPEAK. WHILE SHE'S COMING UP, I THINK ONE OF THE
- 19 THINGS THAT OUR SMALL BUSINESS COMMISSION SHOULD BE DOING IS
- 20 LOOKING AT THE POSSIBILITY OF HELPING TO DEVELOP A LOW-COST
- 21 INSURANCE POLICY. THIS HAS BEEN DONE IN OTHER COUNTIES. I KNOW
- 22 IN MINNESOTA SOME OF THE COUNTIES DID THAT. THEY DEVELOPED A
- 23 LOW COST, THIS WAS BEFORE HEALTHY FAMILIES, BUT THEY
- 24 NEGOTIATED WITH INSURANCE COMPANIES TO TRY TO PROVIDE SOME
- 25 KIND OF LOW-COST HEALTH FOR THOSE COMPANIES AND FOR THOSE



- 1 PEOPLE WHO WERE TOTALLY UNINSURED. YES? GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL:
- 2 GOOD AFTERNOON BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, THIS IS GENEVIEVE
- 3 CLAVREUL. FIRST OF ALL, I AM VERY CONCERNED WHEN WE CANNOT GET
- 4 SUPPORT DOCUMENT FOR AN ITEM BROUGHT TO THE BOARD UNTIL THE
- 5 ITEM IS ALREADY CALLED. TODAY I DID NOT RECEIVE THE
- 6 DOCUMENTATION UNTIL, YOU KNOW, YOU WERE WAY WITHIN THIS ITEM
- 7 S-2, AND I THINK THE PUBLIC SHOULD HAVE ACCESS TO THE
- 8 DOCUMENTATION PRIOR TO THE MEETING. SECOND IS THAT, YOU KNOW,
- 9 I'M AMAZED TO SEE YOU TALKING ABOUT UNIVERSAL HEALTHCARE LIKE
- 10 IT IS A PANACEA AND GOING TO SOLVE ALL THE PROBLEM. THE LAST
- 11 TWO MONTHS IN FRANCE WHO HAS UNIVERSAL HEALTH, 15,000 PEOPLE
- 12 DIED BECAUSE OF LACK OF CARE. 15,000. AND THEY ALL HAD ACCESS
- 13 TO CARE. I DON'T SEE THE SAME THING EVER HAPPENING IN THE
- 14 UNITED STATES. INSURANCE OR NOT, WE WOULD NOT LET 15,000
- 15 PEOPLE DIE. 5,000 DIED IN ITALY. BEFORE YOU LOOK AT UNIVERSAL
- 16 HEALTHCARE YOU SHOULD REALLY DO SOME HOMEWORK ON THE COUNTRY
- 17 WHO ALREADY HAVE IT. MANY OF THOSE COUNTRY ARE BANKRUPT, THEY
- 18 HAVE DOUBLE DIGIT, YOU KNOW, UNEMPLOYMENT, SO LIKE I ALWAYS
- 19 SAY, DO YOUR HOMEWORK.

20

22

21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: CALL THE ROLL, PLEASE.

- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: LET ME JUST SAY THAT, YOU KNOW, WE JUST CAN'T
- 24 BE THE H.M.O. TO THE WORLD, AND IF YOU'RE GOING TO PROVIDE
- 25 SOMETHING, IF YOU'RE GOING TO --



1

- 2 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL ALL OF OUR PEOPLE ARE RUNNING OVER TO
- 3 CANADA TO BUY THOSE DRUGS I SAW LAST NIGHT.

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: LET ME TELL YOU, THE PEOPLE FROM CANADA ARE
- 6 GOING TO NEW YORK, THEY'RE GOING TO MINNESOTA FOR THEIR HEART
- 7 SURGERY AND THEIR OTHER MAJOR SURGERIES. AND THAT'S A FACT OF
- 8 LIFE. THE REASON THAT PRESCRIPTION DRUGS ARE CHEAPER IN CANADA
- 9 IS BECAUSE THEY DON'T HAVE THE HIGH TORT COSTS THAT WE HAVE IN
- 10 THE UNITED STATES AND IF WE CAN REFORM THE TRIAL LAWYERS
- 11 YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE SOME REDUCTIONS IN COSTS. IT'S A VERY
- 12 SERIOUS ISSUE BUT IT'S NOT JUST INSURANCE, YOU'RE TALKING
- 13 ABOUT REFORMING TORT LAW AND BRINGING SOME REFORMS, WHICH
- 14 MEANS YOU DON'T CONTINUE TO PROVIDE MORE THAN YOU'RE REQUIRED
- 15 TO PROVIDE. AND AS LONG AS YOU PROVIDE MORE THAN YOU ARE
- 16 REQUIRED TO PROVIDE, YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE MORE CUSTOMERS, SO
- 17 IT'S A WHOLE BIG ISSUE AND SENATE BILL 2 IS ONLY GOING TO
- 18 DRIVE ANOTHER NAIL IN THAT COFFIN OF UNEMPLOYMENT WHICH WE
- 19 DON'T NEED. WE NEED FULLY-EMPLOYED PEOPLE WHO ARE WORKING TO
- 20 REVITALIZE THIS ECONOMY FOR OUR FUTURE.

- 22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IF YOU THINK IT'S HARD ON BUSINESS ON
- 23 WORKMEN'S COMP IN CALIFORNIA, TAKE A LOOK AT CANADA, THEIR
- 24 PROVISIONS, JUST TAKE A LOOK AT THEM, IT'S REALLY INTERESTING
- 25 DOING BUSINESS THERE.



1 SUP. KNABE: BUT WE DON'T HAVE TO LIVE WITH THEM, WE HAVE TO 2 3 RUN WITH WHAT WE HAVE IN CALIFORNIA. 4 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: BUT I MEAN IT'S ALSO -- I MEAN, TALK ABOUT LAWSUITS IN CANADA, BOY, IT'S TOUGH. ALL RIGHT, CALL THE ROLL 6 7 PLEASE. 8 9 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: SUPERVISOR MOLINA? 10 SUP. MOLINA: AYE. 11 12 13 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY? 14 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AYE. 16 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: SUPERVISOR KNABE? 17 18 19 SUP. KNABE: NO. 20 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH? 21 22 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NO. 24

CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: SUPERVISOR BURKE?



2 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AYE.

3

1

4 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: THE MOTION CARRIES 3 TO 2.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. SOMEONE JUST HANDED ME A NOTE
- 7 THAT THE NINTH COURT OF APPEALS SAID ON TUESDAY IT WILL
- 8 RECONSIDER THE DECISION BY A THREE-JUDGE PANEL TO POSTPONE THE
- 9 OCTOBER 7TH RECALL ELECTION. THE APPEAL COURT SAID THAT A
- 10 FULLER GROUP OF JUDGES AND THE THREE-COURT PANEL THAT RULED ON
- 11 THE CASE MONDAY WOULD REHEAR IT. THE THREE-JUDGE PANEL DELAYED
- 12 THE UNPRECEDENTED OCTOBER RECALL ON THE VOTE, WE KNOW THE
- 13 REASONS AND ALL OF THOSE THINGS. BUT I GUESS WHAT THIS IS
- 14 SAYING IS THAT THE WHOLE NINTH CIRCUIT EN BANC IS GOING TO
- 15 REVIEW IT.

16

17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ON MONDAY?

18

- 19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL IT SAID -- NO IT SAID THEY RULED ON
- 20 MONDAY. THEY HAD RULED YESTERDAY AND TODAY THEY SAID THAT THE
- 21 -- IT WOULD BE EN BANC 11 JUDGES. NOW I MIGHT --

22

23 SUP. KNABE: DID THEY SAY WHEN?



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: NO, IT DOESN'T SAY HERE, BUT I GATHERED
- 2 THAT THE DEFENDANTS IN THIS CASE DID NOT WANT THAT TO HAPPEN.
- 3 I GOT THE IMPRESSION THEY WANTED TO GO DIRECTLY TO THE SUPREME
- 4 COURT RATHER THAN IT GO TO EN BANC BECAUSE IT'LL MEAN I
- 5 SUSPECT A DELAY WHILE IT GOES TO THEM, COULD THE COUNTY
- 6 COUNSEL?

7

- 8 COUNSEL PELLMAN: YEAH, MADAM CHAIR, THAT'S A COMMERCIAL NEWS
- 9 REPORT, I HAVE ANOTHER COMMERCIAL NEWS REPORT THAT INDICATES
- 10 THAT SHORT OF HAVING SET IT FOR AN EN BANC HEARING, THEY ARE
- 11 INVITING BRIEFS BY TOMORROW AFTERNOON ON WHETHER TO IMPANEL
- 12 THE 11-JUDGE EN BANC HEARING.

13

- 14 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL NOW, I WONDER WHICH ONE OF THESE CAME
- 15 FIRST OR LAST?

16

- 17 COUNSEL PELLMAN: WELL WE'RE -- OUR OFFICE IS CHECKING TO SEE
- 18 WHAT WE CAN FIND AS FAR AS WHICH ORDER.

19

20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WHICH CAME FIRST.

21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MAYBE WE OUGHT TO WAIT AND FIND OUT BEFORE
- 23 WE ALARM THE WHOLE WORLD HERE.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL I DON'T THINK WE'RE GOING TO TAKE ANY
- 2 GREAT ACTION ANYHOW.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BY THE TIME THIS IS ON TELEVISION TOMORROW
- 5 NIGHT EVERYBODY WILL KNOW. SO WE OUGHT TO WATCH IT ON
- 6 WEDNESDAY NIGHT AT 9:30 OR JUST THAT WAS --

7

8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WATCH US?

9

10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT WAS JUST A JOKE.

11

- 12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OKAY WE'LL GET, LET'S HOPE THAT WE CAN GET
- 13 THE WHOLE STORY SHORTLY. SOMEONE HANDED THAT TO ME AND I
- 14 THOUGHT I SHOULD PASS IT ON. ALL RIGHT. ARE WE NOW GOING BACK
- 15 TO THE REGULAR AGENDA OR SHOULD WE GO TO THE OTHER 11:00
- 16 SPECIAL ITEM?

17

- 18 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: YES, THERE'S AN S-1 AND THEN THERE'S SOME
- 19 OTHER ITEMS ON THE TABLE, THE HELD ITEMS.

20

- 21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT, WE HAVE THE 11:30, THE 11:00
- 22 O'CLOCK, DID WE DO THE 11:00 O'CLOCK S-1?

23

24 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: NO WE HAVEN'T DONE THAT.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: LET'S CALL S-1. ARE THERE PEOPLE HERE ON
- 2 THAT? THE SHERIFF AND DISTRICT ATTORNEY. [MIXED VOICES]

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT, MAYBE WE'LL HEAR FROM, SHOULD WE
- 5 HEAR FROM THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT FIRST? WE'VE HAD PLENTY OF
- 6 GANG ACTIVITY IN THE LAST 24 HOURS IN SOME OF THE AREAS
- 7 COVERED. I GATHERED THERE WAS TWO CHILDREN AT 8:00 O'CLOCK
- 8 LAST NIGHT, IN THE MIDDLE OF GANG VIOLENCE. WE ALL KNOW ABOUT
- 9 THE HIGH VISIBILITY THE WILLIAMS -- MS. PRICE, THAT WAS THE
- 10 NIGHT BEFORE, AND I THINK THERE WERE A COUPLE OF OTHERS THAT
- 11 WE READ ABOUT. SO I'D LIKE TO GET SOME, YOUR INPUT. YES,
- 12 PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME.

- 14 LARRY WALDIE: MS. BURKE, I'M ASSISTANT SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE.
- 15 SHERIFF BACA'S ASKED ME TO COME FORTH AND GIVE YOU SOME OF
- 16 THIS DATA. YES WE'VE HAD SOME SIGNIFICANT INCREASES IN GANG
- 17 VIOLENCE UP TO THIS YEAR, UP TO AUGUST 31ST, IN TERMS OF GANG
- 18 VIOLENCE, IN TERM OF HOMICIDES, WE'VE HAD ALMOST A 29%
- 19 INCREASE IN GANG-RELATED HOMICIDES. AND HOMICIDES OVERALL, UP
- 20 TO AUGUST 31ST AGAIN, WE'VE HAD 11.4 INCREASE IN HOMICIDES,
- 21 AND WHAT'S EVEN MORE STARTLING, IN TWO UNINCORPORATED AREAS,
- 22 IN THE UNINCORPORATED AREA OF COMPTON AND THE UNINCORPORATED
- 23 OF CENTURY STATION, WE HAVE ALREADY EXCEEDED LAST YEAR'S
- 24 NUMBER AS OF AUGUST 31ST. SO WE'VE HAD SOME SIGNIFICANT RISES
- 25 IN HOMICIDES AND IN GANG-RELATED HOMICIDES.



1

- 2 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: DO YOU HAVE ANY REASON THAT YOU'VE ANALYZED
- 3 FOR THAT?

4

- 5 LARRY WALDIE: YES. WE BELIEVE THAT PROBABLY THE GREATEST
- 6 PROBLEM IS OUR INABILITY TO PUT OUT SUPPRESSION TEAMS TO BE
- 7 ABLE TO COPE WITH THE ISSUES THAT ARE OUT THERE. WHEN WE TOOK
- 8 BACK MOST OF OUR SUPPRESSION TEAMS, WHICH WERE PART OF THE
- 9 COPS COMPLEMENT AND SOME OF OUR O.S.S. AND GANG GET-TEAM
- 10 MEMBERS, WE HAVE SEEN AND BELIEVE THAT THIS THE DIRECT RESULT
- 11 OF NOT BEING ABLE TO DEAL WITH THE PROBLEM AS WE HAD IN THE
- 12 PAST. SO WE FEEL THAT THE LACK OF THOSE TEAMS OUT THERE GOING
- 13 IN AND INVESTIGATING, HITTING INFORMANTS, HITTING HOMES,
- 14 HITTING ALL THE GANG MEMBERS AND DEALING WITH THEM RATHER THAN
- 15 JUST HANDLING POLICE CALLS AND GOING TO A LOCATION HAVE HAD A
- 16 SIGNIFICANT NEGATIVE IMPACT ON OUR ABILITY TO DEAL WITH THE
- 17 CRIME RATES OUT THERE.

18

- 19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: HOW MANY PEOPLE DID YOU HAVE ON THE
- 20 SUPPRESSED TEAM -- THE SUPPRESSION TEAM IN UNINCORPORATED AREA
- 21 OF COMPTON, OR COMPTON? NOW, COMPTON WOULD PAY FOR THEIRS,
- 22 RIGHT? IF YOU -- IF THEY WANTED TO -- YOU WOULD NEGOTIATE WITH
- 23 THEM FOR A PERSON TO DO THAT IN THE CITY OF COMPTON.

24

25 LARRY WALDIE: IN THE CITY OF COMPTON.



1 2 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND DO YOU HAVE IT THERE? 3 LARRY WALDIE: YES WE DO, I'LL LET THE O.S.S. CAPTAIN ADDRESS 4 5 THAT ISSUE. 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: BUT YOU WOULD PULL THEM OUT OF 7 8 UNINCORPORATED AREA? 9 LARRY WALDIE: YES, ALL THE COPS DEPUTIES WERE UNINCORPORATED 10 AREA DEPUTIES, THEY WERE 259 OF THEM. 11 12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: NOW COPS DEPUTIES WHO ARE IN MY DISTRICT 13 FOR THE MOST PART ONLY WORK 'TIL, THEY DID NOT WORK IN THE 14 15 EVENING, THEY ONLY WORKED IN THE DAYTIME. 16 LARRY WALDIE: NO, THAT'S NOT ACCURATE, OUR COPS DEPUTIES --17 18

19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL THEY WOULD FOR MEETINGS, WHERE -- BUT

20 THEY WERE ON OVERTIME.

22 LARRY WALDIE: NO, THEY HAD AN ADJUSTABLE TIME SCHEDULE,

- 23 PARTICULARLY WITH OUR HIGH IMPACT COPS, WHO DEALT WITH
- 24 ENFORCEMENT AND SUPPRESSION, THEY WORKED ALL HOURS.

25



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: HOW MANY WERE THERE, IN THE UNINCORPORATED
- 2 AREA OF COMPTON?

3

- 4 LARRY WALDIE: AT ONE POINT WE HAD 259 COPS DEPUTIES ON THE
- 5 STREETS.

6

7 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IN UNINCORPORATED AREA OF COMPTON?

8

9 LARRY WALDIE: NO, COUNTY-WIDE.

10

11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: HOW MANY IN THE UNINCORPORATED OF COMPTON?

12

13 LARRY WALDIE: I'M NOT SURE, DO YOU HAVE THAT?

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: BECAUSE I KNEW MOST OF THE COPS DEPUTIES
- 16 AND MET WITH THEM. AND MOST OF THOSE I KNEW WERE -- LEFT AT
- 17 THE END OF THE DAY. THEY WERE NOT EVENING ONES, BUT MAYBE
- 18 THESE ARE DIFFERENT PEOPLE. MAYBE -- WERE THESE FUNDED BY THE
- 19 FEDERAL?

20

- 21 LARRY WALDIE: WE HAD SEVEN DEPUTIES IN THE UNINCORPORATED AREA
- 22 OF COMPTON.

23

24 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND WERE THEY FUNDED FROM FEDERAL FUNDS?



1 LARRY WALDIE: YES.

2

3 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THEY WERE NOT FROM OUR SEPARATE FUNDS.

4

- 5 LARRY WALDIE: WE DID NOT BACKFILL THEM WHEN FUNDS RAN OUT,
- 6 THAT'S CORRECT.

7

- 8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: UH-HUH, ALL RIGHT, NOW DOES THE CITY,
- 9 ADJACENT CITY AREA, DID THEY GET THE COPS MONEY OR HOW ARE
- 10 THEY HANDLING IT?

11

- 12 LARRY WALDIE: NO, WELL WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THE COPS DEPUTIES
- 13 WERE DIRECTED IN FACT TO THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS. NOW SOME
- 14 CITIES DID BUY SUPPRESSION TEAMS BUT THEY DID IT ON THEIR DIME
- 15 AND PAID FOR THAT, YES.

16

- 17 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND COMPTON, THE CITY OF COMPTON PURCHASED
- 18 SUPPRESSION TEAMS?

19

20 LARRY WALDIE: YES THEY DID.

21

- 22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SO WHAT IS HAPPENING IN THE CITY OF
- 23 COMPTON, IN TERMS OF SOME OF THESE -- AND ALL OF THESE HAVE
- 24 NOT BEEN AN UNINCORPORATED AREA.



- 1 LARRY WALDIE: WELL THE BULK OF THE INCREASES HAVE COME IN THE
- 2 UNINCORPORATED AREA, YES, BY FAR.

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THE MOST OF IT HAVE BEEN IN UNINCORPORATED
- 5 AREAS?

6

7 LARRY WALDIE: YES, MA'AM.

8

- 9 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT I'D BE REALLY INTERESTED IN THE
- 10 NUMBERS AND THE DATES ON THOSE AND THE BREAKDOWN ON --

11

- 12 LARRY WALDIE: THE BREAKDOWN BETWEEN THE CITY OF COMPTON AND
- 13 THE UNINCORPORATED AREA?

14

15 **SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: RIGHT.**

16

- 17 LARRY WALDIE: I'LL LET CAPTAIN PETER MECO ADDRESS THAT FROM
- 18 THE GANG PERSPECTIVE.

19

- 20 CAPTAIN PETER MECO: I THINK IN THE UNINCORPORATED AREA WE HAVE
- 21 TO ALSO INCLUDE LENNOX. WITH LENNOX, COMPTON AND CENTURY
- 22 COMBINED UNINCORPORATED HOMICIDES ARE 53 OUT OF THE 64 COUNTY-
- 23 WIDE. 53 HOMICIDES OUT OF 64 IN THE UNINCORPORATED AREA GANG-
- 24 RELATED HOMICIDES OUT OF THOSE THREE STATIONS.



1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IN THOSE THREE?

2

3 CAPTAIN PETER MECO: CENTURY, LENNOX AND COMPTON.

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND THAT -- AND THERE'S BEEN 64, WAIT A
- 6 MINUTE, COUNTY-WIDE THERE'S 64?

7

- 8 CAPTAIN PETER MECO: THROUGH AUGUST 31ST COUNTY-WIDE SHERIFF'S
- 9 JURISDICTION THERE ARE 64 GANG-RELATED HOMICIDES, 53 OF THOSE
- 10 ARE OUT OF THOSE THREE STATIONS, IN THE UNINCORPORATED AREA.

11

- 12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IN THE COUNTY-WIDE HOW MANY, INCLUDING
- 13 CITIES AND UNINCORPORATED, HOW MANY HAVE THERE BEEN?

14

15 CAPTAIN PETER MECO: I HAVE 132 THROUGH AUGUST 31ST.

16

17 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: 132.

18

- 19 CAPTAIN PETER MECO: TOTAL. IT'S ABOUT 50/50 IN THE CITIES, WE
- 20 -- UNDER OUR JURISDICTION IN THE UNINCORPORATED AREA.

21

- 22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I SEE. BUT BY FAR, THE LARGEST NUMBER ARE
- 23 CENTURY, LENNOX AND COMPTON.

24

25 LARRY WALDIE: YES MA'AM.

1

13

14

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THAT'S WHERE MOST OF THE GANG VIOLENCE IS 2 3 GOING ON? 4 5 CAPTAIN PETER MECO: YES. 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND YOU SAY THAT THIS IS BECAUSE OF THE 7 8 SUPPRESSION -- THE SUPPRESSION DEPUTIES NOT BEING THERE? 9 LARRY WALDIE: WELL LET ME JUST GIVE YOU AN EXAMPLE OF THIS. 10 ABOUT SIX MONTHS AGO WE SAW A TREMENDOUS SPIKE IN REGION TWO 11 WHICH ENCOMPASSES THOSE THREE STATION AREAS. WE HAD A JUMP 12

- 15 SIX AND A SERGEANT. AND WE PUT THEM INTO THE AREA TO DEAL WITH

FROM SIX HOMICIDES TO 20 HOMICIDES. WE MANAGED TO PUT TOGETHER

AND TAKING AWAY FROM DETECTIVES AND DESK PERSONNEL, A TEAM OF

- 16 THAT HUGE JUMP FROM 6 TO 20. IN THAT TIME PERIOD FOR FIVE
- MONTHS WE DID NOT HAVE ONE ADDITIONAL HOMICIDE. NOT ONE. AND 17
- 18 WE DEALT WITH THE STREETS VERY, VERY STRONGLY WITH THAT
- PARTICULAR TEAM, WITH OUR -- WITH O.S.S. AND WITH OUR G.E.T. 19
- WHEN THEY WERE PULLED OUT, THIS IS PROBABLY JUST IRONIC THAT 20
- 21 IT OCCURRED, TWO DAYS AFTER WE PULLED THAT TEAM BECAUSE OF
- 22 SOME OTHER PROBLEMS ELSEWHERE, WE HAD TWO ADDITIONAL HOMICIDES
- 23 THAT HIT THE STREET AND WE PUT THEM IMMEDIATELY BACK IN THE
- AREA. SO THE SUPPRESSION TEAMS HAVE THE ABILITY TO GO OUT 24
- THERE AND DEAL WITH INFORMANTS, HIT THE HOMES, HIT THE GANG 25



- 1 MEMBER'S FRIENDS AND THEY KNOW THERE'S A PRESS AND A PUSH TO
- 2 TAKE THEM TO JAIL AND TO STOP THEM FROM DOING THE VIOLENCE.
- 3 WITHOUT THAT AND WE'RE SENDING BLACK AND WHITES TO HANDLE
- 4 CALLS, THEY DON'T RESPOND AS WELL.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: NOW, WHEN YOU SAY -- WHEN DID YOU TAKE THEM
- 7 OUT?

8

- 9 LARRY WALDIE: WE TOOK THEM OUT FOR A SHORT PERIOD, THEN PUT
- 10 THEM BACK JUST AFTER A FEW DAYS. I THINK PETE YOU CAN ADDRESS
- 11 THAT.

12

13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THEY'RE OUT NOW THOUGH RIGHT?

14

15 LARRY WALDIE: NO, THEY'RE BACK IN, THEY --

16

17 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OH THEY'RE BACK IN NOW?

18

- 19 LARRY WALDIE: WE JUST PUT THEM BACK IN BECAUSE ALREADY WE HAD
- 20 TWO HOMICIDES SO WE HAD TO PUT THEM BACK IN TO KIND OF HELP
- 21 DECREASE IT.

22

23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WHEN DID YOU TAKE THEM OUT, WHEN WAS THAT?



- 1 CAPTAIN PETER MECO: WHAT WE DID IS WE CREATED THIS SPECIAL
- 2 TEAM OF SIX PEOPLE IN ADDITION TO THE DEPUTIES THAT WE HAVE
- 3 DEPLOYED THERE, THAT ARE GANG ENFORCEMENT DEPUTIES. WE COVERED
- 4 IT SEVEN DAYS A WEEK, THROUGH JUNE -- UP THROUGH JUNE 30TH,
- 5 JANUARY THROUGH JUNE OF THIS YEAR WE HAD 20 GANG-RELATED
- 6 HOMICIDES COMPARED TO SIX LAST YEAR.
- 8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THIS YEAR. RIGHT.
- 10 CAPTAIN PETER MECO: BECAUSE OF THE INCREASE WE PUT THAT EXTRA
- 11 TEAM IN AND IN THE NEXT SEVEN WEEKS WE DID NOT HAVE ONE GANG-
- 12 RELATED HOMICIDE. WE PULLED THAT TEAM BECAUSE OF AN INCREASE
- 13 IN CENTURY JUST FOR A PERIOD OF FOUR DAYS. THE SECOND DAY WE
- 14 HAD A DOUBLE HOMICIDE IN LENNOX. AFTER THAT FOUR DAYS WE PUT
- 15 THE TEAM BACK, WHICH HAS BEEN ANOTHER I THINK FOUR WEEKS OR
- 16 THREE WEEKS, WE HAVEN'T HAD ANOTHER HOMICIDE. NOW SOME OF THAT
- 17 MIGHT BE COINCIDENTAL BUT WITH THAT TEAM THERE NOW FOR 10
- 18 WEEKS, WHILE THEY WERE THERE THERE WAS NOT ONE GANG-RELATED
- 19 HOMICIDE.
- 21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND SO AT THIS POINT YOU HAVE PUT THEM BACK
- 22 IN?

7

9

24 LARRY WALDIE: YES MA'AM.

25

23



1 CAPTAIN PETER MECO: YES.

2

- 3 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND THAT'S IN UNINCORPORATED OF COMPTON
- 4 THAT'S SEVEN DEPUTIES, AND IN LENNOX, HOW MANY IS IT?

5

- 6 LARRY WALDIE: THIS WAS A SPECIAL TEAM PUT TOGETHER BY CHIEF
- 7 NAGO OKA. IT -- WE STOLE A SERGEANT, WE WENT WITHOUT
- 8 SUPERVISION IN ONE PARTICULAR AREA TO BE ABLE TO HAVE THE
- 9 SERGEANT, WE JUST WENT WITHOUT IT BECAUSE WE HAD NO ADDITIONAL
- 10 BODIES. HE CHOSE TWO DEPUTIES, EACH FROM COMPTON, EACH
- 11 CENTURY, EACH FROM LENNOX, HE TOOK THEM FROM THE DESK, HE TOOK
- 12 THEM DETECTIVES. WE JUST DIDN'T BEGIN DOING CERTAIN JOBS, WE
- 13 DIDN'T FOLLOW UP ON BURGLARIES, WE HAD LESS PEOPLE AT THE
- 14 DESK, BECAUSE WE DIDN'T HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL PEOPLE NOR DID WE
- 15 HAVE OVERTIME. BUT BECAUSE THE HOMICIDE RATE WAS SO HIGH WE
- 16 HAD TO DO SOMETHING, SO WE JUST TOOK THEM AND STOPPED DOING
- 17 SOMETHING ELSE TO TRY TO DEAL WITH THAT. AND FOR THAT 10-WEEK
- 18 PERIOD, SINCE WE'VE PUT THEM IN THERE THAT SUPPRESSION TEAM,
- 19 WE'VE HAD NO HOMICIDES.

20

- 21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I SEE. AND OF COURSE THE CITY OF COMPTON
- 22 THEY'VE HAD QUITE A FEW?

23

24 LARRY WALDIE: YEAH, THAT'S CORRECT.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: BUT WELL NOW THOSE WEREN'T HOMICIDES LAST
- 2 NIGHT, THE TWO CHILDREN THOUGH, THOSE WERE JUST -- THEY WERE
- 3 SHOT. THEY WERE VICTIMS, BUT THEY WERE -- IT WAS NOT A
- 4 HOMICIDE.

5

- 6 LARRY WALDIE: CORRECT, THEY WOULD FALL WOULD UNDER THE
- 7 CATEGORY OF FELONIOUS ASSAULTS WHICH WE ALSO DEAL WITH AND
- 8 THAT MEANS MURDERS, ATTEMPTED MURDERS AND ATTACKS WITH A
- 9 WEAPON, A GUN, NAMELY A GUN.

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND THE TEENAGERS WERE NOT IN THE -- THEY
- 12 WERE IN THE CITY OF L.A.

13

14 LARRY WALDIE: YES.

15

- 16 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THEY WERE NOT IN OUR AREA. IT WAS JUST --
- 17 AND THE WOMAN, MRS. PRICE, SHE WAS IN THE CITY OF COMPTON, NOT
- 18 IN OUR AREA.

19

20 LARRY WALDIE: IS THAT CORRECT, YES THAT'S CORRECT.

21

- 22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SHE WAS NOT IN UNINCORPORATED AREA. SHE WAS
- 23 IN THE CITY.

24

25 LARRY WALDIE: THAT'S CORRECT.



1

2 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. WHAT IS YOUR FEELING IN TERMS OF

3 AN INJUNCTION, DO YOU THINK THAT IT WOULD HAVE ANY IMPACT?

4

5 LARRY WALDIE: WELL INJUNCTIONS DO HAVE AN IMPACT, THEY'RE A

6 GOOD THING. WE'VE FOUND SOME VERY EFFECTIVE INJUNCTIONS IN THE

7 CITY OF NORWALK WHEN WE HAD THAT SIGNIFICANT GANG PROBLEM AND

8 WE HAVE REALLY CURTAILED MANY OF THE GANG ISSUES IN THE CITY

9 OF NORWALK. ALSO IN LENNOX AREA THE INJUNCTION HAS WORKED

10 EFFECTIVELY WELL. NOT SO WELL IN COMPTON, BECAUSE NUMBER ONE,

11 WHEN WE DID THE TRANSITION FROM COMPTON IN THE SHERIFF'S

12 DEPARTMENT WE DIDN'T EVEN KNOW THAT THERE WAS AN INJUNCTION

13 OUT THERE. AND TO HAVE TO HAVE AN INJUNCTION YOU HAVE TO SERVE

14 IT TO SHOW THAT THE PEOPLE KNOW THERE'S AN INJUNCTION, THEY

15 CANNOT DO THESE THINGS, IT'S A VERY EFFECTIVE TOOL BECAUSE YOU

16 DON'T HAVE TO DO ANYTHING SPECIAL, YOU CAN HAVE A REGULAR

17 BLACK AND WHITE, YOU CAN HAVE OUR SPECIAL ENFORCEMENT BUREAU,

18 STOP THESE PEOPLE, TAKE THEM OFF THE STREET, STOP THEM FROM

19 GATHERING, STOP THEM FROM MEETING CERTAIN PEOPLE, STOP THEM

20 FROM GOING TO CERTAIN PLACES, AND THAT WAY YOU PREVENT A

21 PROBLEM. SO THEY'RE AN EFFECTIVE TOOL BUT IT TAKES RESOURCES

22 TO BE ABLE TO DO THAT, FROM THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, TO

23 BE ABLE TO HELP US WITH THAT, BECAUSE THEY HAVE TO FILE THE

24 CASES.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT I'D LIKE TO HEAR FROM THE D.A.
- 2 NOW YOUR POSITION WAS YOU DON'T WANT THE INJUNCTIONS AND THAT
- 3 THEY'RE -- IT WOULD COST HOW MUCH IN ORDER FOR YOU TO CARRY IT
- 4 OUT?

5

6 JANET MOORE: I DON'T THINK THAT'S ACCURATE, MADAM CHAIR.

7

- 8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OKAY, MAYBE I SHOULD UNDERSTAND EXACTLY
- 9 WHAT YOUR POSITION IS.

10

- 11 JANET MOORE: WHAT OUR POSITION IS IS THAT INJUNCTIONS, WHEN
- 12 YOU FINALLY COME DOWN TO IT, THEY'RE -- THE MOST THAT YOU GET
- 13 OUT OF IT IS A MISDEMEANOR PROSECUTION. THE DISTRICT
- 14 ATTORNEY'S PRIORITY MUST BE SERIOUS AND VIOLENT CRIMES SUCH AS
- 15 THE HOMICIDES YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT. BECAUSE OUR OFFICE IS
- 16 FACING A DOWNSIZING, TO TAKE A DEPUTY AND OTHER THAN THE ONE
- 17 THAT WE ARE GOING TO DEDICATE TO INJUNCTIONS AND PUT THAT
- 18 DEPUTY TO DOING INJUNCTIVE WORK MEANS THAT THE SERIOUS
- 19 FELONIES ARE NOT BEING ADDRESSED TO THE SAME DEGREE THAT THEY
- 20 WOULD OTHERWISE. OUR POSITION IS --

21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ARE YOU WITH THE D.A. OR THE SHERIFF, I'M
- 23 SORRY?



- 1 JANET MOORE: OH I'M SORRY, MY NAME'S JANET MOORE, I'M THE HEAD
- 2 DEPUTY OF THE HARDCORE GANG DIVISION FOR THE DISTRICT
- 3 ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SPEAKING ON BEHALF OF MR. COOLEY D.A.

4

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND YOU'RE SAYING THAT THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
- 6 IS DOWNSIZING YOUR SHOP?

7

- 8 JANET MOORE: NO, WHAT I'M SAYING IS THAT OVERALL THE OFFICE IS
- 9 BEING FACED WITH A DOWNSIZING PERSONNEL.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT ABOUT YOUR DEPARTMENT, THE HARDCORE
- 12 GANG?

- 14 JANET MOORE: WE'RE HOLDING OUR OWN, WE ARE DOWN NINE BODIES
- 15 FROM WHERE WE WERE A COUPLE OF YEARS AGO, SO WE HAVE BEEN
- 16 DOWNSIZED BUT RIGHT NOW WE ARE TRYING TO MAINTAIN OUR CURRENT
- 17 STAFFING POSITION. WE DO HAVE ONE DEPUTY THAT'S DEDICATED TO
- 18 INJUNCTIONS ONLY. AND AS WE'VE TOLD THE BOARD BEFORE,
- 19 INJUNCTIVE EFFORTS ARE HIGHLY LABOR INTENSIVE, THEY ARE HIGHLY
- 20 RESOURCE CONSUMPTIVE, AND WHAT YOU GET IN RETURN ARE
- 21 MISDEMEANOR PROSECUTIONS. SO OUR PRIORITY MUST REMAIN DEALING
- 22 WITH THE SERIOUS AND VIOLENT FELONIES, SUCH AS HOMICIDES.
- 23 THAT'S WHAT WE'RE SAYING. NOW WHAT OUR OFFICE CAN DO AND WILL
- 24 DO IS REDIRECT THE EFFORTS OF THAT ONE DEPUTY THAT'S ASSIGNED
- 25 TO INJUNCTIONS FROM DOING CO-INJUNCTIONS WITH THE CITY



- 1 ATTORNEY'S OFFICE AND FOCUSING THEIR TIME PREDOMINANTLY UPON
- 2 UNINCORPORATED AREAS AND WORKING INJUNCTIONS IN THOSE AREAS.
- 3 BUT AT THIS TIME TO TAKE OTHER PERSONNEL THAT ARE DEALING WITH
- 4 SERIOUS AND VIOLENT FELONIES SUCH AS THE HOMICIDES AND PUT
- 5 THEM IN AN INJUNCTIVE EFFORT INSTEAD IS NOT AN INAPPROPRIATE
- 6 USE OF OUR RESOURCES.

7

- 8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I HAD
- 9 APPROACHED WITH YOUR OFFICE WOULD BE TO PAY FOR ANOTHER
- 10 DEPUTY. HOWEVER WHEN THAT DEPUTY WAS NOT WORKING ON
- 11 INJUNCTIONS, THAT THEY WOULD DO MISDEMEANOR ENFORCEMENT. NOW
- 12 WHAT YOU'RE SAYING IS THAT ACTUALLY THE INJUNCTIONS ALSO
- 13 RESULT IN MISDEMEANORS AND WOULD THIS -- IS THERE ANY WAY IN
- 14 YOUR OFFICE YOU COULD WORK SOMETHING LIKE THAT, IF WE GOT THE
- 15 MONEY TO PAY FOR ADDITIONAL DEPUTY TO DO THE INJUNCTIONS BUT
- 16 AT THAT TIME WHEN THEY'RE NOT DOING -- ENFORCING THOSE
- 17 MISDEMEANORS FROM THE INJUNCTION, THAT THEY WOULD WORK ON
- 18 OTHER MISDEMEANOR ENFORCEMENT.

19

- 20 JANET MOORE: MADAM CHAIR THAT'S A POLICY DECISION THEY
- 21 OBVIOUSLY THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY WOULD HAVE TO MAKE. BUT LET ME
- 22 AGAIN STRESS THAT WITH ONE DEPUTY DEDICATED TO INJUNCTIONS
- 23 IT'S HIGHLY LABOR INTENSIVE.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I MEAN ANOTHER ONE, ANOTHER ONE. IF YOU HAD
- 2 TWO DEPUTIES INSTEAD OF ONE, IF WE PUT THE MONEY IN FOR
- 3 ANOTHER DEPUTY. BUT I GATHER THAT THE AMOUNT OF WORK HE HAS
- 4 RIGHT NOW IT'S A MATTER OF ONE DEPUTY'S HANDLING IT AND ALSO
- 5 WORKING WITH THE CITY ATTORNEY.

6

7 JANET MOORE: CORRECT.

8

- 9 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SO THAT IF WE PUT ONE MORE AND PAID FOR ONE
- 10 MORE DEPUTY WHAT I'M REALLY ASKING IS I ASSUME HE WOULD NOT
- 11 HAVE A FULL COMPLEMENT OF WORK ON INJUNCTION.

12

- 13 JANET MOORE: I DON'T THINK THAT'S CORRECT. AGAIN IT'S A POLICY
- 14 DECISION THAT THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY WOULD HAVE TO MAKE,
- 15 BECAUSE OF THE OVERALL DOWNSIZING OF THE OFFICE THAT WE MUST
- 16 DO, THAT PERSON IS NOT COMING FROM THE OUTSIDE, THAT PERSON
- 17 WOULD BE PULLED FROM SOME OTHER ASSIGNMENT WHICH MEANS --

18

- 19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: BUT YOU'D BE ABLE TO PAY SOMEONE TO TAKE
- 20 HIS PLACE BECAUSE YOU'D HAVE THE MONEY FOR THAT DEPUTY.

21

- 22 JANET MOORE: BUT THEY WOULD NOT BE DOING THE PRIORITY WORK OF
- 23 THE OFFICE, WHICH IS THE SERIOUS AND VIOLENT FELONIES, THEY
- 24 WOULD BE WORKING ON AN INJUNCTIVE EFFORTS.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL NOW, JUST A SECOND, YOU'RE SAYING THAT
- 2 HAVE A PROBLEM BECAUSE YOU'RE SHORT DEPUTIES. NOW I'M SAYING
- 3 IF YOU GOT ANOTHER DEPUTY, BUT YOU'RE SAYING THAT STILL
- 4 DOESN'T HELP YOU?

5

- 6 JANET MOORE: I'M SAYING THAT ANY DEPUTY YOU TAKE IS GOING TO
- 7 HAVE TO COME FROM ANOTHER OPERATION, SOMETHING ELSE IN OUR
- 8 OFFICE THAT'S DEDICATED TO FELONY PROSECUTION. THAT OPERATION
- 9 WILL SUFFER TO GIVE US A DEPUTY TO NOW ADDRESS AN INJUNCTIVE
- 10 EFFORT.

11

- 12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: BUT CAN'T YOU HIRE ANOTHER DEPUTY TO TAKE
- 13 THAT PLACE?

14

- 15 JANET MOORE: APPARENTLY NOT, NOT WHEN YOU'RE DOWNSIZING THE
- 16 OVERALL --

17

18 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WAIT A MINUTE --

19

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NO, NO, NO, THEY'RE TALKING ABOUT GIVING YOU
- 21 ONE MORE PERSON.

- 23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I SAID, IF WE GIVE YOU AN ADDITIONAL
- 24 DEPUTY. AND WAIT A MINUTE, OKAY, ONE, YOU'VE GOT FIVE --
- 25 YOU'VE GOT ONE DEPUTY, WE GIVE YOU ONE MORE DEPUTY,



1
2 JANET MOORE: YOU'RE HIRING FROM THE OUTSIDE?

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: NOW, WAIT A MINUTE, NOW, I DIDN'T SAY HIRE
- 5 FROM THE OUTSIDE, SUPPOSE YOU TAKE A DEPUTY FROM OVER HERE,
- 6 PUT HIM OVER HERE, YOU STILL HAVE THE MONEY FOR THE ADDITIONAL
- 7 DEPUTY, YOU HIRE THAT DEPUTY OVER THERE OR YOU BRING ANOTHER
- 8 DEPUTY LOWER UP TO TAKE THAT PERSON'S PLACE. I'M SAYING -- I'M
- 9 NOT SAYING WITH THE SAME NUMBER. I'M SAYING IF YOU HAD AN
- 10 ADDITIONAL DEPUTY.

11

- 12 JANET MOORE: I'M GOING TO SAY AGAIN IT'S A POLICY DECISION,
- 13 THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY WILL HAVE TO ANSWER.

14

15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OKAY, THAT I CAN UNDERSTAND, BUT DON'T --

- 17 SUP. MOLINA: MADAM CHAIR, MAY I SAY SOMETHING, BECAUSE I THINK
- 18 THAT -- I THINK WHAT YOU'RE TRYING TO PURSUE I THINK IS VERY,
- 19 VERY IMPORTANT. I'M NOT ONE WHO NECESSARILY BELIEVES IN THE
- 20 GANG INJUNCTIONS. IT'S A WHOLE DIFFERENT PROCESS. I'M ALL IN
- 21 FAVOR AND, YOU KNOW, REDUCING CRIME IS SOMETHING THAT'S VERY
- 22 IMPORTANT TO ME AND THE SHERIFF KNOWS HOW TOUGH I CAN BE ON
- 23 THEM ON TRYING TO ACHIEVE SOME OF THE GOALS THAT WE ACHIEVE.
- 24 BUT GANG INJUNCTIONS TO ME IS NOT THE WAY NECESSARILY TO DO
- 25 IT. AND I DON'T KNOW THAT IN THE PROCESS OF WHAT YOU'RE



- 1 PURSUING, WHICH I THINK IS ADMIRABLE, BECAUSE ALL OF US, AS
- 2 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, NEED TO LOOK AT THESE CRIME STATISTICS
- 3 AND THEY'RE VERY REAL, THEY'RE TANGIBLE. THESE ARE PEOPLE
- 4 LOSING THEIR LIVES, THESE ARE ASSAULTS THAT ARE GOING ON IN
- 5 OUR COMMUNITY AND IT IS OUR RESPONSIBILITY TO BRING AN END TO
- 6 THEM AND IT CAN HAPPEN. BUT AGAIN, WE CAN'T APPROACH IT ANY
- 7 DIFFERENTLY THAN THE PRESIDENT IS TRYING TO APPROACH, YOU
- 8 KNOW, ASKING THE CONGRESS FOR \$62 BILLION TO RESOLVE ISSUES IN
- 9 IRAQ IF YOU DON'T HAVE A PLAN AS TO HOW IT'S GOING TO WORK. WE
- 10 DON'T HAVE A PLAN HERE. AND THAT -- AND I DON'T THINK AN
- 11 INJUNCTION IS NECESSARILY A PLAN. IT PUTS EVERYBODY IN A PLACE
- 12 TO GO AND YOU'VE GOT TO DELIVER THE PAPERS TO THESE GUYS,
- 13 YOU'VE GOT TO DO ALL -- IT'S A WHOLE DIFFERENT PROCESS.
- 14 WHEREAS WE HAVE USED IN L.A., IN OUR AREA, WHAT WE'RE CALLING
- 15 THE VALINDA MODEL, IT'S A COOPERATIVE THAT WORKS, IT FORCES
- 16 THE SHERIFF TO DO THEIR PART BUT IT FORCES EVERY OTHER
- 17 DEPARTMENT TO DO THEIR PART AS WELL. BECAUSE WHAT WE KNOW IS
- 18 GANG HANGOUTS ARE THERE, AND GANG HANGOUTS DON'T HAVE TO BE
- 19 THERE. YOU CAN SEND IN YOUR PUBLIC WORKS, YOUR BUILDING AND
- 20 SAFETY PEOPLE, YOU CAN SEND IN ALL KINDS OF FOLKS AS WE DO IN
- 21 OUR AREA, WHEN WE SEE A HOME OR HOUSE WHERE GANG MEMBERS ARE
- 22 HANGING OUT. YOU CAN GO IN THERE AND WE'VE SENT, MR. SHUMSKY
- 23 KNOWS, OUR PROBATION FOLKS, OUR STATE PAROLE PEOPLE IN THERE
- 24 BECAUSE MORE THAN LIKELY THESE FOLKS ARE VIOLATING THEIR OWN
- 25 PROBATION AND THEIR OWN PAROLE, WHICH IS YOU'RE NOT SUPPOSED



- 1 TO BE HANGING OUT WITH EACH OTHER. AND WE HAVE ALSO FOUND THAT
- 2 MORE THAN LIKELY THESE HOMES, WHEN YOU GO IN THERE AND START
- 3 MONITORING, THEY CONTAIN DRUGS, GUNS, AND OTHER KINDS OF
- 4 THINGS AND IT'S VERY EASY TO DO THIS. NOW AGAIN, NOT EASY, IT
- 5 REQUIRES A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN TO GO AFTER SOME OF THESE GANG
- 6 HANGOUTS. YOU START DISRUPTING THEIR ACTION IS WHAT YOU START
- 7 DOING, YOU MAKE IT A DIFFICULT SITUATION. AND WE HAVE SEEN THE
- 8 STATISTICS CHANGE DRAMATICALLY. NOW I'M NOT GOING TO SAY THE
- 9 COPS TEAMS WERE NOT EFFECTIVE, I'M NOT GOING TO SAY THAT, WE
- 10 ALWAYS NEED MORE OFFICERS OUT THERE. BUT JUST 'CAUSE THEY WERE
- 11 -- JUST 'CAUSE THE MONEY WAS THERE DIDN'T NECESSARILY RESOLVE
- 12 THE ISSUE. I WOULD RECOMMEND TO YOU THAT YOU USE A VALINDA
- 13 MODEL. THIS IS GOING TO FORCE THE SHERIFF, PUBLIC WORKS,
- 14 BUILDING AND SAFETY, THE FIRE DEPARTMENT, INCLUDING CHILDREN'S
- 15 SERVICES, BY THE WAY, THE REASON THAT THEY SIT IN, 'CAUSE IN
- 16 MANY INSTANCES THESE GANG HOMES WHERE ALL THESE GANG MEMBERS
- 17 ARE HANGING OUT ARE LITTLE CHILDREN THAT ARE POTENTIALLY IN
- 18 THE LINE OF FIRE, AS WE HAVE SEEN IN THE PAST. AND IN THOSE
- 19 INSTANCES WE CAN GO TO THOSE FAMILIES AND SAY YOU ARE CREATING
- 20 A HOSTILE, POTENTIALLY HARMFUL ENVIRONMENT FOR THE CHILD AND
- 21 YOU NEED TO CORRECT IT. AND MORE THAN LIKELY YOU'RE GOING TO
- 22 FIND THAT ANY OF THESE ACTIONS COLLECTIVELY ARE MUCH MORE
- 23 EFFECTIVE, WE HAVE FOUND THEM MORE EFFECTIVE THAN A GANG
- 24 INJUNCTION. 'CAUSE A GANG INJUNCTION, YOU SERVE THE PAPERS ON
- 25 THESE GUYS, YOU GOT TO DO THE WARNING, THE WHOLE THING, AND



- 1 THEN YOU GET THEM FOR A MISDEMEANOR, RIGHT? WHICH IS LIKE, YOU
- 2 KNOW, NOT A BIG DEAL TO THESE DUDES. SO CONSEQUENTLY, YOU NEED
- 3 TO CREATE A MORE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN. NOW I UNDERSTAND PUTTING
- 4 IN MONEY TO DO IT BUT I DON'T THINK MONEY IS THE ANSWER HERE,
- 5 I THINK A PLAN IS. THE VALINDA MODEL, THE SHERIFF IS FAMILIAR
- 6 WITH IT, IT INVOLVES MANY MORE PEOPLE, THE SUCCESS WE'VE HAD
- 7 IN VALINDA HAS BEEN DRAMATIC. WE LET IT GO EVERY SO OFTEN WHEN
- 8 WE SAY THINGS HAVE CALMED DOWN AND THE VIOLENCE AND THE
- 9 ASSAULTS ESCALATE. THE NUMBERS AND I CAN SHARE WITH YOU OUR
- 10 NUMBERS IN THOSE AREAS ARE VERY DRAMATIC. WE DID IT IN EAST
- 11 L.A. AND CITY TERRACE. WE KEEP IT HOT. NOW I'M NOT SAYING THAT
- 12 YOU'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE A HOMICIDE HAPPEN, BECAUSE SOME OF
- 13 THESE GUYS, WHEN THEY WANT TO TAKE CARE OF BUSINESS THEY TAKE
- 14 CARE OF BUSINESS. BUT IT CREATES A SAFER ENVIRONMENT IN THE
- 15 NEIGHBORHOOD, IT ELIMINATES MANY OF THESE GANG HANGOUTS, IT
- 16 CREATES AN ENFORCEMENT TEAM IT REALLY GETS SOME OF OUR
- 17 DEPARTMENTS OFF THEIR BEHINDS AND GOES IN THERE. AND IT
- 18 CREATES A COMMUNITY AWARENESS TO REPORT THESE HOMES TO OUR
- 19 OFFICE. WE SEND OUT TEAMS, WE START EVALUATING THEM AND IT'S
- 20 JUST NOT ONE WAY. ISN'T JUST THROUGH A GANG INJUNCTION. IT IS
- 21 MANY TIMES THAT THERE ARE CODE VIOLATIONS THERE, THERE ARE
- 22 GUYS HANGING OUT IN VIOLATION OF PROBATION OR PAROLE. THERE
- 23 ARE MANY WAYS TO GET AT IT. BUT I THINK WHAT YOU NEED, IN MY
- 24 OPINION ONLY BECAUSE I'VE DONE IT AND IT HAS BEEN SUCCESSFUL
- 25 AND OUR STATISTICS SPEAK FOR THEMSELVES, IS NOT JUST THROW



- 1 MORE MONEY BUT CREATE A PLAN THAT IS VERY SIMILAR TO THE
- 2 VALINDA MODEL. I HAVE TRANSFERRED THE VALINDA MODEL OVER TO
- 3 THE CITY AND RIGHT NOW THEY'RE LOOKING AT -- THEY'RE
- 4 IMPLEMENTING IT IN THE HOLLENBECK AREA, IT'S NOT FULLY
- 5 IMPLEMENTED BUT IT IS A COLLECTIVE PROCESS OF GETTING ALL OF
- 6 THESE DEPARTMENTS TO WORK TOGETHER BECAUSE THAT'S REALLY THE
- 7 ANSWER. THEN THE COMMUNITY WILL DEVELOP THE CONFIDENCE TO
- 8 REPORT THESE HOMES, TO REPORT TO WHAT'S GOING ON. AND THAT'S
- 9 WHAT WE NEED, IS THE EYES AND EARS. WE JUST DON'T HAVE ENOUGH
- 10 OFFICERS TO PATROL OUR STREETS. WE JUST DON'T HAVE ENOUGH
- 11 ABILITY TO COPE. SO WHAT I'M GOING YOU TO DO AND I THINK, YOU
- 12 KNOW, I'M NOT GOING TO NECESSARILY SUPPORT THE MONEY ATTACHED
- 13 TO THIS JUST TO THROW IT AT IT, I MIGHT IF I KNEW THERE WAS
- 14 GOING TO BE A PLAN IN PLACE, AND RIGHT NOW MONEY IS NOT THE
- 15 ANSWER HERE, A PLAN IS THE ANSWER.

17 LARRY WALDIE: MAY I COMMENT ON THAT, I THINK YOU MAKE A --

18 PARDON ME?

16

19

22

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT THAT'S THE COPS PROGRAM, IS THAT THE COPS
- 21 PROGRAM WE DO IN ALTADENA?
- 23 LARRY WALDIE: CORRECT.
- 25 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT?



1

2 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: NO IT'S NOT THE COPS PROGRAM.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WE USE PUBLIC WORKS, WE USE PUBLIC WORKS, WE
- 5 USE ALL OF THOSE CODE ENFORCEMENTS AND WE'VE DONE A TURNAROUND
- 6 IN THE MONROVIA, DUARTE, ARCADIA, PAMELA PARK AREA. WE'VE
- 7 TURNED THAT AREA INTO A FAMILY COMMUNITY NOW AND WE'VE DONE
- 8 THE SAME IN THOSE --

9

- 10 LARRY WALDIE: THE VALINDA CORRIDOR PROJECT IN FACT, WAS
- 11 SPEARHEADED BY THE COPS TEAM, WITH WHAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT,
- 12 WITH PUBLIC SAFETY, WITH EVERYBODY WORKING TOGETHER, IT WAS
- 13 SPEARHEADED BY THE COPS TEAM. THE REALITY WAS THERE WAS AN
- 14 INTIMATE PART OF THAT THAT MADE THAT STAT AND BY THE WAY,
- 15 YOU'RE CORRECT. IN THE VALINDA CORRIDOR THAT'S THE ONLY AREA
- 16 THAT WE HAVE THAT THE STATS HAVE NOT GONE UP, SO YOU'RE
- 17 ABSOLUTELY CORRECT, IT WAS A VERY SUCCESSFUL PROJECT. BUT
- 18 THERE'S AN ELEMENT THERE THAT IS NOW MISSING. IN THAT TIME
- 19 THAT WE DID THE VALINDA CORRIDOR PROJECT, WE IN FACT HAD A
- 20 HIGH-IMPACT COPS TEAM, HIGH IMPACT COPS TEAM THAT WORKED FOR A
- 21 YEAR AND A HALF, THAT TOOK EVERYBODY OFF THE STREET, TOOK
- 22 EVERY CROOK TO JAIL, TOOK EVERYONE IN THE WORLD TO JAIL OUT
- 23 THERE.

24

25 SUP. MOLINA: STATISTICS DON'T PROVE YOUR CONCERN.



1

- 2 LARRY WALDIE: WELL THIS IS WHAT HAPPENED. WE DON'T HAVE THAT
- 3 TEAM OUT THERE NOW. NOW LET ME GIVE YOU A STATISTIC FOR EAST
- 4 L.A. EAST L.A. IF YOU REMEMBER, WAS HAVING A HECK OF A TIME,
- 5 HOLLENBECK WAS HAVING A HECK OF A TIME, EAST L.A. WAS DOING
- 6 ABSOLUTELY WONDERFUL. NOW LOOK WHAT'S HAPPENING IN EAST L.A.,
- 7 WE REMOVED THE COPS TEAM OUT OF EAST L.A. AND EVEN THOUGH WE
- 8 HAVE ONE LESS HOMICIDE THERE'S A VERY FRIGHTENING STAT THERE,
- 9 WE HAVE SHOWN THAT IN THE CRIMES IN TERMS OF HEAVYWEIGHT
- 10 ASSAULTS, MURDERS, ATTEMPTED MURDERS AND USES OF ASSAULT WITH
- 11 A WEAPON, A GUN, WE'VE HAD A 19.5% INCREASE IN THE
- 12 UNINCORPORATED AREA OF EAST L.A. AS OF AUGUST 31ST. AND THAT'S
- 13 BECAUSE WE REMOVED THE HIGH IMPACT COPS TEAM.

14

15 SUP. MOLINA: WHAT STATISTICS ARE YOU READING FROM?

16

- 17 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MAY I JUST ASK HIM, WHERE DO YOU HAVE THE
- 18 INJUNCTIONS?

19

- 20 SUP. MOLINA: WHAT STATISTICS ARE YOU READING FROM JUST SO I
- 21 CAN BE --

22

23 LARRY WALDIE: I GOT THESE STATISTICS FROM OUR O.S.S. TEAM.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: WELL LET ME SHARE THE STATISTICS THEY SHARED WITH
- 2 US. THEY'RE DIFFERENT. NOW COME ON, YOUR COPS TEAM WAS IN
- 3 THERE IN 2002.

4

5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: 'CAUSE I THOUGHT YOU HAD ONE THERE.

6

7 SUP. MOLINA: AND THEY'RE DIFFERENT.

8

- 9 JANET MOORE: I THINK WHAT SUPERVISOR MOLINA IS REFERRING TO IS
- 10 THE PROGRAM OUT OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE THAT WE
- 11 COLLECTIVELY CALL 'HEAT.' THERE ARE NINE LOCATIONS WHERE WE
- 12 HAVE THESE COLLABORATIVE EFFORTS THAT ARE WORKING, THERE IS
- 13 ONE IN ATHENS, THERE IS ONE IN LENNOX, PASADENA, LONG BEACH,
- 14 AND THE VALINDA CORRIDOR. THERE WAS ONE IN EAST L.A. BUT THAT
- 15 SITE HAS BEEN REDEDICATED TO OUR 'CLEAR' PROGRAM, AND 'CLEAR'
- 16 IS ANOTHER VERY SUCCESSFUL COLLABORATIVE TYPE OF MODEL THAT
- 17 PUTS FORTH THAT VERTICAL PROSECUTION EFFORT AND BRINGS ALL OF
- 18 THE OTHER AGENCIES TOGETHER TO ATTACK THE GANG PROBLEM.

19

- 20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE HAVE 'CLEAR,' WE HAVE 'CLEAR' IN COMPTON
- 21 UNINCORPORATED AREA, DON'T WE?

22

23 JANET MOORE: NO YOU DO NOT.

24

25 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IT'S ONLY IN THE -- WHERE IS?



1

- 2 JANET MOORE: YOU HAVE A HEAT PROGRAM IN LENNOX AND IN ATHENS,
- 3 'CLEAR' WE HAVE IN HOLLENBECK, NORTHEAST, WE HAVE IT IN
- 4 FOOTHILL, DEVONSHIRE, PASADENA, I BELIEVE.

5

- 6 SUP. MOLINA: THOSE ARE CITY PROGRAMS. THE 'CLEAR' PROGRAM IS A
- 7 CITY KIND OF THING, IT'S NOT SO MUCH IN THE UNINCORPORATED
- 8 COUNTY AREAS.

9

- 10 JANET MOORE: THE ONLY UNINCORPORATED ONE THAT WE HAVE IS THE
- 11 NEW ONE THAT WE'VE SET UP ON THE BORDERLINE OF HOLLENBECK.
- 12 THAT'S THE ONLY 'CLEAR' PROGRAM WE DON'T CURRENTLY HAVE
- 13 WORKING IN UNINCORPORATED AREA.

14

15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WHAT AREAS NOW DO YOU HAVE THE INJUNCTIONS?

16

- 17 JANET MOORE: ARE YOU INTERESTED ONLY IN THE SHERIFF'S
- 18 INJUNCTIONS, MA'AM?

19

- 20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL, LET ME HAVE -- YES, THE SHERIFF'S
- 21 INJUNCTIONS.

- 23 JANET MOORE: ALL RIGHT. WE HAVE ORANGE STREET LOCOS, AN
- 24 INJUNCTION AGAINST THEM WHICH IS WORKING IN NORWALK. WE HAVE
- 25 ANOTHER IN LENNOX AGAINST LENNOX 13 AND THEN WE HAVE THE



- 1 COMPTON VARIO TORTILLA FLATS INJUNCTION, WHICH IS ALSO A
- 2 SHERIFF'S INJUNCTION. THE OTHER NINE INJUNCTIONS THAT WE HAVE
- 3 ARE IN CONJUNCTION WITH L.A.P.D., AND THOSE ARE IN -- AND IN
- 4 WITH LONG BEACH, SO THEY'RE IN LONG BEACH, PASADENA, WE HAVE A
- 5 COUPLE IN PASADENA, INGLEWOOD, CULVER CITY, THE PICO UNION
- 6 AREA AND THE VENICE SHORELINE.

7

- 8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL LET ME TELL YOU, I'M MORE THAN WILLING
- 9 TO LOOK AT THE VALINDA MODEL. WHEN I SAW THE RESULT IN
- 10 NORWALK, WHERE IT WAS A TOTAL REDUCTION IN TERMS OF CRIME, I
- 11 BEGAN VERY SERIOUSLY LOOKING AT IT. NOW, I KNOW IN PICO UNION,
- 12 YOU HAD AN INJUNCTION, IT WAS A CITY INJUNCTION, THAT I GOT
- 13 THE IMPRESSION HAD BEEN VERY SUCCESSFUL FOR A WHILE. NOW, THE
- 14 ONE IN COMPTON, I DON'T --

- 16 LARRY WALDIE: THAT'S BECAUSE WE DIDN'T SERVE THE INJUNCTION ON
- 17 THE PEOPLE, AND THAT'S WHY IT DID NOT WORK OUT. LET ME COMMENT
- 18 A LITTLE BIT ON THE INJUNCTION, IF I MIGHT, MS. BURKE. THEY'RE
- 19 MISDEMEANORS, BUT I THINK THEY PREVENT FELONIES. WHEN YOU TAKE
- 20 PEOPLE OFF THE STREETS, WHEN YOU GET THEM OUT OF THE PLACES
- 21 WHERE THEY SHOULDN'T BE BECAUSE THE COURT ORDERS THEM NOT TO
- 22 BE, WHEN YOU REMOVE THEM FROM THE ENVIRONMENT WHERE IT'S
- 23 CONDUCIVE TO COMMIT CRIMES, YOU ARE, IN FACT, TAKING A
- 24 MISDEMEANOR IN, THAT IS CORRECT, BUT YOU'RE POTENTIALLY
- 25 PREVENTING A FELONY BECAUSE THEY'RE OFF THE STREETS. SO THEY



- 1 DO HAVE AN EFFECT. IT'S HARD TO GAUGE A PREVENTIVE ASPECT THAT
- 2 IS A SALUTARY, BUT IN OUR ESTIMATION, WHEN WE HAVE A TOOL THAT
- 3 CAN TAKE SOMEBODY OFF THE STREET, EVEN THOUGH IT BE A
- 4 MISDEMEANOR, IT MAY PREVENT A MAJOR CRIME DOWN THE ROAD.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL I'M WILLING TO LOOK AT THE OTHER --
- 7 I'D LIKE TO MEET WITH YOU AND SET UP A MEETING IN TERMS OF
- 8 THIS OTHER APPROACH, BUT I ALSO DON'T WANT TO GIVE UP ON AN
- 9 INJUNCTION IF IT'S GOING TO MAKE THE DIFFERENCE, BECAUSE,
- 10 FIRST OF ALL, YOU KNOW, TAKING THEM OFF THE STREET MAKES A LOT
- 11 OF DIFFERENCE. I MEAN, YOU'RE -- THERE'S JUST NO QUESTION
- 12 ABOUT IT. I DON'T KNOW, DID YOU SAY YOU HAVE NOT SERVED THE
- 13 INJUNCTION?

14

- 15 LARRY WALDIE: WE ARE BEGINNING NOW TO SERVE THE PAPERS ON THE
- 16 COMPTON INJUNCTION. BEFORE WE HAVE NOT DONE THIS. AS YOU SAID,
- 17 NORWALK WAS HIGHLY SUCCESSFUL, AS IS LENNOX, BUT WE HAVE NOT
- 18 BEEN ABLE TO DEAL WITH IT AT COMPTON AS OF YET.

19

20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WHEN DO YOU ANTICIPATE YOU WOULD?

21

22 LARRY WALDIE: GO AHEAD PETE.

- 24 CAPTAIN PETER MECO: WE'RE WORKING WITH THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S
- 25 OFFICE TO TRAIN THE DEPUTIES AT COMPTON ON THE INJUNCTION. IT



- 1 CAME FROM COMPTON POLICE DEPARTMENT AND WE'RE LOOKING AT
- 2 AMENDING IT TO EXPAND THE AREA AND THEN TO ENFORCE AND SERVE
- 3 IT. SO IT MAY BE WITHIN THE NEXT COUPLE OF MONTHS. IT TAKES
- 4 THAT LONG

5

6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IT TAKES -- IT WOULD TAKE TWO MONTHS TO?

7

- 8 CAPTAIN PETER MECO: EACH MEMBER HAS TO BE SERVED INDIVIDUALLY,
- 9 AND WE GO THROUGH THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY AND WORK ON THAT.

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WHAT WOULD BE THE POSSIBILITY OF ADDING TO
- 12 THAT PARTICULAR GROUP, WAS IT TORTILLA GROUP?

13

- 14 CAPTAIN PETER MECO: THERE ARE SEPARATE INJUNCTIONS. ONCE YOU
- 15 GO TO ANOTHER GANG AREA, ANOTHER GANG --

16

- 17 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: NO. I MEAN IN THE SAME AREA. YOU HAVE
- 18 OTHERS THAT ARE IN THAT SAME AREA.

19

- 20 CAPTAIN PETER MECO: ALL WE DO IS AMEND IT EACH TIME AND ADD A
- 21 GANG MEMBER. THAT'S NOT A MAJOR PART OF THAT.

22

- 23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YOU COULD ADD SOME OF THE GANG MEMBERS,
- 24 EVEN IF IT'S NOT FROM THAT PARTICULAR GANG?



- 1 CAPTAIN PETER MECO: NO. IT HAS TO BE THAT GANG AND IT HAS TO
- 2 BE THAT GEOGRAPHIC AREA.

3

4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THE GEOGRAPHICAL AREA IS NOT THE PROBLEM.

5

- 6 JANET MOORE: EACH INJUNCTION WILL BE FOCUSED UPON A SPECIFIC
- 7 GANG AND THE MEMBERS OF THAT SPECIFIC GANG. SO IF YOUR
- 8 QUESTION IS, WOULD WE BE ABLE TO TAKE GRATE STREETERS AND PUT
- 9 THEM INTO THE SAME INJUNCTION WITH TORTILLA FLATS GANG
- 10 MEMBERS, THE ANSWER IS NO, WE CANNOT DO THAT.

11

- 12 CAPTAIN PETER MECO: WHEN I SAY GEOGRAPHIC, I MEAN THE
- 13 BOUNDARIES OF THE GANG AREA.

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OF THE GANG AREA, RIGHT, BUT THEY'RE NOT
- 16 THE ONLY ONES IN THAT AREA.

17

- 18 CAPTAIN PETER MECO: THEN IT'S ANOTHER GANG INJUNCTION WE GO
- 19 THROUGH, WE GO TO THROUGH THE PROCESS.

20

21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YOU'D HAVE TO HAVE A WHOLE NEW INJUNCTION.

22

23 **JANET MOORE:** CORRECT.

24

25 CAPTAIN PETER MECO: CORRECT.



1

- 2 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL, I'M NOT READY TO GIVE UP ON THIS
- 3 WHOLE THING. I'M WILLING TO KEEP TALKING ABOUT IT BECAUSE
- 4 SOMETHING HAS TO BE DONE, AND YOU CAN'T JUST SAY, OKAY, WELL,
- 5 IT'S JUST WE DON'T WANT TO SPEND THE MONEY, AND WE DON'T --
- 6 EVEN IF YOU SPEND THE MONEY, WE'RE NOT GOING TO PUT THE PEOPLE
- 7 IN. I MEAN, TO ME, THIS IS JUST SUCH AN IRRESPONSIBLE THING
- 8 WHEN PEOPLE ARE GETTING KILLED EVERY DAY.

9

- 10 LARRY WALDIE: WELL I GUARANTEE, IF YOU GIVE US THE MONEY,
- 11 WE'LL PUT THE PEOPLE IN. [LIGHT LAUGHTER]

12

- 13 SUP. KNABE: I MEAN, THAT'S LIKE HER POINT AND YOU SAY WELL IF
- 14 YOU TAKE ONE DEPUTY YOU'RE GOING TO TAKE -- I MEAN, THAT'S THE
- 15 SAME OLD SAME OLD. IF YOU'VE GOT A PROBLEM I MEAN I GUESS THE
- 16 DUMB QUESTION I HAVE TO ASK JUST WHY AREN'T YOU REDEPLOYING
- 17 THE PEOPLE THEN?

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT I WAS GOING TO ASK. IS,
- 20 YOU KNOW, IN THE CITY OF L.A. -- GO AHEAD I'M SORRY.

- 22 SUP. KNABE: NO I'M JUST SAYING, I MEAN I GET FRUSTRATED 'CAUSE
- 23 EVERYBODY SAYS, "WELL, IF YOU DO THIS, YOU DO THIS." IF YOU'VE
- 24 GOT, YOU KNOW, THESE ARE SERIOUS ISSUES YOU'RE DEALING WITH. I
- 25 MEAN OBVIOUSLY I GOT SOME IN MY AREA, AND NORWALK IS A GOOD



- 1 EXAMPLE, BUT THEY WERE PART OF THE SOLUTION AS WELL. IF WE'VE
- 2 GOT THESE ISSUES, YOU KNOW, WHY AREN'T WE REDEPLOYING THEM.

3

4 LARRY WALDIE: THERE IS NO MORE TO REDEPLOY MR. KNABE. NOW --

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU KNOW WHAT? LET ME JUST ADD SOMETHING
- 7 HERE. I'M NOT ASKING YOU TO RESPOND UNLESS YOU WANT TO
- 8 RESPOND, BUT, YOU KNOW, ONE OF THE REASONS THAT IT APPEARS
- 9 THAT SOME OF THE STATISTICS WITHIN THE CITY OF L.A. ARE GOING
- 10 DOWN IN TERMS OF VIOLENT CRIME IS BECAUSE THE CHIEF OVER THERE
- 11 HAS DECIDED -- AND HE DIDN'T GET ANY NEW RESOURCES, AS WE ALL
- 12 KNOW FROM THE CITY COUNCIL AND THE MAYOR THIS YEAR, THEIR
- 13 BUDGET HELD THEM AT THE STATUS QUO, EVEN THOUGH HE WANTED
- 14 SEVERAL HUNDRED MORE COPS, HE GOT NONE OF THEM BECAUSE THEY
- 15 HAD BUDGETARY PROBLEMS. BUT THEY'VE -- SO HE'S DECIDED TO, AND
- 16 HE HAS, IN RESPONSE TO THE VIOLENT CRIME, HE'S REDEPLOYED
- 17 PEOPLE, AND HE'S TAKEN PEOPLE FROM BEHIND DESKS, PUTTING THEM
- 18 IN PATROL CARS AND SENDING THEM OUT INTO THE FIELD, AND IT'S
- 19 HAVING AN IMPACT. NOW I GOT TO TELL YOU, THE LAST TIME A
- 20 SHERIFF OR A DEPUTY OR A DISTRICT ATTORNEY CHALLENGED THIS
- 21 BOARD SOME YEARS AGO ABOUT WHERE THEY WOULD FIND ADDITIONAL
- 22 RESOURCES, WE FOUND THE ADDITIONAL RESOURCES, BUT IT WASN'T A
- 23 PRETTY PICTURE. I DON'T WANT TO GO THROUGH THAT AGAIN, BUT YOU
- 24 CAN'T TELL ME THAT, FACED WITH THE CRISIS THAT WE'RE FACED
- 25 WITH IN SOME OF THE COMMUNITIES WITHIN THE UNINCORPORATED



- 1 AREAS, AND IT'S NOT YOUR DECISION TO MAKE, IT'S YOUR
- 2 SUPERIOR'S DECISION TO MAKE, IS HOW DO YOU REDEPLOY SOME
- 3 PEOPLE? IT'S A LITTLE MORE COMPLICATED IN THE COUNTY BECAUSE
- 4 OF YOUR CONTRACT CITIES AND ALL THIS OTHER STUFF, BUT
- 5 BASICALLY HALF OF YOUR DEPARTMENT, HALF OF YOUR PATROL
- 6 OFFICERS OUTSIDE OF THE JAILS, APPROXIMATELY, ARE IN
- 7 UNINCORPORATED AREAS. ABOUT RIGHT? IN AN ORDER OF MAGNITUDE,
- 8 ABOUT HALF?

9

- 10 LARRY WALDIE: ABOUT HALF IN THE UNINCORPORATED, A LITTLE BIT
- 11 LESS THAN THAT, THAT'S CORRECT.

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND HALF IN CONTRACT CITIES, OKAY. SO, AND
- 14 THEN YOU'VE GOT, YOU KNOW, THE CHAIN OF COMMAND ABOVE THOSE
- 15 WORKER BEES WHO ARE, YOU KNOW, WHO ARE CONSIDERABLE AND WHO
- 16 MAKE A LOT OF MONEY, AND MY SUGGESTION IS THAT IF YOU HAVE A
- 17 SITUATION AS WE DO, WHERE OUR BUDGET IS IN TROUBLE, WHERE
- 18 WE'RE STRAPPED FOR RESOURCES, THEY WERE IN THE CITY, THAT ONE
- 19 OF THE THINGS TO DO IS TO TAKE A LOOK AT WHERE YOU'RE SPENDING
- 20 YOUR RESOURCES NOW, AND THAT GOES FOR BOTH THE SHERIFF AND IT
- 21 ALSO GOES FOR THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, AND, BOY, I CAN
- 22 TELL YOU A LOT OF PLACES IN THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
- 23 WHERE YOU COULD MOVE SOME PEOPLE OUT AND START DOING SOME REAL
- 24 WORK, AND I'M NOT A HAPPY CAMPER ABOUT THAT RIGHT NOW, EITHER.
- 25 BUT THERE'S PLENTY OF ROOM TO MANEUVER, AND BEFORE ANYBODY



- 1 COMES TO ME AND ASKS FOR MONEY, AND BY THE WAY, I'VE MADE THE
- 2 SAME PITCH ON THE LIBRARIES A FEW WEEKS AGO, AND SO I'M AN
- 3 EQUAL OPPORTUNITY SCHNOOK WHEN IT COMES TO THIS. YOU'VE GOT TO
- 4 GET THE MOST OUT OF THE RESOURCES YOU HAVE. AND SOMETIMES
- 5 THAT'S THE ONLY WAY YOU CAN DO IT. AND IF IT, YOU KNOW, WHEN I
- 6 HAVE A PROBLEM IN MY DISTRICT, AND I DON'T HAVE A BIG STAFF,
- 7 IF I'VE GOT A PROBLEM, I'LL PULL PEOPLE OFF OF ONE JOB AND PUT
- 8 THEM ON TO ANOTHER JOB, AND IT'S A MATTER OF -- IT'S SIMPLY A
- 9 MATTER OF PRIORITIES. IF I'VE GOT AN EMERGENCY IN ONE PART OF
- 10 MY DISTRICT OR IN ONE AREA OF ENDEAVOR, I WILL PULL PEOPLE OFF
- 11 AND PUT THEM ON TO THAT ONE THING UNTIL WE SOLVE THAT PROBLEM,
- 12 AND IF I HAVE TO MAKE IT PERMANENT, THEN I DEAL WITH IT ON A
- 13 LONGER-TERM BASIS, BUT IF I HAVE A CRISIS, I DEAL WITH IT AS
- 14 ANY GOOD MANAGER WOULD DEAL WITH IT, AND I CAN'T THINK OF A
- 15 BIGGER CRISIS THAN WHAT YOU GUYS DEAL WITH EVERY DAY. DON'T
- 16 TAKE IT PERSONALLY I JUST --

- 18 LARRY WALDIE: I KNOW MR. YAROSLAVSKY BUT YOU NEED TO KNOW
- 19 SOMETHING HERE, AND I KNOW THE BOARD KNOWS THIS. WE'VE LOST A
- 20 THOUSAND PEOPLE OVER THE LAST TWO YEARS. YOU'RE SAYING THAT WE
- 21 HAVE THE RESOURCES. I GUARANTEE YOU, I'LL TAKE ANY ONE OF YOU
- 22 OUT INTO THE UNINCORPORATED AREA. WE JUST LOST A DEPUTY AT
- 23 LAKE LOS ANGELES, ONE DEPUTY FOR MANY HUNDREDS OF SQUARE
- 24 MILES. WHEN YOU GO TO THE UNINCORPORATED AREA OF WALNUT AND
- 25 ALL THE SILENCE, YOU HAVE ONE CAR OUT THERE. WE HAVE OUR



- 1 CONTRACT CITIES THAT PAY FOR THEIR MINUTES. WE CANNOT PULL,
- 2 LIKE THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, CAN TAKE ANYBODY IN THE CITY,
- 3 BECAUSE THAT'S ONE POLICE DEPARTMENT. WE HAVE 41 OTHER POLICE
- 4 DEPARTMENTS AND WE HAVE TO PAY FOR THEIR SERVICES BY PUTTING
- 5 PEOPLE IN WITH THOSE MINUTES. THE ONLY PLACE WE CAN DRAW UPON
- 6 IS THE UNINCORPORATED AREA. WE ARE ABSOLUTELY BARE MINIMUM IN
- 7 THE UNINCORPORATED AREA. WE DON'T HAVE THE BODIES. WE JUST DO
- 8 NOT HAVE THE BODIES THAT YOU'RE SUGGESTING THAT WE CAN
- 9 REDEPLOY.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL THEN MAYBE THE ANSWER IS, AND I
- 12 APPRECIATE THAT YOU HAVE SOME CONSTRAINTS THAT THE CITY
- 13 DOESN'T HAVE, YOU'RE NOT GEOGRAPHICALLY CONTIGUOUS, AND I
- 14 UNDERSTAND THAT, ESPECIALLY AS IT RELATES TO THE
- 15 UNINCORPORATED AREAS, BUT IF THE PROBLEM IS THAT YOU ARE AT
- 16 THE BARE MINIMUM IN EACH OF YOUR UNINCORPORATED AREAS, YOU'VE
- 17 GOT 12,000 PEOPLE IN THE DEPARTMENT. 10,000 -- HOW MANY SWORN?
- 18 10,000 SWORN IN?

19

20 LARRY WALDIE: 8,000 SWORN, NOT 12,000.

21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OH YEAH, INCLUDING THE CIVILIANS, AT ONE
- 23 POINT YOU WERE 12,000.

24

25 LARRY WALDIE: THAT'S CORRECT, WITH THE CIVILIANS.



- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO YOU'VE GOT 8,000 SWORN, AND THEY'RE NOT
- 3 ALL IN PATROL CARS IN THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS, THEY'RE NOT
- 4 ALL IN THE JAILS, AND THEY'RE NOT ALL SERVING THE CONTRACT
- 5 CITIES. SOME OF THEM ARE LIEUTENANTS AND CAPTAINS AND CHIEFS,
- 6 AND DEPUTY CHIEFS AND ASSISTANT CHIEFS AND ALL THAT SORT OF
- 7 THING, AND MY SUGGESTION IS THAT IF I WAS LOOKING AT IT, I
- 8 HAVEN'T LOOKED AT YOUR -- AT THE DEPARTMENT'S PROMOTION. I
- 9 KNOW THAT THE D.A.'S OFFICE, FOR EXAMPLE, JUST ABOUT EVERYBODY
- 10 AND HIS MOTHER IS AT THE HIGHEST LEVEL. THIS STARTED BEFORE
- 11 THE CURRENT INCUMBENT TOOK OFFICE AND IT HAS CONTINUED
- 12 UNABATED SINCE, THAT THE OVERWHELMING MAJORITY OF THE
- 13 PERSONNEL IN THE D.A.'S OFFICE ARE AT THE HIGHEST STEP. IS
- 14 THAT THE CASE? AND THAT'S COSTING THAT DEPARTMENT A FORTUNE.
- 15 NOW WHEN YOU CAN'T SAY "NO" TO YOUR PERSONNEL AND YOU CAN'T
- 16 KIND OF RATION THE LARGESS, THEN THE ONLY THING LEFT TO DO IS
- 17 TO COME HERE AND SAY WE'RE AT OUR BARE MINIMUM, BUT THAT'S
- 18 JUST NOT GOING TO CUT IT WITH SOME OF US WHO HAVE BEEN AROUND
- 19 THIS FORUM MORE THAN ONCE, AND I DON'T -- I'M NOT ELECTED TO
- 20 MANAGE YOUR DEPARTMENT, AND I DON'T WANT TO MANAGE YOUR
- 21 DEPARTMENT DAY TO DAY. THAT'S WHY I DIDN'T RUN FOR SHERIFF
- 22 FOR, AMONG OTHER REASONS, OR D.A. DON'T WORRY. I'M NOT CRAZY.
- 23 BUT THAT IS THE JOB OF THE TWO PEOPLE WHO HEAD THAT DEPARTMENT
- 24 IN CONJUNCTION WITH US IN TERMS OF THE FUNDING, AND I WOULD
- 25 URGE YOU TO TAKE A LOOK AT WHAT YOU COULD DO -- THINK OUTSIDE



- 1 THE BOX A LITTLE BIT ABOUT WHAT YOU COULD DO TO MOVE PEOPLE
- 2 AROUND FROM SOME OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE -- I MEAN, WE CAME HERE
- 3 WAS IT LAST YEAR, A YEAR AND A HALF AGO, WE HAD THE BIG
- 4 HEARING, WE WERE HERE 'TIL 8:00 O'CLOCK AT NIGHT, PEOPLE CAME
- 5 HERE ARGUING ABOUT THE HATE CRIME UNIT. WELL I LOVE THE HATE
- 6 CRIME UNIT, AND NOBODY IS MORE -- HATES CRIME MORE -- HATES
- 7 HATE CRIME MORE THAN I HATE HATE CRIME, BUT IF I HAVE TO
- 8 CHOOSE BETWEEN A HATE CRIME UNIT TO DEPLOY SOME DEPUTY
- 9 SHERIFF'S IN THAT AND TO PUT SOMEBODY IN WHERE THERE'S A
- 10 HOMICIDE RATE THAT'S GOING UP TO 20%, IT'S NOT A CLOSE CALL.
- 11 WE'LL DEAL WITH THE HATE CRIME IN SOME OTHER FASHION. YOU
- 12 DON'T NEED TO BE A SWORN OFFICER CARRYING A GUN TO DEAL WITH
- 13 HATE CRIME, AND I DON'T THINK YOU NEED TO BE A DISTRICT
- 14 ATTORNEY WITH A LAW DEGREE TO DEAL WITH HATE CRIME. THERE ARE
- 15 A LOT OF PEOPLE IN THIS TOWN WHO DEAL WITH HATE CRIME WHO
- 16 DON'T HAVE THOSE KIND OF SKILLS, BUT THE ONLY PEOPLE WHO CAN
- 17 DEAL WITH THE HOMICIDES AND THE ONLY PEOPLE WHO CAN PROSECUTE
- 18 THEM ARE THE SWORN OFFICERS AND THE PROSECUTORS THAT WE HIRE.
- 19 SO ALL I'M SAYING IS, THERE'S A -- AND I KNOW IT'S TOUGH. I
- 20 KNOW YOU'RE UNDER A LOT OF PRESSURE, AND YOU HAVE A MORE
- 21 DIFFICULT SITUATION THAN ANY OTHER LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY THAT
- 22 I KNOW OF IN TOWN BECAUSE YOU DO HAVE DISJOINTED GEOGRAPHIES.
- 23 I UNDER -- YOU CAN'T JUST PULL SOMEBODY OUT OF NORWALK AND PUT
- 24 THEM INTO THE, YOU KNOW, THE SANTA MONICA MOUNTAINS BECAUSE WE
- 25 HAVE A PROBLEM, OR INTO COMPTON, YOU KNOW, FROM THE SANTA



- 1 MONICA MOUNTAINS. IT'D TAKE YOU TWO HOURS JUST TO GET FROM ONE
- 2 PLACE IN THE COUNTY TO THE OTHER AND TWO HOURS TO GET BACK AND
- 3 HALF THE SHIFT IS SHOT. SO I UNDERSTAND THAT. BUT THAT'S NOT
- 4 THE ONLY OPTION. AND THAT'S THE FRUSTRATION WE HAVE, AND I
- 5 GUESS WE HEAR YOUR FRUSTRATION, YOU OUGHT TO HEAR OUR
- 6 FRUSTRATION. I THINK WE'RE ALL IN THIS TOGETHER, WE'RE ALL IN
- 7 THE SAME BOAT, AND WE OUGHT TO TRY TO FIGURE OUT A WAY TO DO
- 8 IT AND --

- 10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL, YOU KNOW, SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, ONE
- 11 OF THE REASONS I SUPPORTED THEM GOING INTO COMPTON IS BECAUSE
- 12 YOU DON'T HAVE THAT PROBLEM. YOU HAVE LYNWOOD, COMPTON,
- 13 CARSON, ALL CONTRACT CITIES WHERE THE SHERIFF IS PROVIDING THE
- 14 SERVICE. THEN THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS OF COMPTON,
- 15 WILLOWBROOK, AND ALL OF THOSE THAT ARE ALL ADJACENT. SO SOME
- 16 OF THE KIND OF PROBLEMS YOU RUN INTO, IF YOU HAVE TO TRANSFER
- 17 PEOPLE ACROSS TOWN, ARE NOT THE SAME THERE WHERE YOU'RE
- 18 TALKING ABOUT AREAS WHERE THEY'RE EITHER CONTRACT CITIES THAT
- 19 ARE CONTRACTING WITH THE SHERIFF, ADJACENT TO UNINCORPORATED
- 20 AREAS, AND THAT -- THERE'S A WHOLE AREA. THERE MAY BE A FEW
- 21 LITTLE ISLANDS OF WHERE THEY'RE PARTS OF THE CITY IN TERMS OF
- 22 WATTS OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT, WHICH IS NORTH, BUT WE'RE
- 23 TALKING ABOUT AN AREA THAT IS PRETTY COMPACT IN TERMS OF THE
- 24 SHERIFF SERVING THOSE AREAS. SO THERE SHOULD BE A LOT OF
- 25 ABILITY TO WORK IN TERMS OF MOVING FORWARD ON THAT.



1

2 LARRY WALDIE: THEY DO. THOSE THREE AREAS PARTICULARLY CROSS

- 3 BOUNDARIES ALL THE TIME TO BACK UP AND ASSIST, BUT THOSE ARE
- 4 BLACK AND WHITES HELPING EACH OTHER OUT. THOSE AREN'T ANYBODY
- 5 ELSE EXCEPT BLACKS AND WHITES ON A HOT CALL SAYING THEY NEED
- 6 HELP. THEY MAY BRING FIVE, SIX CARS FROM DIFFERENT STATION
- 7 AREAS, THAT'S WHAT'S OUT THERE TO BE ABLE TO DO THAT, BLACK
- 8 AND WHITES. THERE ARE NO OTHER DETECTIVES OUT THERE OR ANY
- 9 OTHER SUPRESSION TEAMS OUT THERE TO DO ANYTHING LIKE THAT.
- 10 IT'S A BLACK AND WHITE IN UNIFORM RESPONDING TO A CALL, AND WE
- 11 DO DO THAT ACROSS THOSE BOUNDARIES ALL THE TIME.

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: BUT THE SUPPRESSION TEAM, BECAUSE COMPTON
- 14 IS PAYING FOR IT, THEY CAN'T LEAVE COMPTON?

15

- 16 LARRY WALDIE: THEY DO THE CITY OF COMPTON AND THOSE CITIES
- 17 MONITOR THOSE MEN AT THE --

18

19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: DOES LYNWOOD HAVE A SUPPRESSION TEAM?

20

21 LARRY WALDIE: YES, THEY DO.

22

23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND CARSON?



- 1 LARRY WALDIE: I DON'T KNOW -- YES CARSON DOES TOO, THEY PAY
- 2 FOR THEIR OWN.

3

4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I SEE. OKAY.

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: LET ME -- YOU KNOW, WHEN YOU HAVE A
- 7 POPULATION THAT'S INCREASING AND A LAW ENFORCEMENT POPULATION
- 8 THAT IS DECREASING, YOU HAVE TO EXPECT THE CONSEQUENCES, AND
- 9 IF YOU HAVE A THOUSAND OFFICERS OFF THE STREETS, YOU HAVE VERY
- 10 LONG RESPONSE TIMES FOR A CALL, YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE A SERIOUS
- 11 PROBLEM. WE HAVE THAT PROBLEM. AND THAT'S WHY I'VE ALWAYS SAID
- 12 IT'S YOU CANNOT SHORTCHANGE PUBLIC SAFETY AND EXPECT TO HAVE
- 13 SAFE STREETS, SAFE COMMUNITIES, AND SAFE SCHOOLS. AND THESE
- 14 RECENT KILLINGS, WERE ANY OF THOSE OR MOST OF THOSE SUSPECTS
- 15 ON PROBATION AT THE TIME?

16

- 17 LARRY WALDIE: I'LL ASK OUR CHIEF OF DETECTIVES IF HE HAS THAT
- 18 INFORMATION.

19

- 20 SPEAKER: I DON'T HAVE THOSE SPECIFIC -- THAT SPECIFIC
- 21 INFORMATION, BUT ANECDOTALLY, THERE IS A LARGE -- TO A LARGE
- 22 DEGREE. AND SAME THING OCCURS WITH THE GANG-RELATED ASSAULTS.

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND THAT'S WHY THE PROGRAM THAT INITIATED THE
- 25 DISARM PROGRAM, WHICH ACCESS PUBLIC SAFETY, THE SHERIFF, THE



- 1 LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT, DISTRICT ATTORNEY AND PROBATION TO
- 2 CONFISCATE THE WEAPONS OF THOSE INDIVIDUALS ON PAROLE HAS HAD
- 3 A DRAMATIC IMPACT IN THE SMALL NUMBER OF CASES WHERE WE'VE
- 4 BEEN SUCCESSFUL. AND I BELIEVE THE STATISTICS ARE THAT OVER
- 5 60%, 63% HAVE HAD WEAPONS CONFISCATED FROM THESE SEARCHES, AND
- 6 I WOULD VENTURE TO SAY THAT MANY OF THESE KILLINGS THAT HAD
- 7 TAKEN PLACE, HAD THIS ARM BEEN FULLY FUNDED, WOULD'VE HAD
- 8 THOSE WEAPONS CONFISCATED BEFORE THAT CRIME WAS COMMITTED. BUT
- 9 THE COPS PROGRAM, I KNOW, HAS BEEN VERY SUCCESSFUL. LET ME
- 10 READ A MOTION THAT'S CO-AUTHORED BY SUPERVISOR BURKE AND PUT
- 11 THIS ON THE TABLE. 'THE COPS PROGRAM IS THE CENTERPIECE OF THE
- 12 SHERIFF'S COMMUNITY-BASED POLICING. UNTIL RECENTLY, THE
- 13 PROGRAM WAS LARGELY FUNDED BY FEDERAL GRANTS, BUT THE
- 14 SHRINKING OF THOSE GRANTS RESULTED IN THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
- 15 GRADUALLY ELIMINATING 178 COP DEPUTIES IN THE 2002/2003 FISCAL
- 16 YEAR. IN FISCAL YEAR 2003/2004, THERE WERE 81 COPS, LEAVING
- 17 ONLY 18 PRESENTLY IN THE PROGRAM. WHILE THE PROGRAM IS A
- 18 HIGHLY EFFECTIVE PROGRAM, THE DEPARTMENT DOES NOT CURRENTLY
- 19 HAVE THE RESOURCES TO REALLOCATE THOSE DEPUTIES TO THE
- 20 PROGRAM. A RECENT REPORT BY THE DEPARTMENT HAS HEIGHTENED THE
- 21 CONCERN OVER THE RECENT INCREASES IN HOMICIDE RATES. WE
- 22 BELIEVE INCREASED NUMBER OF COPS DEPUTIES COULD HAVE A
- 23 POSITIVE IMPACT IN REDUCING THESE CRIME RATES IN THE
- 24 UNINCORPORATED AREAS, PARTICULARLY IN THOSE WHERE GANGS AND
- 25 NARCOTIC-RELATED CRIMES ARE. THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT HAS



- 1 STUDIED THE DISTRICT DISTINCT TRENDS AND AREAS THAT
- 2 DRASTICALLY INCREASED CRIMES AND WOULD UTILIZE OR REGIONALIZE
- 3 CONCEPT THE DEPLOYMENT OF COPS DEPUTIES WHICH WOULD ENABLE
- 4 THEM TO FLOOD EXISTING HIGH-CRIME AREAS IN ADDITION TO
- 5 MONITORING AND DEPLOYING TO OTHER AREAS AS CRIME TRENDS SHIFT.
- 6 I WOULD MOVE THAT THE BOARD APPROVE THE ONE-TIME ALLOCATION OF
- 7 \$3,451,333 FROM THE APPROPRIATION FOR CONTINGENCY FUNDS TO THE
- 8 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT FOR THE ALLOCATION OF 40 DEPUTIES, FIVE
- 9 SERGEANTS, ONE LIEUTENANT TO THE PROGRAM THROUGH FISCAL YEAR
- 10 2004.'

11

12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I SECOND --

13

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: 'REQUEST THE SHERIFFS TO AGGRESSIVELY SEEK
- 15 OUT GRANTS TO ASSIST IN EXPANDING AND MAINTAINING THE COPS
- 16 PROGRAM AND REQUEST THE DEPARTMENT TO PROVIDE MONTHLY REPORTS
- 17 ON HOMICIDE STATISTICS BY SHERIFF'S STATION, SEPARATING
- 18 OCCURRENCES BY INCORPORATED AND UNINCORPORATED AREAS AND ANY
- 19 OTHER TOOLS AND MEASUREMENTS OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THIS
- 20 PROGRAM TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.'

21

- 22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I SECOND IT. ALL RIGHT. IS THERE ANY OTHER
- 23 DISCUSSION? ANY OBJECTION?

24

25 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: TO WHAT?



```
1
2
    SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THE MOTION.
3
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT'S THE MOTION?
4
5
    SUP. MOLINA: I THOUGHT THIS -- YOU'RE MAKING A MOTION?
6
7
8
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT'S THE MOTION?
9
    SUP. ANTONOVICH: I JUST READ IT.
10
11
    SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OKAY WE'LL JUST CALL THE ROLL ON THIS. ALL
12
13
    RIGHT.
14
15
    CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: SUPERVISOR MOLINA?
16
    SUP. MOLINA: NO.
17
18
19
    CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?
20
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO.
21
22
23
    CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: SUPERVISOR KNABE?
24
25
    SUP. KNABE: AYE.
```

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 2 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH? 3 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AYE. 4 5 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: AND SUPERVISOR BURKE? 6 7 8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AYE. 9 10 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: THE MOTION CARRIES, 3 TO 2. 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH: ANOTHER MOTION MADAM CHAIRMAN, THE DISTRICT 12 ATTORNEY'S OFFFICE HAS SUFFERED A --13 14 SUP. MOLINA: I THOUGHT THIS WAS A 4 VOTE ITEM. 15 16 C.A.O. JANSSEN: IT'S AN ITEM TO TAKE MONEY OUT OF CONTINGENCY. 17 18 19 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: THEN THE MOTION FAILS. THE MOTION FAILS 20 THEN, 3 TO 2. 21 22 C.A.O. JANSSEN: MADAM CHAIR IF I COULD SUGGEST THAT WE DON'T 23 HAVE A REPORT, WE DON'T HAVE A WRITTEN REPORT FROM THE SHERIFF 24 AND THE D.A. ON THIS AND I THINK IT MIGHT BE HELPFUL,

SUPERVISOR MOLINA WAS TALKING ABOUT DIFFERENT FIGURES THAT SHE



- 1 HAD FROM WHAT THEY HAD, I THINK IT MIGHT BE HELPFUL FOR ALL OF
- 2 US IF THEY PRODUCED A REPORT ON EXACTLY WHAT'S GOING ON IN THE
- 3 UNINCORPORATED AREAS, WHAT'S WORKING, WHAT'S NOT WORKING, AND
- 4 THEN RECONSIDER THE IDEA OF ADDING DEPUTIES TO THE DEPARTMENT
- 5 BECAUSE THEY MAKE A VERY SYMPATHETIC CASE, THERE'S NO QUESTION
- 6 ABOUT THAT, AND IT IS A SERIOUS PROBLEM, OBVIOUSLY, BUT I
- 7 THINK WE NEED TO HAVE SOME BETTER DATA ABOUT EXACTLY WHAT'S
- 8 GOING ON, WHAT THEY'RE DOING, WHAT'S AVAILABLE.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WELL I THINK THE OBITUARY PAGE IS A PRETTY
- 11 GOOD DATA, BUT IF YOU WANT, I MEAN, YOU KNOW, THIS IS OF, YOU
- 12 KNOW, WE HAVE A FIRE GOING ON, AND WE HAVE TO PUT IT OUT, AND
- 13 THE ONLY WAY WE'RE GOING TO PUT IT OUT IS BY WORKING TOGETHER
- 14 AS A TEAM, BUT THEY NEED THE RESOURCES TO ENSURE THAT THE TEAM
- 15 CAN DO THEIR JOB, AND IF THAT'S THE CASE, THEN I WOULD SAY
- 16 HAVE THAT INFORMATION NEXT WEEK WITH A SET ITEM SO WE CAN TAKE
- 17 ACTION, BECAUSE THIS EPIDEMIC OF KILLINGS IS OUT OF CONTROL.

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, I WOULD LIKE TO SUGGEST A DIFFERENT
- 20 APPROACH. IF YOU'RE GOING TO GO BACK AND DO A REPORT, I'D LIKE
- 21 TO ASK THE C.A.O. TO TAKE ITS MOST ABLE STAFF AND TO GO
- 22 THROUGH, AND YOU'RE NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO DO IT IN A WEEK,
- 23 TO GO THROUGH THE SHERIFF'S BUDGET, ALL THE SPECIAL UNITS, ALL
- 24 THE VARIOUS THINGS IN THAT DEPARTMENT, AND SEE WHAT COULD BE
- 25 CULLED OUT OF THERE AND REDEPLOYED. AND IF THE SHERIFF'S



- 1 DEPARTMENT CAN'T DO IT, THEN LET US TAKE A CRACK AT IT AND SEE
- 2 WHAT WE CAN DO, AND I DON'T WANT TO EVEN START NAMING THEM,
- 3 BUT WE'VE HAD THEM ALL DISCUSSED HERE BEFORE, AND IF -- AND I
- 4 HOPE YOU HAVE THE COURAGE TO DO IT, AND I THINK YOU DO, AND
- 5 YOU HAVE THE PEOPLE IN YOUR STAFF WITH THE KNOW-HOW TO DO IT,
- 6 THEN COME BACK -- IF YOU'RE GOING TO COME BACK WITH A REPORT,
- 7 COME BACK WITH A REPORT OF HOW WE CAN RAISE \$3 MILLION OUT OF
- 8 THE CURRENT BUDGET, OR HOW FAR SHORT YOU COME, BECAUSE MAYBE
- 9 THEN YOU HAVE A MORE SYMPATHETIC EAR FROM ME. BUT I, YOU KNOW,
- 10 WHEN I SEE THE KINDS OF THINGS THAT MONEY IS SPENT ON THESE
- 11 DAYS, I THINK THERE ARE OTHER OPTIONS OTHER THAN TO COME AND
- 12 ASK FOR A THREE AND A HALF MILLION DOLLAR APPROPRIATION. YOU
- 13 KNOW, THIS IS -- THERE ARE OTHER OPTIONS. IF YOU CAN DO IT IN
- 14 A WEEK, DO IT IN A WEEK. IF YOU CAN DO IT IN TWO WEEKS, DO IT
- 15 IN TWO WEEKS, BUT I DON'T WANT, YOU KNOW, DON'T, YOU KNOW, I
- 16 THINK THAT'S -- THAT WOULD BE A VERY USEFUL APPROACH TO US. WE
- 17 DID THAT IN 1996. WE FOUND ALL KINDS OF THINGS BACK THEN.
- 18 MAYBE WE'LL FIND STARBUCK'S COFFEE THIS YEAR, I DON'T KNOW, I
- 19 DOUBT IT, BUT WE DID LAST TIME. REMEMBER JAVA COFFEE? IT'S
- 20 BEFORE YOUR TIME, I THINK, MR. JANSSEN. PAPER-WRAPPED CHICKEN
- 21 AND ALL KINDS OF THINGS. I KNOW THAT'S BEEN CHANGED IN THIS
- 22 ADMINISTRATION, BUT MAYBE THERE ARE OTHER THINGS THAT WE CAN
- 23 LOOK AT. I JUST ANYWAY, I -- MADAM CHAIR, THAT -- IF THERE'S
- 24 GOING TO BE A REPORT BACK, I'D LIKE THE REPORT BACK TO INCLUDE
- 25 THAT KIND OF AN EXERCISE.



1

- 2 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL, I WOULD LIKE TO ALSO INCLUDE WHERE
- 3 THERE ARE FUNDS AVAILABLE AND ALSO A PLAN IN TERMS OF HOW WE
- 4 SHOULD APPROACH IT. AS WELL AS IDENTIFYING A SOURCE OF FUNDS
- 5 FOR IT.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE: THE ONLY THING THE DIFFERENCE IS THE COPS PROGRAM
- 8 HAS MEASURABLE RESULTS, AND I THINK THAT'S THE FRUSTRATION FOR
- 9 THOSE OF US, AND I UNDERSTAND WHERE YOU'RE COMING FROM, MR.
- 10 YAROSLAVSKY, BUT FOR THOSE OF US THAT HAVE SIGNIFICANT
- 11 UNINCORPORATED AREAS, IT'S A MAJOR CONCERN, AND THE COPS
- 12 PROGRAM HAS BEEN EXTREMELY PRODUCTIVE AND REALLY HELPED TO
- 13 LOWER THE CRIME RATES, AND WITHOUT THAT, WE'RE GOING TO
- 14 CONTINUE TO SEE THE INCREASE, AND WE CAN STUDY IT ALL WE WANT,
- 15 BUT I THINK THERE ARE DOLLARS AVAILABLE AT LEAST THAT WE CAN
- 16 MOVE AROUND, AND MAYBE THE REPORT COMES BACK AND THE SHERIFF
- 17 HAS SOME SAVINGS WE CAN MATCH UP WITH IT OR SOMETHING LIKE
- 18 THAT, BUT I CERTAINLY THINK FROM AT LEAST FROM MY PERSPECTIVE
- 19 IN THE AREA THAT I REPRESENT, THAT I DON'T WANT TO SEE THIS
- 20 PARTICULAR COPS PROGRAM OR THIS ANALYSIS SHOVED UNDER A CARPET
- 21 SOME PLACE AND JUST CONTINUED TO BE STUDIED, BECAUSE IT HAS
- 22 HAD MEASURABLE RESULTS, AND IT'S VERY, VERY EFFECTIVE,
- 23 EFFECTIVE PROGRAM. I THINK WE NEED TO RE-IMPLEMENT IT, IF WE
- 24 POSSIBLY CAN.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE FRUSTRATION THAT WE HAVE, TWO WEEKS AGO,
- 2 WE TAKE AN APPROPRIATION OUT OF THE AIR, OUT OF NEGOTIATIONS
- 3 WITH EMPLOYEE ORGANIZATIONS, AND WE BRING IT BEFORE THE BOARD,
- 4 AND THE COST IMPACT UP TO -- IT WAS A REPORT UP TO \$3 MILLION,
- 5 THE DOMESTIC PARTNERSHIP, AND IT PASSES, OUT OF THE BLUE, OVER
- 6 A HOLIDAY WEEKEND. HERE, WE HAVE AN APPROPRIATION WE'RE
- 7 TALKING ABOUT FOR THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY, FOR THE SHERIFF'S
- 8 DEPARTMENT THAT WOULD HELP STOP AN EPIDEMIC OF CRIME THAT WE
- 9 HAVE, AND IT'S NOT PART OF MEET AND CONFER, IT'S PART OF OUR
- 10 ONGOING RESPONSIBILITY. ISSUES THAT DEAL WITH MEET AND CONFER
- 11 SHOULD BE DONE IN MEET AND CONFER, AND COME UP WITH A PACKAGE,
- 12 BUT JUST TO TAKE SOMETHING OUT OF THE BLUE AND BRING IT BEFORE
- 13 THE BOARD AND EAT UP A LARGE SUM OF REVENUES PLACES EVERYTHING
- 14 OUT OF THE, YOU KNOW, THE EQUILIBRIUM IS OFF.

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL THAT'S A VERY GOOD EXAMPLE, BECAUSE
- 17 ACTUALLY, I'LL REFRESH YOUR MEMORY. THE EXTENSION OF DOMESTIC
- 18 PARTNERSHIP BENEFITS ON THE RETIREMENT SYSTEM SIDE, WHICH, BY
- 19 THE WAY, WAS SUPPORTED BY A NUMBER OF PERSONNEL FROM THE
- 20 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT WHO CAME HERE ON THEIR OWN TIME TO
- 21 TESTIFY, DID NOT COST US A NICKEL. NOT IN THIS FISCAL YEAR,
- 22 NOT IN NEXT FISCAL YEAR, AND IF YOU REMEMBER MISS RICHTER SAT
- 23 HERE AND SAID PROBABLY NOT FOR THREE OR FOUR YEARS WILL THE
- 24 COST -- AND SHE COULDN'T -- SHE COULDN'T IDENTIFY -- COULDN'T
- 25 ESTIMATE THE COSTS AHEAD OF TIME BECAUSE IT DEPENDED ON THE



- 1 ACTUARIALS, AND IT WAS GOING TO BE RELATIVELY NEGLIGIBLE. SO
- 2 IF YOU CAN GET ME A DEAL, AND BY THE WAY, JUST FOR THE RECORD
- 3 YOU DON'T HAVE TO REPRESENT A CRIME-IMPACTED UNINCORPORATED
- 4 AREA TO APPRECIATE THE PROBLEM, I'VE REPRESENTED MANY CRIME-
- 5 IMPACTED AREAS IN MY DAY, AND I STILL DO, AND THEY MAY NOT ALL
- 6 BE IN UNINCORPORATED AREAS, BUT I UNDERSTAND IT AS WELL AS
- 7 VISCERALLY AS ANYBODY ELSE AROUND THIS HORSESHOE, AND I AGREE
- 8 WITH YOU WITH THE COPS PROGRAM. IF YOU CAN FIND ME A PROGRAM
- 9 THAT ISN'T GOING TO COST US ANY MONEY FOR THREE OR FOUR YEARS
- 10 MR. ANTONOVICH, THAT CAN DEPLOY 40 OR 50 COPS IN THE IMPACTED
- 11 AREAS, I'M ALL FOR IT, BUT THAT'S JUST, YOU KNOW --

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THERE IS A ONE TO THREE-MILLION-DOLLAR
- 14 APPROPRIATION THAT WENT OUT OF THE MEET AND CONFER PROCESS
- 15 BROUGHT BEFORE THE BOARD --

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THERE WAS NO APPROPRIATION, MIKE. I GUESS WE
- 18 WEREN'T IN THE SAME MEETING BUT --

19

20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THERE'S GOING TO BE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH IT.

21

22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY.

23

24 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OKAY WELL LET'S GO ON TO THE NEXT ITEM --



1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND HERE WE HAVE COSTS OF LIVES.

2

- 3 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE HAVE SOME OTHER MOTIONS WE HAVE TO VOTE
- 4 MONEY FOR.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL YOU KNOW WHAT MADAM CHAIR, LET ME JUST
- 7 SAY, WE GOT COST OF LIVES. YOU'VE GOT COST OF LIVES. YOU STILL
- 8 HAVE THAT AIRPLANE OVER THERE? THAT TWO-MILLION-DOLLAR
- 9 AIRPLANE?

10

11 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YES.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH OKAY. IF THE LIVES ARE SO IMPORTANT
- 14 SELL THE DAMN AIRPLANE.

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE: OH COME ON ZEV, THAT'S A WEAK ARGUMENT. COME ON.
- 17 YOU MEAN, THAT'S --

18

19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THAT IS USING --

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S A WEAK ARGUMENT, BUT DOMESTIC
- 22 PARTNERS IS A STRONG ARGUMENT?

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WELL I DIDN'T SAY THAT. I'M NOT ARGUING THAT,
- 25 THE AIR --



1

- 2 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL I'M, YOU KNOW, LET'S -- WE HAVE SOME
- 3 OTHER ITEMS COMING UP.

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE AIRPLANE IS USED TO TAKE OFFICERS TO FIND
- 6 SUSPECTS AND ALSO VISIT THOSE IN STATE PENITENTIARIES FOR
- 7 INFORMATION TO PROSECUTE ONGOING MURDERS, SERIOUS CRIMES, SO
- 8 THAT IS A REALLY OFF THE MARK.

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL I'M GOING TO CALL -- THANK YOU VERY
- 11 MUCH.

12

13 LARRY WALDIE: THANK YOU.

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WAS THERE SOMEONE ELSE WHO WANTED TO SPEAK?
- 16 I'M SORRY. SOMEONE ELSE WANTED TO SPEAK ON THIS ONE, WE'D LIKE
- 17 TO CALL HIM RIGHT NOW, OCTAVIO CHAVEZ. YES PLEASE COME
- 18 FORWARD. WOULD YOU LET HIM IN, PLEASE? ALL RIGHT. YES? STATE
- 19 YOUR NAME, PLEASE.

- 21 OCTAVIO CHAVEZ: MY NAME IS OCTAVIO CHAVEZ, AND I LIVE IN THE
- 22 WHITTIER UNINCORPORATED AREA. MY COMMUNITIE'S SERVED BOTH BY
- 23 SUPERVISOR MOLINA AND DON KNABE, AND I'M HERE TO TELL YOU THAT
- 24 I BELIEVE IN THE COPS TEAMS, I SUPPORT IT. THE GANG
- 25 INJUNCTIONS AND, IN FACT, THE INCORPORATED VALINDA PLAN, MORE



- 1 POWER TO YOU. WE NEED HELP OUT THERE WITH THE GANGS. THEY'RE
- 2 ALL OVER THE PLACE. IF WE DON'T GET THE HELP, HOMICIDES GO UP.
- 3 YOU DON'T DO YOUR JOB WITH THE GANG INJUNCTIONS, YOU DON'T
- 4 PREVENT HOMICIDES. I SUPPORT IT. I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THIS
- 5 BOARD SOMEHOW FIGURE A WAY TO KEEP US SAFE. I BELIEVE THERE
- 6 MAY BE SOME <INAUDIBLE> OUT THERE HAVE NO PROBLEM, BUT I THINK
- 7 WE NEED TO HELP, THE PUBLIC NEEDS THE HELP OUT THERE, AND WE
- 8 LIVE WITH GANGS AND GANG ACTIVITIES AND MURDERS AND EVERYTHING
- 9 EVERY NIGHT, AND WHATEVER YOU CAN DO, I WILL APPRECIATE IT AND
- 10 MY COMMUNITY WILL APPRECIATE IT. THANK YOU.

11

- 12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. SO, THIS
- 13 MATTER, REALLY, WE'RE NOT GOING TO ASK FOR IT -- WE'RE ASKING
- 14 JUST THE C.A.O. TO COME BACK WITH A REPORT ON THIS RATHER THAN
- 15 BRINGING THE SHERIFF AND THE D.A., WE KNOW WHERE THEY STAND
- 16 RIGHT NOW ON THIS S-1. YEAH. ON THE MATTER WE JUST HEARD, THE
- 17 GANG INJUNCTION ISSUE. RATHER THAN CALLING THE SHERIFF BACK,
- 18 WE KNOW WHERE HE STANDS AND THE D.A. STANDS ON THIS ISSUE.

19

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WELL, WE HAVE THE REPORT BACK NEXT WEEK SO WE
- 21 CAN --

22

23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: RIGHT.



- 1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I THOUGHT WE WERE JUST LOOKING FOR A REPORT
- 2 FROM THEM ON THE DATA AND THE STATISTICS THAT WERE SUPPORTING
- 3 WHAT THEY WERE SAYING, BECAUSE SUPERVISOR MOLINA HAD DIFFERENT
- 4 DATA.

5

6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WE'RE ASKING YOU TO PROVIDE --

7

- 8 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YEAH RIGHT. YOU USED THEIR DATA THAT -- WHAT
- 9 CONFLICTED WITH THEIR DATA.

10

- 11 SUP. MOLINA: HERE'S WHAT'S INTERESTING ABOUT IT. WHEN THEY
- 12 WANT THEIR DATA TO FEED A CERTAIN WAY, THEY USE HOMICIDES,
- 13 WHICH IS WHAT HE DID, IF YOU WATCHED IT, BUT WHEN YOU WANT TO
- 14 SHOW IT DIFFERENTLY, THEN YOU ADD ASSAULTS, SO THE FIGURE GOES
- 15 UP. SO YOU HAVE TO BE -- USE THE SAME NUMBER ALL THE WAY
- 16 THROUGH.

17

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT SOME OF YOUR ASSAULTS ARE ATTEMPTED
- 19 MURDER.

- 21 SUP. MOLINA: AND THAT WAS THE ISSUE. AGAIN, YOU CAN SAY THAT.
- 22 YOU KNOW, YOU CAN'T PREDICT A HOMICIDE, NECESSARILY, AND
- 23 THAT'S ONE OF THE THINGS. SO THERE'S THE DIFFERENT THINGS. SO
- 24 HE WAS TALKING ABOUT THE NUMBERS. I'M ALL IN FAVOR OF
- 25 EVERYBODY HAVING THE SAME SET OF NUMBERS, WHICH WHY I ASKED



- 1 HIM FOR A WRITTEN REPORT WHICH THEY SAID THEY COULDN'T
- 2 PROVIDE.

3

- 4 C.A.O. JANSSEN: COULDN'T PROVIDE? WELL THAT'S WHAT I THINK WE
- 5 NEED AND THAT'S THE REPORT I WAS TALKING ABOUT, IS A WRITTEN
- 6 REPORT FROM THEM, THAT'S ALL.

7

- 8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I THOUGHT THE WAY IT WAS LEFT WAS THAT YOU
- 9 WERE GOING TO GET THOSE NUMBERS FROM THEM.

10

11 SUP. ANTONOVICH: RIGHT.

12

- 13 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WELL I CAN GET THOSE NUMBERS FROM THEM, BUT
- 14 IT'S GOT TO BE A REPORT FROM THEM.

15

- 16 SUP. MOLINA: YEAH, AND THE NUMBERS ARE THERE, BUT MAKE SURE
- 17 THAT IT'S THE SAME NUMBER THAT WORKS ACROSS.

18

19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YEAH LET'S HAVE IT IN WRITING.

20

- 21 SUP. MOLINA: YOU CAN'T JUST SAY HOMICIDES AND THEN OVER HERE
- 22 ADD ASSAULTS.

23

24 C.A.O. JANSSEN: CORRECT, IT HAS TO BE APPLES AND APPLES.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: BUT LET ME TELL YOU WE CAN RAID A HOST OF
- 2 HOMELESS MONEY WE'VE FOUND, OKAY, ALL RIGHT. YEAH, BECAUSE --
- 3 OKAY. YOU KNOW, I'M ALL FOR THE HOMELESS, BUT I'M ALSO OFF FOR
- 4 SOME OF THESE OTHER THINGS, TOO.

5

- 6 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ALL RIGHT. SO THAT'S THE REPORT. THAT'S THE
- 7 REPORT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT. OKAY.

8

9 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: RIGHT.

10

11 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THANK YOU.

- 13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IN THE MEANTIME I MAY BRING ANOTHER MOTION
- 14 IN. OKAY. ALL RIGHT. ITEM NUMBER 3, SUPERVISOR KNABE, I THINK
- 15 YOU HAD SOME ISSUES WITH THIS. BASICALLY, THIS IS NOT AN
- 16 INITIATIVE THAT COMES FROM THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT, BUT I'M
- 17 ASKING THE DIRECTOR OF HEALTH SERVICES TO FORM A HIGH LEVEL
- 18 PROFESSIONAL TASK FORCE TO ASSIST IN LOOKING AT THE MARTIN
- 19 LUTHER KING ISSUE. I WAS OVER THERE, AND I -- THE LEGISLATURE
- 20 IS HAVING A HEARING THIS MORNING ON THIS ISSUE. THEY HAVE ALL
- 21 OF THESE PEOPLE TESTIFYING, AND THEY DO HAVE ABOUT FIVE
- 22 LEGISLATORS THERE HEARING THIS COMMITTEE THAT ASSEMBLYMAN
- 23 MERVE DIMELY IS HEADING UP. BUT I BELIEVE IT'S IMPORTANT FOR
- 24 US TO LOOK AT THIS WHOLE ISSUE OF OUR RELATIONSHIP WITH DREW



- 1 MEDICAL AND MARTIN LUTHER KING FROM AN ACADEMIC POINT OF VIEW
- 2 AND TO GET SOME PEOPLE WHO CAN BRING A NEW APPROACH TO IT.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE: WELL I MEAN I DON'T HAVE ANY PROBLEM WITH THE
- 5 TASKFORCE. I WAS JUST CURIOUS AS TO HOW IT'S GOING TO BE, YOU
- 6 KNOW, WHO WAS GOING TO DO THE APPOINTMENT, YOU KNOW, IS IT
- 7 TRULY GOING TO BE INDEPENDENT. I MEAN, I KNOW SOME OF THE
- 8 NAMES FROM THE ACADEMIC AND MEDICAL WORLD WERE VERY
- 9 SIGNIFICANT, BUT THEY ALSO HAD LONG-TERM TIES TO M.L.K., AND I
- 10 WOULD JUST THINK THAT FROM A LONG-TERM PERSPECTIVE, WE'D
- 11 REALLY WANT AN INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION OR, YOU KNOW, OR AN
- 12 INDEPENDENT REPORT OR INDEPENDENT, YOU KNOW, SUPPORT OF WHAT
- 13 THEY'RE ATTEMPTING TO DO OVER THERE.

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL, WHAT I WOULD -- YEAH, WHAT I WOULD
- 16 SUGGEST IS THAT THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT MAKE THE APPOINTMENTS
- 17 BUT THAT RECOMMENDATIONS COME IN FROM THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
- 18 TO THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT SO WE CAN AVOID. --

19

20 SUP. KNABE: OKAY.

21

- 22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. AND IF THEY COME UP WITH AN --
- 23 THE STRUCTURE OF IT AND HOW WE WOULD BE BEST ABLE TO GET
- 24 INDEPENDENT PEOPLE.

25

WE WANT TO HEAR FROM YOU FIRST.

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



```
SUP. KNABE: OKAY.
1
2
3
    SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. IS THERE ANY OBJECTION?
4
5
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: TO THE MOTION?
6
    SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: UH-HUH. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. OH,
7
8
    I'M SORRY. THERE WERE TWO PEOPLE WHO WANTED TO SPEAK. MARIAN
    SAFOUI AND GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL. [ MIXED VOICES ]
10
    SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WHAT'S THAT? NO. WE'RE HEARING IT RIGHT
11
    NOW. [ INDISTINCT VOICES ].
12
13
    SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL, I SAID THAT, BUT THERE WERE PEOPLE
14
    WANTING TO SPEAK, SO WE'RE GOING TO HEAR THEM FIRST, I DID --
15
16
17
    SUP. MOLINA: THIS IS WHAT ITEM, NOW, MS. BURKE?
18
19
    SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ITEM 3.
20
21
    SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU.
22
23
    SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YES, ALL RIGHT. I WAS SAYING, NO OBJECTION,
    BUT I RESCINDED THAT AND I DID NOT SAY THAT WE ORDERED IT, YES
24
```



1

- 2 JANE JOES: GOOD AFTERNOON, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. I'M HERE TO
- 3 SPEAK ON BEHALF OF MARIAN -- DR. MARIAN SAFOUI, A SURGERY
- 4 RESIDENT PHYSICIAN AT THE KING DREW MEDICAL CENTER. DR. SAFOUI
- 5 WAS SET TO SPEAK AT THIS MEETING BUT WAS UNFORTUNATELY UNABLE
- 6 TO MAKE IT. MY NAME IS JANE JOES. I'M AN ORGANIZER AT THE
- 7 JOINT COUNCIL OF INTERNS AND RESIDENTS, S.E.I.U., WHICH IS A
- 8 NATIONAL UNION REPRESENTING 600 RESIDENT PHYSICIANS IN
- 9 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. SPECIFICALLY AT THE L.A.C./U.S.C. HARBOR-
- 10 U.C.L.A. AND KING DREW MEDICAL CENTERS. ON BEHALF OF THE
- 11 RESIDENT PHYSICIANS IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, I WOULD LIKE
- 12 TO SAY -- I WOULD LIKE TO SAY THAT WE ARE PLEASED BY
- 13 SUPERVISORS BURKE'S MOTION ON KING DREW. HOWEVER, IT IS THE
- 14 BELIEF OF THE RESIDENTS THAT RESIDENT PHYSICIANS' INPUT IS
- 15 NECESSARY ON THE TASKFORCE. WE THEREFORE ASK FOR -- THAT A
- 16 REPRESENTATIVE FROM OUR UNION BE ON THE TASKFORCE. IN
- 17 ADDITION, THE UNION'S EXPERTISE WOULD BE VALUABLE FOR THE
- 18 TASKFORCE SINCE WE HAVE NATIONAL CONTACTS AND ADVICE WITH
- 19 SEVERAL KEY PLAYERS IN THE GRADUATE -- IN GRADUATE MEDICAL
- 20 EDUCATION AND MATTERS OF ACCREDITED RESIDENCY PROGRAMS. WE
- 21 HAVE BEEN IN TOUCH AND WILL FOLLOW UP WITH BURKE'S OFFICE AND
- 22 WE WILL BE WATCHING THIS MOTION CAREFULLY. WE HOPE THAT YOU
- 23 WILL GIVE KING DREW PHYSICIANS IN TRAINING A CHANCE TO HELP
- 24 REVITALIZE THE KING DREW MEDICAL CENTER. THANK YOU.



1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. YES?

2

- 3 GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: YES, GOOD AFTERNOON. THIS IS GENEVIEVE
- 4 CLAVREUL AGAIN. FIRST OF ALL, I WANT TO COMPLIMENT YOU ON YOUR
- 5 MOTION, SUPERVISOR BURKE, I THINK IT'S A GOOD INTENT, BUT I
- 6 AGREE WITH SUPERVISOR KNABE, I THINK YOU NEED TO HAVE
- 7 INDEPENDENT, YOU KNOW, PEOPLE BE IN THAT TASKFORCE AND MANY OF
- 8 US WERE EXPERT IN A HEALTHCARE FIELD AND HOSPITAL MANAGEMENT
- 9 SHOULD BE INVOLVED WHO ARE PART OF THE PUBLIC. SO I WOULD
- 10 REALLY APPRECIATE YOU LOOKING AT THAT. THANK YOU SO MUCH.

11

- 12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. IT WAS
- 13 MOVED AND SECONDED WITH -- WARREN WILLIAMS WISHES TO SPEAK?

- 15 WARREN WILLIAMS: YES. ON THIS ITEM NUMBER 3 FOR A TASKFORCE,
- 16 THERE ARE SEVERAL DIFFERENT ITEMS. MY NAME IS WARREN WILLIAMS,
- 17 I'M A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE COALITION FOR BLACKS' BEST
- 18 INTERESTS AND THE FOUNDATION AND INSTITUTIONAL ABUSE. I HAD A
- 19 COUSIN THAT WENT TO MARTIN LUTHER KING HOSPITAL. AND HE WENT
- 20 THERE WITH A HEADACHE, THEY ENDED UP INJECTING HIM WITH
- 21 MEDICATION, IT WENT TO HIS BRAIN, BLEW EVERY BLOOD VESSEL OUT
- 22 IN HIS BRAIN, AND HE WAS KILLED. I KNOW A LOT OF MEMBERS OF
- 23 THE PUBLIC WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK ABOUT THE PROBLEMS THAT'S
- 24 HAPPENING IN SOME OF THE HOSPITALS, AND I WOULD URGE THAT THIS
- 25 COUNTY, THAT THIS BOARD WOULD INCLUDE IN THE TASKFORCE MANY



- 1 MEMBERS AND A LOT MORE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC, BECAUSE THEY
- 2 HAVE THE EXPERIENCES OF HOW THESE HOSPITALS ARE ACTUALLY
- 3 PROVIDING SERVICE AND THEY NEED TO BE HEARD. I'VE ALSO SPOKEN
- 4 TO A LOT OF STAFF AT A LOT OF THESE CENTERS AND THEY SHARED
- 5 WITH ME THEIR CONCERNS, WHAT THEY FEAR, IF THEY SPEAK UP AND
- 6 BE HONEST ABOUT SOME OF THEIR CONCERNS THEY COULD LOSE THEIR
- 7 JOBS, NOT GET PROMOTED AND EVEN BE DEMOTED. SO I THINK THAT
- 8 THERE NEEDS TO BE SOME SAFEGUARDS TO ALLOW MORE PEOPLE TO STEP
- 9 FORWARD AND SHARE WHAT THEIR EXPERIENCES ARE IN REGARDS TO
- 10 THIS. WE ALSO KNOW THERE NEEDS TO BE AN INCREASE IN A
- 11 TASKFORCE TO CONSIDER OTHER WAYS OF SERVING THE COMMUNITY
- 12 RATHER THAN JUST EXISTING LOCATIONS. A LOT OF TIME PEOPLE WAIT
- 13 A LONG TIME IN THE WAITING ROOMS, AND THEY'RE NOT EVEN GETTING
- 14 THE SERVICES THAT THEY NEED IN A TIME THAT'S MORE REASONABLE,
- 15 WHERE IF THERE WERE MORE CENTERS OPEN 24 HOURS, THEY WOULD
- 16 MEET THOSE NEEDS. BUT WE CERTAINLY WANT TO COMMEND THE GOOD
- 17 THAT CHARLES DREW AND OTHERS ARE DOING, AND ALSO THE ISSUE
- 18 THEN WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES IS
- 19 RELATED HERE IN ITEM NUMBER 50, BECAUSE OFTEN, THESE
- 20 PROFESSIONALS THAT GET INVOLVED AND MANDATED REPORTERS, AND
- 21 WE'RE TALKING ABOUT WHICH IS RELATED TO 50 HAVING ALSO THESE
- 22 RECORDS SAVED. WELL, IF THE RECORDS ARE FLAWED AND NOT
- 23 CORRECTED AND PERMANENTLY IN THE COMPUTER, WE'RE GOING TO HAVE
- 24 INCORRECT DATA THAT'S WRONGLY STIGMATIZING A LOT OF PEOPLE,
- 25 AND UNFORTUNATELY, IT'S A LOT OF AFRICAN-AMERICANS AND OTHERS



- 1 WHO'S GOING TO BE WRONGLY STIGMATIZED, MISTREATED, AND THEIR
- 2 CHILDREN AS WELL, AND THAT CAN BE LONG LASTING. SO MY FINAL
- 3 STATEMENT IS THAT WE URGE THAT THIS BOARD WILL INCLUDE MORE
- 4 AFRICAN-AMERICANS, MORE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC, AND ALSO ENSURE
- 5 THAT WE'RE COVERING ALL ASPECTS OF THIS. THANK YOU.

6

- 7 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 8 ORDERED. ITEM NUMBER 10, MY UNDERSTANDING, IS YOU'RE GOING TO
- 9 TAKE THAT UP WITH 52?

10

11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH I'M GOING TO.

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. 10 WILL GO OVER TO BE TAKEN UP
- 14 WITH 52. ON ITEM 17, THIS IS ON THE PILOT PROGRAM. COULD -- IS
- 15 SOMEONE HERE FROM D.P.S.S. ON THE... ALL RIGHT. BASICALLY WHAT
- 16 I THINK THAT WE SHOULD SEE IF IT WOULD NOT BE APPROPRIATE TO
- 17 INCLUDE ALL -- IN THIS PILOT -- WE'RE NOT TALKING ABOUT A
- 18 LARGE AMOUNT OF MONEY. MR. YOKOMIZO, WE'RE NOT TALKING ABOUT A
- 19 LOT OF MONEY, WOULD IT MAKE SENSE, RATHER THAN HAVING IT IN
- 20 JUST TWO AREAS, TO HAVE A SIMILAR PILOT THROUGHOUT IN EACH OF
- 21 THE FIVE AREAS? BECAUSE ALL OF THEM HAVE -- IT'S A SIZABLE
- 22 HOMELESSNESS, AND I UNDERSTAND WE'RE TALKING ABOUT AN
- 23 ADDITIONAL AMOUNT THAT'S -- YOU DO HAVE THE FUNDS AVAILABLE.
- 24 RIGHT?



- 1 BRYCE YOKOMIZO: YES. THE PILOT PROJECT INITIALLY STARTED IN
- 2 THE SKID ROW AREA, AND WE'RE NOW WANTING TO EXPAND IT INTO THE
- 3 SAN GABRIEL VALLEY AREA. THE DIFFICULTY, SUPERVISOR, IN
- 4 EXPANDING THE PILOT COUNTY-WIDE, WOULD BE THAT THERE -- THIS
- 5 IS A PARTNERSHIP WITH L.A.H.S.A. AS WELL. THEY ARE DOING ALL
- 6 OF THE OUTREACH FOR US. CERTAINLY DR. SOUTHARD AND I AGREE
- 7 THAT WE COULD PROVIDE THE STAFFING TO HANDLE THE COUNTY END. I
- 8 KNOW MITCH NEDBURN IS HERE, MAYBE HE CAN ADDRESS THE AREAS
- 9 WITH REGARD TO EXPANDING THE PROJECT THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY
- 10 INTO OTHER AREAS.

11

- 12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL MAYBE WE WOULD DO IT THIS WAY, THAT WE
- 13 APPROVE THIS AND THEN HAVE YOU REPORT BACK ON HOW YOU WOULD BE
- 14 ABLE TO EXPAND THE PROJECT AND WHAT IT WOULD TAKE.

- 16 SUP. MOLINA: MADAM CHAIR, IF I COULD, AND WHAT WE HAVE HAD
- 17 HERE IS A GREAT COLLABORATIVE. THIS IS WHAT IT IS. SO IF YOU
- 18 JUST THROW IT OUT THERE, IT'S NO LONGER A FOCUSED, STRATEGIC
- 19 OPERATION. SO I THINK THAT THERE'S NOTHING WRONG WITH
- 20 EXPANSION, LIKE WHAT WE'RE DOING IN SAN GABRIEL, BUT WE HAVE
- 21 TO INCORPORATE THE -- WE HAVE TO UNINCORPORATE THE NONPROFITS
- 22 THAT ARE OUT THERE NOW AS WELL AS MENTAL HEALTH THAT IS OUT
- 23 THERE, AS WELL AS THE HOMELESS SERVICES AND ALL THE OTHER
- 24 FOLKS THAT ARE OUT THERE, SO IT BECOMES A COLLABORATIVE THAT
- 25 WORKS TOGETHER AND POINTED ALL IN THE SAME DIRECTION TO ATTACK



- 1 A VERY PRECISE PROBLEM. SO I THINK THAT THAT'S WHY WE ASKED IN
- 2 THIS INSTANCE TO FOLLOW THE SAME MODEL THAT WE HAD DONE ON
- 3 SKID ROW, AND IT WORKS VERY WELL, PARTICULARLY WITH FAMILIES,
- 4 AND SO IF YOU JUST DO IT COUNTY-WIDE, IT LOSES -- THEN IT
- 5 LOSES ITS FOCUS. THERE'S NOTHING WRONG WITH IMPLEMENTING IN
- 6 VERY STRATEGIC AREAS THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY, BUT YOU HAVE TO
- 7 UNDERSTAND THE RESOURCES THAT NEED TO BE PUT INTO THE
- 8 COLLABORATIVE, AND SO EACH PART OF IT NEEDS TO BE A PLANNED
- 9 OPERATION. IT JUST -- YOU JUST CAN'T SAY IT'S OPERATING, AND I
- 10 THINK THE MENTAL HEALTH WILL AGREE BECAUSE IT JUST REQUIRES A
- 11 TEAM COMING TOGETHER AND THEN FINDING THE RESOURCES, WHETHER
- 12 IT BE HOUSING, VOUCHERS FOR HOUSING, DRUG BEDS, ALCOHOL, A
- 13 WHOLE SERIES OF THINGS, AND SO YOU CAN'T JUST THROW IT OUT
- 14 THERE. SO I'M HOPING, AND AGAIN I THINK MS. BURKE IS WILLING
- 15 TO ALLOW THIS TO MOVE FORWARD, BUT WE DO NEED TO GET A REPORT
- 16 BACK ABOUT HOW IT FUNCTIONS AND HOW IT WORKS, AND WHAT EFFORTS
- 17 IT WOULD TAKE IN OTHER AREAS THAT I THINK WOULD BE HELPFUL.

18

19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IN ORDER TO EXPAND IT.

20

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT NUMBER IS THIS?

- 23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THIS IS ITEM NUMBER 17. THIS IS THE PILOT
- 24 PROGRAM, AND WHAT I'M SUGGESTING IS THAT THEY REPORT BACK ON
- 25 WHAT IT WOULD TAKE TO EXPAND THIS TO OTHER AREAS.



1

- 2 BRYCE YOKOMIZO: SUPERVISOR, THE MOTION, AS IT IS CURRENTLY
- 3 WRITTEN, DOES PROVIDE FOR AN EVALUATION ABOUT EXPANDING THIS
- 4 PILOT COUNTY-WIDE.

5

6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WHEN WOULD THAT COME BACK?

7

- 8 BRYCE YOKOMIZO: WELL THE PILOT ITSELF IS GOING TO BE RUNNING
- 9 FOR ABOUT EIGHT MONTHS, SO WE'RE GOING TO BE -- IT'LL PROBABLY
- 10 BE ABOUT JULY OR SO OF THE COMING YEAR.

11

- 12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: BEFORE YOU WOULD BE ABLE TO DETERMINE
- 13 WHETHER OR NOT IT COULD BE EXPANDED?

14

- 15 BRYCE YOKOMIZO: YES. WE'D HAVE TO BE LOOKING AT AVAILABLE
- 16 FUNDING, RESOURCES, PARTNERSHIPS WITH THE OTHER COUNTY
- 17 DEPARTMENTS AS WELL AS L.A.H.S.A.

18

- 19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. SO THAT WE WOULD EXPECT THAT AS
- 20 PART OF THE EVALUATION YOU WOULD COME BACK WITH A
- 21 RECOMMENDATION IN TERMS OF HOW IT COULD BE EXPANDED BY JULY OF
- 22 NEXT YEAR? ALL RIGHT. OKAY. I -- WITH THAT, IS THERE ANY
- 23 OBJECTION? THEN MOVED, I SECOND IT. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 24 ORDERED.



1 SUP. KNABE: THIS IS 17?

2

- 3 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: 17. NOW, ON ITEM 21, I JUST HAVE A VERY
- 4 BRIEF AMENDMENT TO THIS. THIS IS A TRANSFER OF A PARK -- OH,
- 5 I'M SORRY! SOMEONE WANTED TO -- ETHEL JOHNSON WANTED TO SPEAK,
- 6 I KEEP --

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE: MADAM CHAIR, COULD I JUST ASK FOR CLARIFICATION ON
- 9 THE LAST, YOUR AMENDMENT?

10

11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YES, AND ETHEL JOHNSON PLEASE COME UP YES.

12

13 SUP. KNABE: WHAT DID YOUR AMENDMENT ACTUALLY DO?

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THAT THEY REPORT BACK ON THE POTENTIAL OF
- 16 EXPANDING IT BY NEXT JUNE AT THE CONCLUSION OF THIS PROGRAM.

17

- 18 SUP. KNABE: WELL, I MEAN, 'CAUSE I'D REALLY LIKE TO ADD, THEN,
- 19 IF YOU'RE LOOKING AT THAT ISSUE IN THE LONG BEACH AREA, AND I
- 20 DON'T KNOW IF WE HAVE TO RECONSIDER IT, BUT I --

21

22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: NO. I SAID THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY.

23

24 SUP. KNABE: OKAY, INCLUDING THE LONG BEACH AREA.



1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YEAH, RIGHT. YES, MISS JOHNSON.

2

- 3 ETHEL JOHNSON: GOOD MORNING GOOD AFTERNOON SHOULD I SAY. I'M
- 4 LOOKING AT NUMBER 17, AND I'M HERE, MRS. MOLINA, I JUST HEARD
- 5 HER SPEAK ABOUT THEY NEEDED A PILOT PROGRAM. I DO WORK WITH
- 6 THE CALWORKS PROGRAM. THIS ON 108. I ALSO WORK IN YOUR
- 7 DISTRICT -- SECOND DISTRICT, MRS. BURKE, BUT THERE IS VERY
- 8 NEED OF A PILOT PROGRAM AS FAR AS HOMELESS. NOW I'VE HELPED
- 9 EVERYBODY ELSE, BUT I'M HOMELESS NOW. SECTION 8, SECTION 8,
- 10 THEY DON'T GIVE YOU THE PROPER -- IF YOU ARE QUESTIONING --
- 11 SOME PEOPLE THAT ARE COMING TO SECTION 8, AND THEY DON'T KNOW
- 12 HOW TO SPEAK SPANISH -- ENGLISH, THEY'RE USING OTHER PEOPLE'S
- 13 SECTION 8. RIGHT NOW, I'M HOMELESS, BUT I'M ON A SET INCOME OF
- 14 \$900 AND MY HUSBAND IS DEAD, BUT YET AND STILL, I WORK IN THE
- 15 COMMUNITY, I WORK FOR DISTRICT 15 AND I WORK FOR THE SECOND
- 16 DISTRICT THERE. I WORK ALL OVER IN THE COMMUNITY. I THINK THAT
- 17 EVERYONE SHOULD BE ABLE TO FIGURE OUT, GET A PLAN FOR EACH
- 18 COMMUNITY. OF COURSE WE'RE IN AN UNINCORPORATE AREA OF
- 19 COMPTON, AND THAT'S WHERE I USUALLY RESIDE AND I'VE LOST MY --
- 20 A LOT OF PEOPLE, ALL THAT, THAT'S BEHIND ME. I'M GOING
- 21 FRONTWARDS. OKAY?

22

- 23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. AND THAT'S ONE OF THE THINGS WE
- 24 WANTED TO DO.



- 1 ETHEL JOHNSON: AND ALSO -- UH-HUH. AND I'M A DISABLED VET, I
- 2 WENT OVER IN THE WAR. I HAPPENED TO TALK TO SOME FRIENDS OF
- 3 MR. GAZARSKI, THE JEWISH -- JEWISH WAR VETERANS, AND ALL I
- 4 NEED IS SOME HELP SO I CAN HELP SOMEBODY ELSE.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. WE'LL HAVE SOMEONE TALK TO YOU -
- 7 –

8

9 ETHEL JOHNSON: THEY ALWAYS SAY THEY'RE GOING TO TALK TO ME --

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: BUT THEY'RE GOING TO BE OVER HERE TO TALK
- 12 TO YOU.

13

- 14 ETHEL JOHNSON: OKAY, BUT WE DO NEED HELP, WE DO NEED TO APPLY
- 15 A PRIVATE PLAN TO HELP THE HOMELESS IN THE AREAS.

- 17 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ALL RIGHT
- 18 WITH THE AMENDMENT, IT'S MOVED AND SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 19 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. NOW, ON THIS ITEM 21, I'VE CIRCULATED
- 20 AN AMENDMENT THAT BASICALLY ALL I'M SAYING IS THAT I WANT SOME
- 21 ASSURANCE THAT IF THE CITY, IF WE'RE GOING TO GIVE THEM THE
- 22 PARK IN EXCHANGE FOR THEM TO HAVE A SCHOOL BUILT ON THAT PARK
- 23 AND THAT THEY'RE GOING TO PROVIDE ANOTHER PARK FOR
- 24 SUBSTITUTING THAT -- THE PARK THAT THEY USE, THAT THEY ASSURE
- 25 US THAT THERE'S ENOUGH MONEY, AND IF THERE ISN'T, THEY'LL USE



- 1 SOME OF THEIR PARK BOND MONEY TO MAKE SURE THE PARK IS
- 2 COMPLETED. THAT'S MY AMENDMENT. IT'S BEEN MOVED AND SECONDED.
- 3 ANY QUESTIONS?

4

5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT IS THIS NOW? I'M SORRY?

6

- 7 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THIS IS ON 32. I'VE PASSED OUT AN AMENDMENT
- 8 TO THAT. I WANT TO GET SOME ASSURANCE THAT IF THE CITY DOES
- 9 NOT HAVE ENOUGH MONEY TO PAY FOR THE PARK THAT WE'RE PROVIDING
- 10 TO THE SCHOOL DISTRICT FOR A SCHOOL AND WE'RE GETTING A
- 11 SUBSTITUTE PARK, BUT IF THE CITY DOESN'T HAVE ENOUGH MONEY,
- 12 THEY'LL USE SOME OF THEIR PARK MONEY AS A PRIORITY TO COMPLETE
- 13 THAT PROJECT. ALL RIGHT. IS THERE ANY OBJECTION? TO 32.

14

15 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** YES.

16

- 17 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THERE'S NO OBJECTION. SO ORDERED. ALL
- 18 RIGHT. ITEM NUMBER, I'M SORRY. THAT WAS 21. WE'RE NOW ON 32.
- 19 SUPERVISOR KNABE. IT WAS 21. NOW 32.

20

- 21 SUP. KNABE: YEAH. I -- I THINK I HAVE MY QUESTIONS ANSWERED IN
- 22 REGARDS TO 32. I WAS JUST CONCERNED ABOUT THIS PACKAGE AND HOW
- 23 IT RELATES IN TANGO GARDENS DOWN IN THE SOUTH BAY.

24

25 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IT'S 21, THE LAST ITEM.



1

- 2 SUP. KNABE: AND SIMILAR, BUT I WILL FINISH MY DISCUSSION WITH
- 3 THE DEPARTMENT AND SO I'M OKAY TO GO AHEAD AND MOVE THE ITEM.

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. MOVED BY KNABE, SECONDED BY
- 6 ANTONOVICH. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. I WAS HERE AFTER
- 7 THE SPECIALS WERE STARTED. I'D LIKE TO READ MY ADJOURNMENTS.
- 8 ALL RIGHT. AND DID ANYONE MOVE TO ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF YETUNDE
- 9 PRICE? I'LL DO THAT AND --

10

11 SUP. KNABE: ALL MEMBERS.

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL MEMBERS, AND WHO -- WHO WAS SHOT, DID
- 14 YOU -- DID ANYONE?

15

16 SUP. KNABE: WILLIAMS SISTERS' SISTER.

17

18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO NOBODY DID IT.

- 20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT, I MOVE THAT WHEN WE ADJOURN WE
- 21 ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF YETUNDE PRICE, AND WHO WAS SHOT ON SUNDAY
- 22 IN COMPTON, THE ELDEST SISTER OF TENNIS STARS VENUS AND SERENA
- 23 WILLIAMS. SHE WAS A PERSONAL ASSISTANT AND CONFIDANT TO THE
- 24 SISTERS. SHE LIVED IN CORONA WITH HER CHILDREN. SHE ALSO
- 25 LEAVES HER MOTHER, AURUSTINE PRICE, TO CHERISH HER MEMORY AND



- 1 HER FATHER, RICHARD WILLIAMS. ACTUALLY, I GUESS MOST PEOPLE
- 2 KNOW THAT VENUS AND SERENA WILLIAMS LEARNED HOW TO PLAY TENNIS
- 3 IN OUR UNINCORPORATED AREA OF COMPTON, WHICH IS NOW RANCHO
- 4 DOMINGUEZ. IT WAS AT THAT TIME, IT CALLED COMPTON BUT IT WAS -
- 5 THEY LIVED IN RANCHO DOMINGUEZ, AND THEY WERE PART OF A
- 6 PROGRAM THAT WAS THE MONEY FROM THE OLYMPICS THAT PROVIDED THE
- 7 FUNDS FOR THAT PROGRAM AT THAT PARK, SO THEY ARE PART OF THE
- 8 LEGACY FROM THE 1984 OLYMPICS, AND THE MONEYS THAT HAVE BEEN
- 9 USED FOR TENNIS LESSONS, AND THERE ARE MANY YOUNG PEOPLE
- 10 WHO'VE COME THROUGH FROM COMMUNITIES LIKE THAT AND WHO HAVE
- 11 GONE ON TO BE PROFESSIONALS. SO I'D ALSO LIKE TO ASK THAT WE
- 12 ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF MRS. LUCILLE ASHFORD, RETIRED POSTMASTER
- 13 OF THE LOS ANGELES TERMINAL ANNEX POST OFFICE, OUTSTANDING
- 14 MEMBER OF THE COMMUNITY. SHE ATTENDED U.C.L.A., GRADUATED FROM
- 15 U.S.C. WITH A MASTER'S IN ACCOUNTING. SHE JOINED DELTA SIGMA
- 16 THETA SORORITY WHILE AT S.C.AND SHE WAS THE FIRST MEMBER OF
- 17 HER RACE TO BE INITIATED INTO BETA GAMMA SIGMA, NATIONAL
- 18 HONORARY FOR COMMERCE. SHE HAD ONE CHILD, CAROL PENNY, WHO
- 19 PREDECEASED HER, AND SHE LEAVES TO CHERISH HER MEMORY HER
- 20 GRANDDAUGHTER DAWN CLARK, GRANDSONS, SEAN CLARK, GREAT
- 21 GRANDSON TANNER CLARK AND SON-IN-LAW, GARY CLARK. AND KIMBERLY
- 22 GRACE WALKER FOSTER, WHO PASSED AWAY OF COMPLICATIONS FROM
- 23 SICKLE CELL ON SEPTEMBER 10TH AT THE AGE OF 35. A RESIDENT OF
- 24 THE SECOND DISTRICT HER ENTIRE LIFE. SHE ATTENDED SCHOOL
- 25 THERE, WORKED IN THE MEDICAL FIELD, A DILIGENT MEMBER OF THE

22



- 1 EVANGELICAL PRAYER CENTER OF LOS ANGELES WHERE DR. CORDELL
- 2 JENKINS IS A PASTOR. SHE ALSO ASSISTED HER HUSBAND IN HIS
- 3 CATERING BUSINESS. SHE LEAVES, TO CHERISH HER MEMORY, HER
- 4 HUSBAND, REGINALD FOSTER, THREE SONS, ISANTE AND ISAIAH WHO
- 5 WERE SEVEN-YEAR-OLD TWINS, AND ISSAC, THREE YEARS OLD. SHE
- 6 ALSO LEAVES HER FATHER, HIWATHA WALKER, HER MOTHER, CASSIE
- 7 NIXON, HER SISTERS, TAMMY WALKER AND REGINA WALKER. AND
- 8 ROOSEVELT PICKENS, WHO PASSED AWAY ON SEPTEMBER 5TH AT THE AGE
- 9 OF 78, A LONG TIME RESIDENT OF THE SECOND DISTRICT, DEVOTED
- 10 HUSBAND, FATHER, GRANDFATHER, NEIGHBOR, AND FRIEND, AND HE'S
- 11 SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE OF MANY YEARS, SUE PICKENS. AND HARRY B.
- 12 HENDERSON, WHO PASSED AWAY ON SEPTEMBER 1ST, A LONG-TIME
- 13 JOURNALIST AND EDITOR, BEST KNOWN FOR 'A HISTORY OF AFRICAN-
- 14 AMERICAN ARTISTS, ' A COLLECTION OF MORE THAN 50 BIOGRAPHIES
- 15 PUBLISHED IN 1993. HIS WORK DREW ATTENTION TO AFRICAN-AMERICAN
- 16 ARTISTS AND THEIR PLIGHT THROUGHOUT THE YEARS AND THEIR
- 17 ACCOMPLISHMENTS. AND MORRIS E. EWING AND NOLA T. EWING, MR.
- 18 EWING PASSED AWAY SEPTEMBER 11TH AND MRS. EWING PREDECEASED
- 19 HER HUSBAND ON AUGUST 26TH. BOTH WERE LONG-TIME RESIDENTS OF
- 20 THE SECOND DISTRICT AND OUTSTANDING MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY.
- 21 THEY'RE SURVIVED BY THEIR DAUGHTER, JANICE DAVIS. SO ORDERED.
- 23 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: MADAM CHAIR, EXCUSE ME, COULD WE JUST
- 24 BACK UP A LITTLE BIT SO I CAN GET CLARIFICATION FOR THE
- 25 RECORD. ON ITEM 21, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH IS VOTING?



1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AYE. 2 3 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: AYE? OKAY. 4 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: NO, HE WASN'T VOTING, HE WAS KIDDING. 6 7 8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NO WE WERE TALKING ON ANOTHER ISSUE. 9 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YES. 10 11 12 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: THANK YOU. 13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. ALL RIGHT, I THINK THAT THAT 14 INCLUDES MY SPECIALS. SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY DID YOU DO YOUR 15 16 SPECIALS? 17 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO, I DIDN'T BUT I DON'T HAVE ANY SO. 19 20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YOU DON'T HAVE ANY ADJOURNMENTS? OKAY, SUPERVISOR KNABE DID YOU DO YOUR ADJOURNMENTS? 21 22 23 SUP. KNABE: DID MINE, YEAH. 24



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: DID YOU DO YOUR ADJOURNMENTS? DID
- 2 SUPERVISOR MOLINA DO HER ADJOURNMENTS?

3

4 SUP. MOLINA: I'M SORRY?

5

6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: DID YOU DO YOUR ADJOURNMENTS?

7

8 SUP. MOLINA: YES I DID.

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT, SO DOES ANYONE ELSE HAVE ANY
- 11 OTHER ITEMS THAT I HAVEN'T CALLED? I GOT CONFUSED LEAVING AND
- 12 COMING BACK ON THE ITEMS NUMBERS. SO WE NOW GO OVER TO THE
- 13 BUDGET MATTERS.

- 15 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT. THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR. WE HAVE FIVE
- 16 BUDGET ITEMS. THE FIRST COUPLE OF THESE ITEMS, AND I'LL WALK
- 17 THROUGH THEM ONE AT A TIME, ARE TO CLOSE LAST YEAR'S BOOKS,
- 18 IDENTIFY THE FUND BALANCE, THE BOARD LETTERS, THEN TO
- 19 APPROPRIATE THAT FUND BALANCE AND FINALLY, AN ACTION TO DEAL
- 20 WITH THE CONCLUSION OF THE STATE BUDGET AND THE ADDITIONAL
- 21 IMPACT ON THE COUNTY, WHICH WE HAVE NOT ACCOUNTED FOR IN OUR
- 22 BUDGET PRESENTATION. ITEM 51, THE FIRST ITEM, IS A REQUEST TO
- 23 APPROVE THE FINAL BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS FOR 2002/2003, AS
- 24 NECESSARY TO COMPLY WITH THE GAN INITIATIVE REQUIREMENTS,
- 25 INCREASES DESIGNATION FOR TOBACCO, INCREASES DESIGNATION FOR



- 1 PROP 62, AND TAKES ANOTHER -- A NUMBER OF OTHER ACTIONS THAT
- 2 THE AUDITOR NEEDS TO TAKE TO CLOSE THE BOOKS LEGALLY. AND WE
- 3 RECOMMEND THAT YOU APPROVE ITEM 51.

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. ONE PERSON HAS ASKED TO SPEAK.
- 6 YVONNE AUTRY, MICHELLE AUTRY. WOULD YOU PLEASE COME FORWARD?
- 7 NO? YOU DON'T WANT TO SPEAK? OH. OVER HERE. ALL RIGHT. I
- 8 THOUGHT SHE WAS -- ALL RIGHT, WOULD YOU PLEASE COME FORWARD?
- 9 YES. WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME?

10

- 11 YVONNE MICHELLE AUTRY: MY NAME IS YVONNE MICHELLE AUTRY, AND
- 12 I'M A 36 YEAR OLD MEMBER OF THE COMMUNITY. SUPERVISOR BURKE,
- 13 IF I MIGHT APPEAL TO YOU, I HAD REQUESTED ORIGINALLY TO
- 14 ADDRESS ABOUT THREE OR FOUR ITEMS. I'D ALREADY EXHAUSTED MY
- 15 OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK AT PUBLIC COMMENTS. I'D LIKE TO --

16

17 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AS LONG AS IT'S ON THE BUDGET.

18

- 19 YVONNE MICHELLE AUTRY: YES, MA'AM. I'D LIKE TO RESPECTFULLY
- 20 REQUEST TO EXTEND MY TIME TO FIVE MINUTES.

21

- 22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I'M SORRY. I'M GOING TO HAVE TO ASK YOU TO
- 23 STAY WITHIN THE THREE MINUTES BECAUSE WE'RE VERY LATE.



- 1 YVONNE MICHELLE AUTRY: OKAY. WELL, FIRST OF ALL, REGARDING THE
- 2 BUDGET, I'D LIKE TO ASK THAT YOU WOULD ALLOCATE FUNDS NUMBER
- 3 ONE, TO THE PREVENTION OF GANG WARFARE, NOT JUST TO DEPLOYING
- 4 MORE POLICE. I WAS BORN IN INGLEWOOD AND I'VE BEEN WITNESS TO
- 5 POLICE BRUTALITY, NOT JUST RACIAL PROFILING, BUT TO POLICE AND
- 6 THE C.I.A., WHICH DISTRIBUTE DRUGS, GUNS. THERE HAVE BEEN MANY
- 7 BLACK WOMEN THAT HAVE BEEN MURDERED IN INGLEWOOD AND HAS GONE
- 8 UNADDRESSED. I USED TO ADDRESS AND I USED TO SPEAK DIRECTLY TO
- 9 JUDGE DORN, MAYOR DORN, WHEN I WAS A RESIDENT OF INGLEWOOD, I
- 10 WAS BORN THERE, I LIVED THERE FOR OVER 27 YEARS BEFORE I WAS
- 11 DISPLACED BY THE COUNTY AFTER BEING FALSELY ACCUSED OF CHILD
- 12 ENDANGERMENT AND NEGLECT. SO I'M VERY FAMILIAR OF -- WITH
- 13 WHAT'S HAPPENING IN THE COMMUNITY. I THINK YOU NEED TO TAKE
- 14 MONEY AND FIND OUT WHAT MAKES PEOPLE VIOLENT. WHY ARE BLACK
- 15 PEOPLE AND LATINO PEOPLE FIGHTING AND KILLING EACH OTHER? I
- 16 MEAN IT'S NOT A NATURAL SITUATION THAT PEOPLE WOULD, YOU KNOW,
- 17 I THINK, YOU KNOW, MURDER EACH OTHER. I THINK IT'S A SYMPTOM
- 18 OF A GREATER MALIGNANCY IN THIS CORRUPT WHITE SUPREMACIST
- 19 AMERICAN GOVERNMENT THAT REALLY WAS NEVER DESIGNED TO EMPLOY
- 20 BLACK PEOPLE OR TO PROSPER BLACK PEOPLE BEYOND THAT OF THE
- 21 WORKING CLASS, ENTERTAINMENT, OR SPORTS INDUSTRY. THAT'S MY
- 22 FIRST COMMENT. AND LIKE I SAID, I REALLY SEE MORE POLICE
- 23 BRUTALITY, AND YOU'RE CLOSING THE RAMPART CASE, DONNA JACKSON
- 24 WAS BEATEN, AND THOSE POLICE OFFICERS WERE SUSPENDED WITH PAY.
- 25 TYESHA MILLER WAS MURDERED, AND THOSE PEOPLE DIDN'T GO TO



- 1 JAIL. MARGARET MITCHELL WAS MURDERED AND UNTIL HER SON SUED
- 2 THOSE POLICE OFFICERS WOULDN'T EVEN HAVE GOTTEN PROSECUTED. I
- 3 THINK THAT THAT IS BLATANT EXTERMINATION OF A PEOPLE THAT I
- 4 HAVEN'T REALLY WITNESSED AND THAT I HAVEN'T READ ABOUT SINCE
- 5 WHAT HAPPENED IN NEO-NAZI GERMANY. I MEAN AS A BLACK WOMAN I
- 6 SEE SO MANY OFFENSES. I THINK YOU SHOULD TAKE YOUR MONEY LIKE
- 7 I SAID AND TRY TO FIND OUT WHAT'S AILING THE PEOPLE. THEY NEED
- 8 JOBS, OKAY, THEY NEED SOME RESPITE FROM THE ENVIRONMENTAL
- 9 TOXICITY. DID YOU KNOW HEAVY METALS CAN CAUSE VIOLENT
- 10 BEHAVIOR. THEY DON'T NEED MEDICATION, THEY DON'T NEED
- 11 DEPLOYMENT OF OFFICERS THAT ARE RAIDING HOMES, THEY NEED TO
- 12 HAVE THEIR LIFE-STYLES AND THE QUALITY OF LIFE IMPROVED OR THE
- 13 OPPORTUNITY TO DO SO. THAT'S ONE COMMENT.

14

15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY -- OKAY.

16

- 17 YVONNE MICHELLE AUTRY: ALSO -- MY THREE MINUTES ARE NOT UP, I
- 18 DON'T THINK.

19

20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OKAY.

- 22 YVONNE MICHELLE AUTRY: GOOD, AND ALSO, WHAT I THINK YOU NEED
- 23 TO DO IS TAKE SOME OF YOUR MONEY, AND I THINK YOU NEED TO DO
- 24 AN EXPOSE, OR ALLOCATE MONEYS TO EXPOSE WHAT'S -- TO EXPOSE
- 25 WHAT'S HAPPENING WITH THEM, I HAD SAID THIS TWO WEEKS AGO, THE



- 1 INCREASED CHILD MOLESTATIONS, ABUSES, RAPES, AND MURDERS OF
- 2 OUR CHILDREN, WHEN THEY'RE IN PROTECTIVE CARE OF THE
- 3 DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES. WHAT'S HAPPENING
- 4 IS DOCTORS, LAWYERS, JUDGES, MEMBERS OF THE NORTH AMERICAN
- 5 MAN/BOY LOVE ASSOCIATION -- ANYWAY, THESE PEOPLE ARE
- 6 PROTECTED. ACTUALLY, THE AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION IS
- 7 DEFENDING THEM. THEY HAVE A RIGHT TO ABUSE OUR CHILDREN AND
- 8 THEY'RE BEING DEFENDED BY THE AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION.
- 9 AND I FIND THAT REALLY APPALLING. IS THIS THE TYPE OF AMERICA
- 10 THAT I LIVE IN, WHEN CHILDREN CAN BE ABUSED AND THEN THESE
- 11 PEOPLE ARE DEFENDED BY THE AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION. IT
- 12 SEEMS AS THOUGH HETEROSEXUALS THAT SPEAK UP AND DEFEND
- 13 CHILDREN TODAY, YOU KNOW, IT'S HARDER FOR ME TO REUNITE WITH
- 14 MY CHILD, BUT GRAY DAVIS WOULD RATHER NOT ONLY MAKE LEGAL
- 15 SAME-SEX MARRIAGES, BUT DO YOU KNOW TODAY, SAME-SEX PEOPLE OR
- 16 MARRIAGES OR COUPLES CAN GET CUSTODY OF CHILDREN? AND SO I
- 17 GUESS THE WHOLE POINT THAT I WANT TO MAKE IS I THINK YOU
- 18 SHOULD TAKE MONEY TO REVEAL WHAT'S REALLY HAPPENING IN
- 19 AMERICA. FOR YOU PEOPLE TO CALL YOURSELF CHRISTIAN, YOU SEE,
- 20 AND WOULD STAND BY AND ALLOW -- THIS IS AN ARTICLE ABOUT A
- 21 MURDER OF A CHILD. OKAY? WHO WAS STALKED AND HUNTED BY THESE -
- 22 THEY'RE HOMOSEXUALS AND -- THEY'RE PEDOPHILES, AND THE
- 23 A.C.L.U. IS ACTUALLY DEFENDING THIS GROUP, OKAY, WHO
- 24 FREQUENTLY VISITED THE WEB SITE OF THIS NAMDA, NORTH AMERICAN
- 25 MAN/BOY LOVE ASSOCIATION.



1

- 2 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT THANK YOU. YOUR TIME IS UP. THANK
- 3 YOU.

4

- 5 YVONNE MICHELLE AUTRY: I JUST WANT TO SAY I THINK IT'S
- 6 HORRIBLE, I THINK IT'S AN ABOMINATION, I THINK IT'S AN
- 7 ABERRATION, AND I THINK THESE PEOPLE ARE DELUSIONAL, OKAY, NOT
- 8 THOSE THAT SPEAK UP IN DEFENSE OF THE CHILDREN, ESPECIALLY
- 9 PARENTS. AND I THINK THAT YOU PEOPLE SHOULD, LIKE I SAID,
- 10 ALLOCATE MONEY TO EXPOSE WHAT'S HAPPENING. AND REMEMBER, GOD
- 11 DESTROYED SODOM AND GOMORRAH, SODOM AND GOMORRAH WAS FULL OF
- 12 HOMOSEXUALS, PEDOPHILES, POSSIBLY MASONS LIKE MANY OF
- 13 YOURSELVES, AND I THINK THAT WE LIVE IN A MODERN DAY SODOM AND
- 14 GOMORRAH. AND I THINK THAT -- I DON'T THINK YOU'RE PROBABLY
- 15 GOING TO INVESTIGATE ACTIVITY THAT THE COUNTY IS RESPONSIBLE
- 16 FOR, BUT AT LEAST IN EXPOSING AND BRINGING ON RECORD WHAT IS
- 17 HAPPENING INSTITUTIONALLY IN AMERICA. HOPEFULLY WE'LL HAVE
- 18 SOME TYPE OF -- WE'LL HAVE SOME TYPE OF JUSTICE, BECAUSE IT'S
- 19 ABSOLUTELY RIDICULOUS HOW YOU'RE INCRIMINATING PARENTS THAT
- 20 ARE SPEAKING UP TO DEFEND CHILDREN WHO HAVE NO VOICE. I DEMAND
- 21 -- I JUST THINK YOU SHOULD STOP THE WAR ON THE YOUTH, THE
- 22 CHILDREN, ESPECIALLY BLACK PEOPLE AND POOR PEOPLE.

- 24 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ALL RIGHT. ALL RIGHT,
- 25 ON THE FIRST ITEM, IS THERE A MOTION?



```
1
2
    SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHICH --
3
    SUP. MOLINA: WHAT ITEM?
4
5
    SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: 51.
6
7
8
    SUP. ANTONOVICH: I HAVE A MOTION FOR --
9
10
    SUP. MOLINA: I HAVE A MOTION, TOO.
11
    SUP. ANTONOVICH: DO YOU WANT ME TO READ A MOTION NOW?
12
13
    SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YES.
14
15
16
    SUP. ANTONOVICH: OKAY.
17
18
    SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THIS WOULD BE, IF IT'S ON 51, THIS IS THE
19
    APPROPRIATE TIME.
20
    SUP. ANTONOVICH: OKAY ON 51 I WOULD MOVE THAT FOR MANY YEARS,
21
22
    THE CITIZENS IN THE UNINCORPORATED AREA HAVE SUFFERED -- I
23
    SHOULD SAY HAVE SUFFERED FROM THE LACK OF HAVING ADEQUATE
    REPRESENTATION AT THE DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING. THE
24
    DEPARTMENT HAS TAKEN AN ACTIVE ROLE AND NOW IN FACILITATING
25
```



- 1 ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND IN SUPPORTING BUSINESS RETENTION
- 2 EFFORTS THROUGH THE TIMELY PROCESSING OF THEIR PERMITS AND TO
- 3 MEET THESE NEEDS, THE BOARD HAS CONSISTENTLY MAINTAINED
- 4 PLANNING AND ZONING SERVICES TO OUR COMMUNITIES TO PROTECT THE
- 5 HEALTH AND SAFETY OF OUR CITIZENS WHILE RECOGNIZING THE RIGHTS
- 6 OF THE PROPERTY OWNERS. THE PENDING WORKLOAD IN THE DEPARTMENT
- 7 OF REGIONAL PLANNING HAS RESULTED IN AN UNACCEPTABLE LEVEL OF
- 8 SERVICE AT THE DOWNTOWN PUBLIC COUNTER AND AT THE NINE
- 9 REGIONAL FIELD OFFICES WHERE STAFF ARE AVAILABLE. UNLIKE MANY
- 10 OTHER JURISDICTIONS THAT STAFF THEIR PUBLIC COUNTERS ON A
- 11 FULL-TIME BASIS, REGIONAL PLANNING PUBLIC COUNTER IS ONLY OPEN
- 12 DURING THE AFTERNOONS. ADDITIONALLY, REGIONAL PLANNING
- 13 EMPLOYEES ARE AVAILABLE IN THE NINE FIELD OFFICES ON A LIMITED
- 14 BASIS. IN SOME INSTANCES, ONLY ONE-HALF DAY PER WEEK. ONE OF
- 15 THESE OFFICES IS A 70-MILE DRIVE FROM DOWNTOWN LOS ANGELES,
- 140 MILE ROUND-TRIP. THIS CONDITION NEEDS TO BE CORRECTED. TO
- 17 ADDRESS THIS ISSUE, THE DEPARTMENT HAS ALREADY IDENTIFIED
- 18 PRIORITY NEEDS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2003/'04 IN A LETTER ADDRESSED
- 19 TO OUR BOARD ON MAY 14TH. TO ADDRESS THOSE IMMEDIATE NEED FOR
- 20 MORE CASE INTAKE AND COUNSELING RESOURCES, REGIONAL PLANNING
- 21 HAS REQUESTED ADDITIONAL FUNDING TO EXPAND THEIR ABILITY TO
- 22 PROVIDE ZONE -- ZONING, COUNSELING, AND PLANNING ADVISORY
- 23 SERVICES AT THE DOWNTOWN COUNTER AND IN THEIR FIELD OFFICES.
- 24 THE FUNDING WILL INCREASE THE SERVICE HOURS AT THE DOWNTOWN
- 25 PUBLIC INFORMATION COUNTER BY EXTENDING HOURS TO INCLUDE



- 1 MORNINGS. THEY WILL ALSO INCREASE THE SERVICE HOURS THAT
- 2 PLANNERS ARE AVAILABLE IN THE NINE FIELD OFFICES. I WOULD MOVE
- 3 THAT THE BOARD AUTHORIZE THE FUNDING OF \$160,000 TO THE
- 4 REGIONAL PLANNING BUDGET TO SUPPORT EXPANDED SERVICE HOURS IN
- 5 THE DEPARTMENT'S DOWNTOWN HEADQUARTERS AS WELL AS 289,000 FOR
- 6 ADDITIONAL STAFFING BY THE NINE FIELD OFFICES, WHICH IS A
- 7 TOTAL OF 449,000.

8

9 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH WOULD YOU MIND --

10

11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'LL SECOND THAT.

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THAT THAT BE PUT OVER TO ITEM 53 AND -- AND
- 14 WE CONSIDER IT AT THAT TIME?

15

16 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT 51 IS JUST CLOSING THE BOOKS.

17

18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THAT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE.

19

20 **C.A.O. JANSSEN:** YEAH 53.

21

22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT.

23

24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'LL SECOND IT FOR THAT PURPOSE.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: FOR 53, RIGHT. OKAY, ON 51, DO WE HAVE A
- 2 MOTION?

3

- 4 SUP. MOLINA: MADAM CHAIR, I'M NOT PREPARED TO MOVE ON IT.
- 5 COULD WE JUST LAY THAT ON THE TABLE FOR A MOMENT?

6

7 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ON 51? ALL RIGHT. ITEM 52?

8

- 9 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM 52 IS CLOSING THE BOOKS FOR THE
- 10 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES. INCLUDED IN THAT, AND THE
- 11 DEPARTMENT HAS DISCUSSED THE FIGURES, AND THEIR COMMITTEE OF
- 12 THE WHOLE, BUT THE SIGNIFICANT PART, I THINK, OF ITEM 52 IS
- 13 THE \$105 MILLION TRANSFER AS PART OF THE ACTION INTO THE
- 14 A.C.O. FUND FOR THE OPENING OF L.A.C./U.S.C. MED CENTER. IT
- 15 ALSO RECOGNIZES AN ADDITIONAL \$3.9 MILLION IN AVAILABLE FUND
- 16 BALANCE FROM THE PRESENTATION THAT WAS MADE IN JULY TO THE
- 17 BOARD. AND THEN THERE ARE A NUMBER OF OTHER INTERNAL
- 18 ADJUSTMENTS NECESSARY, SIMPLY TO CLOSE THE BOOKS FOR LAST
- 19 YEAR, BUT I THINK THE \$105 MILLION IS AN ISSUE CERTAINLY THAT
- 20 WAS DISCUSSED IN JULY BY BOARD MEMBERS.

21

- 22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. DID YOU HAVE A MOTION ON THAT,
- 23 ON 52? NO, ALL RIGHT. IS THERE OTHER MOTIONS?

24

25 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MOVE APPROVAL.

4

6

8

10

13

16

20

22

24

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1

- 2 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVE APPROVAL OF 52, SECOND? IS THERE ANY
- 3 OBJECTION TO 52? 52 IS APPROVED. ALL RIGHT. NOW WE GET TO 53.

5 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NOW WE CAN'T DO 53 UNTIL WE DO 51.

7 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: NOW SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH HAD HIS MOTION --

9 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WE HAVE TO DO 51, THE C.A.O. WAS SAYING.

- 11 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WE CAN'T CLOSE -- WE CAN'T DO 53 UNTIL WE
- 12 CLOSE THE BOOKS.
- 14 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. WE HAVE TO DO 51 FIRST. ALL
- 15 RIGHT. SUPERVISOR MOLINA? [LAUGHTER]
- 17 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. WE'LL WAIT A SECOND. WE DID 52,
- 18 BUT APPARENTLY WE COULDN'T DO IT -- WE TRIED TO DO IT BUT WE
- 19 HAVE TO DO 51 BEFORE WE DO ANYTHING ELSE.
- 21 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** OKAY MOVE THAT WE RECONSIDER 52.
- 23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT.
- 25 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IF WE HAVE TO DO 51 BEFORE WE DO 52.



1

- 2 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: LET ME GET THAT STRAIGHT. DO WE HAVE TO DO
- 3 51 BEFORE WE DO 52? ONLY 53. ALL RIGHT. 52 IS OKAY BUT WE
- 4 CAN'T GO TO 53 UNLESS WE DO 51. ALL RIGHT. WHAT IS THE
- 5 DECISION ON 51?

6

7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'LL MOVE APPROVAL.

8

9 SUP. MOLINA: YOU GOING TO AMEND IT?

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IS THERE A SECOND TO 51? IT'S MOVED AND
- 12 SECONDED. AND SUPERVISOR MOLINA HAS A UNREADINESS OR WHATEVER.
- 13 WE DID 52, BUT WE CAN'T DO 53 UNTIL WE DO 51. WHAT IS THE
- 14 PROBLEM HERE? [MIXED VOICES]

15

- 16 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. SUPERVISOR MOLINA, DO YOU
- 17 HAVE A MOTION ON THAT, FOR 51?

18

- 19 SUP. MOLINA: I MIGHT HAVE. I DON'T KNOW. I'M WAITING FOR THE
- 20 C.A.O. TO TELL ME. DO I HAVE A MOTION?

- 22 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I DON'T BELIEVE YOU DO, ON ITEM 51. I -- WE'RE
- 23 ONLY CLOSING THE BOOKS ON ITEM 51. I THINK IF THERE IS A
- 24 MOTION, IT WOULD BE ITEM 53 OR 54. WHERE WE'RE ACTUALLY
- 25 DISCUSSING THE CURRENT YEAR BUDGET.



1

- 2 SUP. MOLINA: BUT IN THE CLOSING OF THE BOOKS, THERE MIGHT BE
- 3 SOME EXPENDITURES THAT ARE INAPPROPRIATELY DIRECTED. ARE YOU
- 4 TELLING ME THAT'S NOT THE CASE?

5

6 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THAT'S NOT THE CASE.

7

8 SUP. MOLINA: I WILL TRUST YOU WITH THAT.

9

10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. SO THERE'S NO OBJECTION TO 51?

11

12 SUP. MOLINA: NO.

13

- 14 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. WITHOUT OBJECTION, 51'S
- 15 APPROVED. NOW WE'RE AT 53.

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I MOVE ON THE MOTION TO APPROPRIATE FUNDS TO
- 18 THE REGIONAL PLANNING DEPARTMENT TO EXTEND THEIR SERVICES IN
- 19 THE DEPARTMENT DOWNTOWN HEADQUARTERS AND THE STAFFING AT THE
- 20 REGIONAL PLANNING.

21

- 22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THAT WAS SECONDED BY YAROSLAVSKY. DOES THE
- 23 C.A.O. HAVE A COMMENT ON THAT ONE?



- 1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: MADAM CHAIR, I HAVE A COMMENT BEFORE THAT. WE
- 2 DID CHANGE THE ORDER ON THE GREEN SHEET, YOU DO NEED TO DO
- 3 ITEM 56 AND THEN WE CAN DO 53, AND THEN WE CAN DO THE MOTION.
- 4 WE HAVE ALL THESE PROCEDURAL STEPS THAT THE AUDITOR REQUIRES
- 5 US TO DO, SO ITEM 56.

6

7 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. ITEM 56.

8

- 9 C.A.O. JANSSEN: IS TO NOW APPROPRIATE THE FUND BALANCE AND
- 10 IT'S 63.3 MILLION IN THE GENERAL FUND. THAT WILL GO INTO THE
- 11 APPROPRIATION FOR CONTINGENCIES, AND THEN YOU CAN START YOUR
- 12 DISCUSSIONS ON HOW TO SPEND THE MONEY, SO.

13

- 14 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. SO WE SHOULD HAVE HAD THESE
- 15 NUMBERED ACCORDING TO HOW THE SEQUENCE OF WHAT HAD TO HAPPEN.

16

- 17 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WELL, WE TRIED TO DO THAT. IT DIDN'T HAPPEN ON
- 18 THE REGULAR AGENDA. WE ADJUSTED IT ON THE GREEN SHEET. THE
- 19 GREEN SHEET DOES GIVE THE ORDER. 51, 52, 56, 53.

20

- 21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. 56 -- I'M SORRY. SO I JUST
- 22 DIDN'T FOLLOW THIS AS IT SHOULD'VE GONE. IT SHOULD -- OKAY --

- 24 SUP. MOLINA: THAT I UNDERSTAND THIS CORRECTLY, THIS IS THE
- 25 BUDGET-BUDGET RECONCILIATION. RIGHT?



1

- 2 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THIS IS APPROPRIATING THE ADDITIONAL FUND
- 3 BALANCE INTO THE CONTINGENCY.

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. IS THERE A MOTION? MOVED.
- 6 SECOND? WITHOUT OBJECTION --

7

8 SUP. MOLINA: NO, I OBJECT. I VOTE NO.

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OKAY. THERE IS ONE VOTE "NO" ON 56 ON THE,
- 11 YEAH, FUND BALANCE. ALL RIGHT. NOW --

12

13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I MOVE THE MOTION TO REGIONAL PLANNING.

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ON 53. ALL RIGHT. IT'S MOVED AND SECONDED.
- 16 IS THERE -- SHALL WE CALL THE ROLE ON THAT, OR IS THERE ANY
- 17 OBJECTION ON 53 ON THE AMENDMENT FOR REGIONAL PLANNING? IS
- 18 THERE ANY OBJECTION ON THAT?

19

20 SUP. MOLINA: YES. I OBJECT.

- 22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. IS THERE ANY OTHER OBJECTION, OR
- 23 SHOULD WE CALL THE ROLL? SHOULD THE RECORD SHOW -- THE RECORD
- 24 WILL THEN SHOW THAT THE AMENDMENT TO 53 TO INCREASE REGIONAL



- 1 PLANNING TO ADDITIONAL FUNDS FOR THE FIELD OFFICES, I BELIEVE
- 2 IT'S 168,000 FOR DOWNTOWN AND --

3

4 C.A.O. JANSSEN: 289.

5

6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: 289.

7

8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: 289, FOR THE FIELD OFFICES.

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ARE YOU DOING THE OTHER MOTIONS AT THIS TIME
- 11 ALSO?

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I'M JUST DOING THIS ONE RIGHT NOW, FIRST,
- 14 WHICH IS THE 289 FOR FIELD OFFICES --

15

16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU'RE NOT GOING THE WHOLE ITEM, THE 53?

17

18 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: NO. THIS IS THE FIRST AMENDMENT TO 53.

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AN AMENDMENT TO 53 AND THERE WILL BE OTHERS.
- 21 OKAY.

- 23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. IS THERE OBJECTION TO THIS? AND
- 24 WE HAVE ONE OBJECTION, WHICH IS MOLINA. SO THE RECORD SHOULD



- 1 SHOW THAT THIS IS 4-TO-1 ON THE FIRST AMENDMENT TO 53. ARE
- 2 THERE OTHER AMENDMENTS TO 53?

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I HAVE ONE FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC
- 5 WORKS. THEY HAVE HAD A NET COUNTY COST ALLOCATION APPROVED IN
- 6 THEIR ANNUAL BUDGET FOR SPECIAL PROJECTS IN THE PUBLIC WAYS,
- 7 PUBLIC FACILITIES GENERAL FUND BUDGET. THIS ALLOCATION FUNDED
- 8 EMERGENCY OR URGENCY NEEDED PROJECTS SUCH AS LANDSLIDE
- 9 MITIGATION, UNRELATED TO FLOOD CONTROL AND ROAD PROJECTS OR
- 10 RIGHT-OF-WAYS THAT COULD NOT BE FINANCED USING RESTRICTED
- 11 FUNDS. AS A RESULT OF THE STATE'S BUDGET DEFICIT, ALLOCATION
- 12 FOR THESE PROGRAMS WAS ELIMINATED AS A MEASURE TO ABSORB THE
- 13 FUNDING CURTAILMENTS THAT THE STATE IS PASSING ON TO THE
- 14 COUNTY. PUBLIC WORKS, OF COURSE, RESPONDS TO EMERGENCY AND
- 15 URGENTLY NEEDED PROJECTS AS IDENTIFIED BY THE BOARD THAT ARE
- 16 NOT ELIGIBLE FOR FUNDING. HOWEVER, GENERAL FUNDS WILL THEN BE
- 17 NEEDED TO BE APPROPRIATED FOR PUBLIC WORKS. IT SEEMS MORE
- 18 EFFICIENT THAT THE HISTORICAL N.C.C. FUNDING TO PUBLIC WORKS
- 19 CONTINUE, SO I MOVE THAT THE C.A.O. RESTORE \$200,000 TO THE
- 20 FISCAL YEAR 2003/'04 PUBLIC WAYS, PUBLIC FACILITIES GENERAL
- 21 FUND BUDGET FOR SPECIAL PROJECTS. THAT'S FOR EMERGENCIES.

22

23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IS THERE A SECOND ON THAT?

24

25 SUP. MOLINA: WHERE'S IT COME FROM? WHERE DOES IT COME FROM?



1

- 2 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THIS IS AN ITEM. IT WOULD COME OUT OF THE
- 3 CONTINGENCY. THIS IS AN ITEM THAT WE PROPOSED ELIMINATION OF
- 4 AS PART OF THE BUDGET THIS YEAR, AND IT WAS -- IT IS A
- 5 DISCRETIONARY ITEM IN THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT, AND WE FELT
- 6 THAT THERE WERE OTHER PRIORITIES THAT WERE HIGHER AND THE
- 7 MOTION IS TO ADD THE 200,000 BACK.

8

9 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND THIS IS MONEY WE TOOK OUT?

10

- 11 C.A.O. JANSSEN: IT PREVIOUSLY WAS IN THE BUDGET, HAS BEEN IN
- 12 THE BUDGET FOR YEARS. IT WAS TAKEN OUT IN JUNE AS PART OF THE
- 13 APPROVAL OF THE BUDGET.

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND WHY -- WE TOOK IT OUT. DID WE PUT IT
- 16 SOMEWHERE ELSE?

17

18 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO. IT WAS SIMPLY ONE OF THE CUTS.

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MADAM CHAIR, I HAVE A PROCEDURAL QUESTION.
- 21 CAN WE SEE ALL THE MOTIONS THAT ARE COMING IN?

22

23 SUP. MOLINA: NO THAT ONE JUST POPPED UP.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT'S USUALLY THE WAY WE DO THE BUDGETS. I
- 2 WAS NOT AWARE OF THIS ONE AND NEITHER WAS MY STAFF.

3

4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IS MR. NOYES HERE? JIM NOYES?

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ARE THERE ANY OTHER MOTIONS? I MEAN I KNOW I
- 7 HAVE ONE THAT'S BEEN ON THE AGENDA THAT WAS KICKED OVER TO
- 8 THIS ON THE HOMELESS THING. I DON'T KNOW IF THERE ARE OTHERS.

9

10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NO.

11

- 12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: DID YOU SAY YOU WERE GOING TO PUT THAT ONE
- 13 OVER?

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO. ONLY IF I DON'T -- ONLY IF THERE AREN'T
- 16 ENOUGH VOTES, BUT THAT'LL BE UP TO EVERYBODY ELSE.

17

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: JIM? THAT'S THE ONLY OTHER MOTION I HAD, WAS
- 19 THIS ONE.

20

21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: DOES ANYONE ELSE HAVE OTHER MOTIONS?

22

23 SUP. KNABE: WELL JUST DEPENDS. IF THERE'S A RUN, I'M PREPARED.

24

25 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: RIGHT. [LIGHT LAUGHTER]



1

2 SUP. ANTONOVICH: ON THE \$200,000 REQUEST, MR. NOYES?

3

- 4 JIM NOYES: YES, SUPERVISOR. AS THE C.A.O. INDICATED, THIS IS
- 5 AN ITEM FOR EXPENSES THAT WE INCUR ON OCCASION, WHICH CANNOT
- 6 BE FUNDED OUT OF OUR REGULAR NORMAL TYPICAL SPECIAL DISTRICT
- 7 FUNDS, LIKE THE FLOOD FUND, THE ROAD FUND, THE WATERWORKS
- 8 DISTRICT FUND. SEVERAL YEARS AGO, IT WAS IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD
- 9 OF \$600,000, AND IT WAS CUT IN THE BUDGET CRISIS OF 10 YEARS
- 10 AGO. WE PUT IT IN OUR BUDGET FOR THIS FISCAL YEAR. THE C.A.O.
- 11 FELT THAT THERE WERE OTHER HIGHER PRIORITIES AND ELIMINATED
- 12 IT, AND WE FELT WE COULD TRY TO LIVE WITHOUT IT, AND THE
- 13 MOTION HERE IS AN ATTEMPT TO PUT IT BACK. IT'S TYPICALLY USED
- 14 FOR LANDSLIDE MITIGATION PROJECTS THAT WE CAN'T COVER ANY
- 15 OTHER WAYS OR FOR OTHER WORK, LIKE GRAFFITI REMOVAL ON PRIVATE
- 16 PROPERTY THAT WE DON'T HAVE ANY FUNDING FOR, AND A WHOLE
- 17 VARIETY OF USES THAT AREN'T COVERED BY OUR NORMAL FUNDING
- 18 SOURCES.

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WAS THERE ANYTHING ELSE RESTORED TO PUBLIC
- 21 WORKS IN YOUR OVERALL PACKAGE?

22

23 **JIM NOYES:** NOT THAT I'M AWARE OF, SUPERVISOR.

24

25 C.A.O. JANSSEN: AS PART OF THE JUNE ACTIONS, YOU MEAN?



1

2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS IT -- DOES THIS INVOLVE ANY PERSONNEL,

3 THIS \$200,000?

4

- 5 JIM NOYES: THERE MIGHT BE SOME MINIMAL PERSONNEL EXPENSES
- 6 ASSOCIATED, BUT TYPICALLY IT GOES FOR -- THERE WERE NO STAFF
- 7 CUTS BECAUSE --

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WHAT DOES IT GO FOR, WHAT IS THE 200 --
- 10 WHAT WILL THE MONEY BE SPENT ON?

11

- 12 JIM NOYES: RIGHT NOW, WE HAVE NO -- NOTHING IN MIND FOR
- 13 EXPENSES. WE'VE USED IT IN THE PAST WHEN SITUATIONS ARISE THAT
- 14 OUR NORMAL FUNDING SOURCES, OUR SPECIAL DISTRICT FUNDINGS
- 15 WOULD NOT ALLOW US TO COVER. FOR INSTANCE, A COMMON
- 16 EXPENDITURE HISTORICALLY HAS BEEN LANDSLIDES THAT COULDN'T BE
- 17 COVERED THROUGH OUR FLOOD CONTROL FUND OR OUR GAS TAX ROAD
- 18 MONEYS OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT.

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HOW MUCH IS THE FUND, TOTAL? WHAT IS THE
- 21 TOTAL AMOUNT OF THE FUND? IS IT \$200,000?

22

23 **JIM NOYES:** FOR PUBLIC WASTE, PUBLIC FACILITIES, YES.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO YOU GET A LANDSLIDE AND YOU HAVE \$200,000
- 2 FOR LANDSLIDES COUNTY-WIDE?

3

- 4 JIM NOYES: IT -- THE MONEY CAN BE USED ANYWHERE IN THE COUNTY,
- 5 RIGHT, BUT IT DOESN'T NECESSARILY --

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I MEAN ONE LANDSLIDE WILL COST YOU \$200,000,
- 8 A LITTLE DINKY LANDSLIDE WILL COST YOU \$200,000.

9

- 10 JIM NOYES: IT COULD VERY WELL HAPPEN THAT WAY, SUPERVISOR,
- 11 YES.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS THIS A TOP PRIORITY THING? IS THIS
- 14 SOMETHING YOU'VE REQUESTED, OR --

15

- 16 JIM NOYES: WE PUT IT IN OUR BUDGET BECAUSE THERE ALWAYS SEEMS
- 17 TO BE EXPENSES THAT COME ALONG WHERE WE FIND IT NECESSARY TO
- 18 USE THIS. WE HAVEN'T USED THE FULL \$200,000 IN THE LAST
- 19 PROBABLY FIVE OR SIX YEARS.

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HOW MUCH HAVE YOU USED ON THE AVERAGE IN THE
- 22 LAST FIVE OR SIX YEARS?

- 24 JIM NOYES: I'M GUESSING SUPERVISOR BUT PROBABLY A HUNDRED TO
- 25 \$120,000.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THEN WHY DON'T MIKE, WHY DON'T YOU MODIFY IT
- 3 TO A HUNDRED THOUSAND, AND IF HE NEEDS MORE DURING THE YEAR,
- 4 HE CAN COME BACK AND ASK US.

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THAT'S FINE, AND IF YOU NEED IT, JIM, COME
- 7 BACK AND WE'LL COMMIT TO GIVE YOU THE --

8

9 JIM NOYES: YES, SIR.

10

11 SUP. KNABE: AND MADAM CHAIR I JUST HAD A QUESTION.

12

13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YES?

14

- 15 SUP. KNABE: MR. NOYES, SINCE YOU NEED THIS HUNDRED THOUSAND
- 16 DOLLARS, AND YET YOU SO WILLINGLY AGREED TO SPEND \$5 MILLION A
- 17 YEAR FOR THE NEXT 10 YEARS, WHERE ARE YOU GOING TO GET THAT
- 18 MONEY FOR THE STORM WATER SETTLEMENT?

19

20 JIM NOYES: THE STORM WATER --

21

- 22 SUP. KNABE: I MEAN I JUST, THE DOLLARS DON'T MAKE SENSE TO ME
- HERE.



- 1 JIM NOYES: THE COSTS ON THE STORM WATER PROGRAM HAVE YET TO BE
- 2 DETERMINED AS TO HOW WE'RE GOING TO FINANCE WHAT NEEDS TO COME
- 3 --

4

- 5 SUP. KNABE: AND WE AGREED TO 50 MILLION. I MEAN THAT'S WHAT
- 6 YOU'RE SAYING, SO I MEAN I --

7

- 8 JIM NOYES: WELL, OUR COSTS, SUPERVISOR, THE 50 MILLION I THINK
- 9 YOU'RE REFERRING TO IS TO COVER THE COST FOR THE TRASH
- 10 T.M.D.L. IN THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS. BECAUSE THAT TRASH
- ORIGINATES ON COUNTY ROADS, WE THINK THE BULK, IF NOT ALL OF
- 12 THAT, WILL COME OUT OF GAS TAX FUNDS, WHICH CAN BE SPENT ON
- 13 COUNTY ROADWAYS.

14

- 15 SUP. KNABE: WELL, I MEAN, I GUESS, YOU KNOW, I SECONDED MR.
- 16 ANTONOVICH'S MOTION, BUT, I MEAN, THIS IS NICKEL/DIME STUFF
- 17 COMPARED TO WHAT, YOU KNOW, I FELT WAS A TURNAROUND ON THE
- 18 DEPARTMENT'S BEHALF TO OBLIGATE US FOR SOME EXORBITANT AMOUNT
- 19 OF SETTLEMENT. SO I MEAN I'M, MAN, NOT MAKING SENSE TO ME.

20

- 21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. LET'S CALL THE ROLL ON THIS. IS
- 22 IT -- YOU REDUCED IT TO A HUNDRED THOUSAND?

23

24 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YES.



```
CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: SUPERVISOR MOLINA?
2
3
    SUP. MOLINA: NO.
4
5
    CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?
6
7
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THIS IS ON THE HUNDRED THOUSAND?
8
9
    SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: UH-HUH.
10
    CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: YES.
11
12
13
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YES.
14
15
    CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: SUPERVISOR KNABE?
16
    SUP. KNABE: FOR THAT MINI LANDSLIDE, YES.
17
18
19
    CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?
20
    SUP. ANTONOVICH: AYE.
21
22
23
    CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: AND SUPERVISOR BURKE?
24
    SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AYE.
25
```

24

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 **CLERK VARONA-LUKENS:** THE MOTION CARRIES 4-TO-1. 2 3 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT, ARE THERE OTHER MOTIONS? 4 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU HAD MY MOTION THAT WAS MOVED OVER TO 6 7 THIS, AND I WOULD --8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YEAH. I HAVE SOME QUESTIONS. THIS IS FOR 9 ONE YEAR. WHAT HAPPENS IN THE FUTURE? 10 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT'S A PILOT. I THINK WE'LL -- MY INTENT IS 12 THAT WE WOULD EVALUATE IT AFTER A YEAR DURING THE COURSE OF 13 THE YEAR AND AFTER THE YEAR AND DETERMINE WHETHER WE WANT TO 14 15 CONTINUE IT, AND IF OH, WE'LL HAVE TO IDENTIFY FUTURE FUNDS. 16 BUT RIGHT NOW THE INTENT IS FOR A ONE YEAR PILOT FOR YEAR ROUND AND TO DO IT THROUGH L.A.H.S.A. I THINK THAT'S THE WAY 17 18 WE MAXIMIZE THE --19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ARE THERE OTHER QUESTIONS? 20 21 22 SUP. KNABE: YEAH I DO, I DO, MADAM CHAIR. 23

SUP. MOLINA: I UNDERSTAND THAT THIS ITEM WAS GOING TO BE

CONTINUED 'CAUSE THERE WERE AMENDMENTS THAT WERE MADE.



1 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO. 3 SUP. MOLINA: SO YOU'RE -- DIDN'T YOU AMEND IT TO ADD CAPITAL 4 5 COSTS? 6 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH, THAT IS IN THE AMENDMENT. THAT'S IN 8 THE MOTION. 9 SUP. MOLINA: WELL, THEN I'M NOT SUPPORTING CAPITAL COSTS. 10 11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT, WOULD YOU LIKE TO PUT THIS OVER? 12 13 SUP. KNABE: MADAM, MADAM CHAIR? MADAM CHAIR? 14 15 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL I -- ALL THE MOTIONS --17 18 SUP. MOLINA: I'M WILLING TO SUPPORT IT, BUT I'M NOT WILLING TO 19 SUPPORT IT IF IT ADD ADDITIONAL CAPITAL -- ANY CAPITAL COSTS. 20 21 SUP. KNABE: MADAM CHAIR? 22 23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR KNABE? 24



- 1 SUP. KNABE: I GUESS MY FEELING WAS WOULD BE TO SPLIT THE
- 2 QUESTION, TO SUPPORT THE COMMITMENT OF THE HALF A MILLION
- 3 DOLLARS OUT OF THE VALENTINE FUND TO COVER THE F.E.M.A.
- 4 SHORTFALL, FOR THE COMING WINTER CYCLE, BUT TO REQUEST THE
- 5 C.A.O. TO REPORT BACK ON THE ADDITIONAL LONG-TERM FUNDING
- 6 OPTIONS TO SUSTAIN THIS YEAR-ROUND HOMELESS SHELTER PROGRAM.
- 7 IN OTHER WORDS, I MEAN, THAT SEEMS TO BE THE ISSUE. IT'S A
- 8 ONE-TIME FUND. WHAT ARE OUR OPTIONS? I MEAN, LIKE AS ZEV SAYS,
- 9 IF IT'S SUCCESSFUL, WE'LL HAVE TO FIND THE MONEY, BUT WHAT ARE
- 10 OUR OPTIONS BEFORE WE COMMIT TO THE ONE-TIME FUNDING? CAN WE
- 11 AT LEAST GET A REPORT BACK FROM THE C.A.O. WHAT OUR OPTIONS
- 12 MIGHT BE TO SUSTAIN THAT FUNDING? IN THE MEANTIME, GO AHEAD
- 13 AND SUPPORT THE \$500,000 TO COME OUT OF THE VALENTINE FUND TO
- 14 COVER THE F.E.M.A. SHORTFALL. IS THAT AGREEABLE TO EVERYONE?
- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, BUT I JUST -- FIRST OF ALL, I THINK WE
- 17 OUGHT TO HEAR FROM THE FOLKS WHO ARE HERE AND JUST SO WE --
- 18 THE CITY, WHICH WENT ALONG ON A YEAR-ROUND PROGRAM I BELIEVE
- 19 ALSO DID IT ONE YEAR AT A TIME. IS THAT CORRECT?
- 21 MITCHELL NETBURN: CORRECT.
- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND WHERE ARE THEY, THIS IS THE CONCLUSION
- 24 OF THE ONE YEAR COMING UP?

25

15

20



- 1 MITCHELL NETBURN: NOVEMBER 30TH WILL BE THE END OF THE YEAR
- 2 THEY ALLOCATE ADDITIONAL FUNDS FOR.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND I READ SOMEWHERE WHERE COUNCILMAN PERRY
- 5 IS ASKING THE COUNCIL TO CONSIDER EXTENDING IT FOR ANOTHER
- 6 YEAR?

7

8 MITCHELL NETBURN: CORRECT

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: DO YOU WANT TO IDENTIFY YOURSELVES AND SPEAK
- 11 TO ANY ASPECT OF THIS?

- 13 MITCHELL NETBURN: SURE. MITCHELL NETBURN, THE EXECUTIVE
- 14 DIRECTOR OF THE L.A.HOMELESS SERVICES AUTHORITY, L.A.H.S.A.
- 15 FIRST OF ALL, YOU KNOW, THANK YOU FOR JUST EVEN CONSIDERING
- 16 THIS MOTION. AS SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY SAID, THE CITY HAD
- 17 GIVEN US SOME FUNDS ONE TIME LAST YEAR TO EXTEND THE PROGRAM
- 18 BEYOND ITS NORMAL CLOSURE DATE OF MARCH 15TH. FRANKLY, NONE OF
- 19 US KNEW WHAT THE UTILIZATION WAS GOING TO BE. WE'VE NEVER BEEN
- 20 OPEN WITH THIS PROGRAM DURING THE SPRING, SUMMER, AND FALL
- 21 MONTHS, AND WE'VE BEEN OPERATING AT OVER A HUNDRED PERCENT
- 22 CAPACITY IN THOSE SITES. SO CLEARLY IN THE CITY, IT HAS BEEN A
- 23 TREMENDOUS SUCCESS. THE COUNTY SITES ARE SOMEWHAT DIFFERENT
- 24 THAN THE CITY SITES AND DIFFERENT ISSUES, SO WHILE WE WOULD
- 25 EXPECT THERE TO BE VERY HIGH UTILIZATION, WE VIEW THE COUNTY



- 1 PROJECT AS ALSO A PILOT TO SEE HOW WELL IT WOULD WORK WITHIN
- 2 THE COUNTY. THE CITY HAD SIMILAR DISCUSSIONS AND CONCERNS YOU
- 3 HAD WITH REGARD TO WHETHER OR NOT, YOU KNOW, TO DO SOMETHING
- 4 THAT THEY MIGHT ONLY HAVE FUNDING FOR ONE YEAR. AND IN THE
- 5 END, THEY DECIDED TO DO IT. AND WHEN THEY VIEWED IT AS A PILOT
- 6 THE OPPORTUNITY TO ATTRACT SOME ADDITIONAL FUNDS, WHICH I CAN
- 7 TALK ABOUT IN A MINUTE THAT WE HAVE BEEN ABLE TO DO, AND, TWO,
- 8 I THINK THEY JUST FELT THAT EVEN IF IT WAS ONLY FOR ONE YEAR,
- 9 IT STILL TOOK LITERALLY HUNDREDS OF PEOPLE OFF THE STREET,
- 10 PROVIDED THEM WITH SHELTER, ULTIMATELY DECREASED COSTS IN
- 11 TERMS OF VISITS TO EMERGENCY ROOMS, ET CETERA, AND ALSO MANY
- 12 OF THOSE PEOPLE THEN MOVED INTO MUCH MORE STABLE HOUSING SO
- 13 THEY'RE PERMANENTLY OFF THE STREETS. AND THIS UNLIKE OTHER
- 14 PROGRAMS, THERE'S VERY LITTLE START-UP FUNDS HERE, VERY LITTLE
- 15 GOES TO REHAB. VIRTUALLY ALL THE MONEY GOES TO SERVICES, SO
- 16 EVEN IF, GOD FORBID WE DIDN'T GET MORE MONEY FROM THE CITY,
- 17 IT'S NOT AS THOUGH EVEN 10% OF THAT FUNDS HAVE SORT OF GONE TO
- 18 SOMETHING THAT WON'T BE ONGOING. MOST OF IT PAYS JUST FOR
- 19 MEALS, THE SHELTER STAFF, THE BASIC COSTS.

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: DO YOU CONCEIVE OF ANYTHING YOU WOULD USE

- 22 THIS TWO AND A HALF MILLION FOR IN THE WAY OF CAPITAL COSTS AT
- 23 THIS POINT?

24



- 1 MITCHELL NETBURN: CAPITAL IS JUST BUILT IN. WE PAY THIS BASED
- 2 UPON A DAY RATE, AND BUILT INTO THAT, THE PROVIDERS NEED TO
- 3 DO, REALLY, IT'S MINOR MODIFICATION. IT'S NOT ACQUISITION,
- 4 IT'S NOT MAJOR RENOVATIONS, INCLUDES THINGS SUCH AS MAKING
- 5 FACILITIES A.D.A. ACCESSIBLE, MEETING BUILDING AND FIRE SAFETY
- 6 CODES, USUALLY THEY REQUIRE ADDITIONAL BATHROOMS, SHOWERS.
- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT KIND OF THING. IT'S NOT A MAJOR
- 9 CAPITAL.

7

10

12

18

21

- 11 MITCHELL NETBURN: CORRECT.
- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: DO YOU BELIEVE THAT IF THE LANGUAGE THAT'S
- 14 IN MY MOTION NOW THAT ALLOWS YOU TO USE IT FOR CAPITAL WERE
- 15 TAKEN OUT, THAT YOU WOULD STILL HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO USE IT
- 16 FOR THOSE KINDS OF A.D.A., AND MEETING A.D.A. REQUIREMENTS AND
- 17 THOSE KINDS OF MINOR --
- 19 MITCHELL NETBURN: ASSUMING -- I BELIEVE THAT THE ULTIMATE
- 20 SOURCE OF THESE FUNDS IS COUNTY GENERAL FUNDS IS THAT --
- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I DIDN'T HEAR YOU.
- 24 MITCHELL NETBURN: THE SOURCE OF THESE FUNDS ARE COUNTY GENERAL
- 25 FUNDS.



1
2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: FOR YOUR PURPOSES, YEAH I THINK THAT WAS.

3

- 4 MITCHELL NETBURN: YEAH, THEN WE WOULD BE ABLE TO USE IT THEN,
- 5 THE REASON I ASK THAT, THAT IF, YOU KNOW, SOME CERTAIN -- IF
- 6 IT WAS, YOU KNOW, PASSED THROUGH A FEDERAL FUND SOME OF THOSE
- 7 HAVE REQUIREMENTS.

8

9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO, IT'S NOT FEDERAL FUNDS.

10

- 11 MITCHELL NETBURN: BUT IF IT'S NOT YEAH THEN THOSE THINGS, JUST
- 12 AS WE HAVE CURRENTLY DONE IT, WE WOULD BE ALLOWED TO MAKE
- 13 THOSE MODIFICATIONS.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND IF YOU -- IF EVER A -- 'CAUSE I KNOW
- 16 THIS IS AN ISSUE THAT MR. ANTONOVICH WAS CONCERNED ABOUT, AND
- 17 I WANT TO BE SENSITIVE TO THAT. IF THERE EVER WAS AN ISSUE
- 18 WHERE A -- MORE THAN A MINOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT WAS ON YOUR
- 19 AGENDA, YOU COULD COME BACK -- YOU WOULD COME BACK TO, I MEAN
- 20 YOU WOULD NOT HAVE THE AUTHORITY UNDER THIS, IF I PULLED THAT
- 21 OUT, BUT YOU WOULD BE ABLE TO COME BACK HERE AND SEEK OUR
- 22 APPROVAL FOR SUCH AN EXPENDITURE I WOULD IMAGINE.

23

24 MITCHELL NETBURN: CERTAINLY. ABSOLUTELY, CURRENTLY --



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT THAT WOULD NOT BE YOUR EXPECTATION.

2

- 3 MITCHELL NETBURN: CORRECT. WE HAVE, UP TO DATE IN THIS
- 4 PROGRAM, WE HAVE NOT FUNDED THOSE TYPES OF CAPITAL
- 5 EXPENDITURES. CERTAINLY WE'D BE OPEN TO IT, AND IF THERE WAS
- 6 FUNDING AND ULTIMATELY --

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I DON'T THINK ANY OF US WANT YOU TO SPEND A
- 9 LOT OF THIS MONEY ON CAPITAL EXPENDITURES, THIS IS PRIMARILY
- 10 IT'S FOR PEOPLE, AND I THINK THAT'S WHAT -- I ASSUME THAT'S
- 11 WHAT MS. MOLINA'S RETICENCE IS, AND I DON'T DISAGREE WITH
- 12 THAT. MIKE, WOULD YOU BE OKAY WITH THAT EXPLANATION?

13

14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND I HAVE ONE AMENDMENT.

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY WOULD -- BUT BEFORE YOU DO THAT, CAN I
- 17 JUST ASK YOU, WOULD YOU BE OKAY THEN IF I TOOK THAT OUT? WITH
- 18 THAT EXPLANATION, SO I'M GOING TO --

19

20 SUP. MOLINA: REMOVE THE AMENDMENT.

21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'M REMOVING THAT LANGUAGE, FOR DEALING WITH
- 23 --



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I WOULD REALLY LIKE TO KNOW HOW -- WHAT
- 2 THEY PLAN -- HOW THEY PLAN TO SPEND IT AND WHERE. MY
- 3 UNDERSTANDING, THE R.F.P.'S DON'T COME BACK UNTIL THE 26TH.
- 4 NOW ORIGINALLY MY UNDERSTANDING WAS THAT THE SHORTFALL WAS
- 5 BECAUSE OF F.E.M.A. NOW, HAS F.E.M.A. -- IS F.E.M.A. PROVIDING
- 6 THOSE FUNDS NOW?

7

- 8 MITCHELL NETBURN: THIS -- IT'S NOT THAT F.E.M.A. HAS REDUCED
- 9 THE FUNDS, WHAT'S HAPPENED IS TRADITIONALLY THE L.A.H.S.A.
- 10 COMMISSION HAS ALLOCATED A HUNDRED PERCENT OF THE F.E.M.A.
- 11 DOLLARS, EVEN THOUGH IT IS FOR BOTH THE CITY AND THE COUNTY OF
- 12 L.A. DUE TO INCREASES WE HAVE RECEIVED FROM THE CITY FOR THIS
- 13 PROGRAM SEVERAL YEARS AGO, WE ALLOCATED A HUNDRED PERCENT OF
- 14 THE F.E.M.A. DOLLARS TO THE COUNTY. LAST YEAR, IF YOU MIGHT
- 15 REMEMBER, THERE WAS A SHORTFALL ON THE COUNTY WINTER SHELTER
- 16 PROGRAM OF ABOUT \$250,000 WHICH THE CITY AGREED TO PAY IT AND
- 17 HAS NOT ASKED FOR REIMBURSEMENT FOR, SO THE CITY PAID FOR
- 18 CLIENTS THAT WERE BEING SERVED IN SOME OF THE COUNTY SITES,
- 19 BUT WHAT IT ALERTED THE CITY TO WAS THAT THEY HAVE NEVER
- 20 RECEIVED, QUOTE, THEIR SHARE OF THOSE F.E.M.A. DOLLARS AND
- 21 WHAT THEY'RE SAYING NOW IS A CONTINUED RECEIPT OF CITY FUNDS
- 22 IS GOING TO BE CONTINGENT UPON THEIR RECEIVING THEIR, QUOTE,
- 23 FAIR ALLOCATION OF THOSE -- THE F.E.M.A. DOLLARS.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL, AT THAT TIME, MY RECOLLECTION IS
- 2 COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY INDICATED THAT WHILE THE COUNTY
- 3 HAD NOT PAID INTO THE PROGRAM, THEY HAD PROVIDED FACILITY, AND
- 4 A LOT OF THE DOLLARS HAD GONE FOR CAPITAL FACILITIES IN PLACE
- 5 OF THAT. THAT WAS THE RESPONSE THAT CARLOS JACKSON GAVE.

6

- 7 MITCHELL NETBURN: WITH ALL DUE RESPECT, BUT WE HAVE -- I THINK
- 8 THAT WAS RELATED TO ANOTHER ISSUE. WITH THIS PROGRAM THE ONLY
- 9 -- WE DO USE ARMORIES, AND WE RECEIVE STATE MONEY TO PAY THE
- 10 RENT IN THE ARMORIES. THE ARMORIES ALMOST ALL OF THEM ARE IN
- 11 THE -- ARE WITHIN THE COUNTY, BUT WE HAVE NEVER USED, AS FAR
- 12 AS I KNOW, A COUNTY FACILITY FOR THIS.

13

- 14 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: NO. THERE WERE DOLLARS FOR SOME HOMELESS
- 15 FACILITIES THAT WERE PROVIDED THROUGH INDUSTRY AND OTHER FUNDS
- 16 THAT THE COUNTY PROVIDED, AND THEY FELT THAT THAT WAS THEIR
- 17 CONTRIBUTION, WHERE THE CITY HAD CONTINUED TO PROVIDE CASH
- 18 DOLLARS, AND MOST OF THE COUNTY FACILITIES WERE RECEIVING
- 19 F.E.M.A. DOLLARS.

20

- 21 MITCHELL NETBURN: RIGHT THAT WAS RELATED TO EMERGENCY
- 22 TRANSITIONAL AND PERMANENT HOUSING, NOT TO THIS PROGRAM, WHICH
- 23 IS REALLY SEPARATE. THIS IS AN OVERNIGHT PROGRAM.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: BUT THIS IS THE ONE WHERE THERE WAS A
- 2 DEFICIT, THAT THE CITY KEPT SAYING, YOU ARE NOT -- THE COUNTY
- 3 HAS NOT PROVIDED THE MONEY. WHAT HAD HAPPENED, AS I UNDERSTAND
- 4 IT, IS THAT MANY OF OUR WINTER PROGRAMS, WHO WERE RECEIVING
- 5 F.E.M.A., COULD NOT RECEIVE IT LAST YEAR BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T
- 6 APPLY DIRECTLY TO F.E.M.A., THEY HAD GONE THROUGH SOME OTHER
- 7 AGENCY. WAS THAT CORRECT?

8

- 9 MITCHELL NETBURN: CORRECT. WHAT HAPPENED WAS IT WAS -- THE
- 10 NATIONAL BOARD SAID TO THE LOCAL BOARD, "WE DON'T CONTROL
- 11 THESE DOLLARS." THERE'S A LOCAL BOARD, AND THEY HAVE ALWAYS
- 12 GIVEN US THE MONEY, AND THEY COULD ONLY TRANSFER THEIR FUNDS
- 13 TO AGENCIES THEY HAD EXISTING CONTRACTS WITH.

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: RIGHT. AND NONE OF OUR AGENCIES HAD
- 16 CONTRACTS DIRECTLY WITH F.E.M.A.

17

- 18 MITCHELL NETBURN: SOME DID, BUT NOT ENOUGH TO TRANSFER THE
- 19 FULL 800,000 TO THE COUNTY SITES.

20

- 21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SO THE CITY CAME IN AND MADE UP THOSE
- 22 FUNDS.

23

24 MITCHELL NETBURN: CORRECT.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OUR RESPONSE AT THAT TIME WAS, IT'S TRUE,
- 2 WE HAVEN'T PUT THE CASH IN, BUT WE PUT OTHER THINGS IN. THAT
- 3 WAS THE RESPONSE OF THE COUNTY. SO I WOULD REALLY JUST LIKE TO
- 4 KNOW HOW YOU PLAN TO SPEND IT AND WHERE.

- 6 MITCHELL NETBURN: RIGHT, WE, I MEAN WHAT WE'LL DO IS, YOU
- 7 KNOW, AS WE'VE DONE TRADITIONALLY, IS ALLOCATE THE BEDS
- 8 THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY EQUITABLY, JUST LIKE WE DO WITH THE
- 9 FEDERAL FUNDS WE RECEIVE, YOU KNOW, ROUGHLY \$30 MILLION THAT
- 10 WE DISTRIBUTE. WE HAVE AN -- SORT OF A TARGET ALLOCATION OF
- 11 BOTH DOLLARS AND BEDS PER EACH OF THE EIGHT SERVICE PLANNING
- 12 AREAS, WHICH IS HOW WE DO OUR PLANNING. OUR R.F.P. ALLOWS US
- 13 TO ACTUALLY JUMP RANK, WE DON'T HAVE TO FUND PROPOSALS IN PURE
- 14 RANK ORDER. WE ARE ALLOWED TO JUMP RANK TO ENSURE GEOGRAPHIC
- 15 DISTRIBUTION. SO WHILE I CAN'T GIVE YOU SPECIFICS YET BECAUSE
- 16 THE PROVIDERS HAVEN'T PROPOSED TO US, WHEN WE LOOK AT THE
- 17 PROPOSALS, ALL THAT SCORE ABOVE A MINIMUM PASSING SCORE, WE
- 18 THEN REALLY, YOU KNOW, ALMOST TAKE OUT A MAP AND SAY, OKAY,
- 19 THIS AGENCY IS POSING THIS NUMBER OF BEDS IN THIS AREA, AND WE
- 20 LOOK AT THE TOTALITY AND ENSURE THAT THERE'S ADEQUATE
- 21 DISTRIBUTION, AND SO WE WOULD -- THAT IS STATED IN THE R.F.P.
- 22 ULTIMATELY THE L.A.H.S.A. COMMISSION MAKES THAT DECISION. WE
- 23 AS STAFF WOULD PRESENT RECOMMENDATION TO THEM, BUT I CAN
- 24 ASSURE YOU THAT AS LONG AS WE HAVE ADEQUATE PROPOSALS, WE WILL



- 1 ENSURE ADEQUATE DISTRIBUTION THROUGHOUT THE EIGHT SERVICE
- 2 PLANNING AREAS AS WELL AS THE FIVE SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS.

3

4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR KNABE.

5

- 6 SUP. KNABE: MADAM CHAIR? HOW DOES THIS RELATIONSHIP OR PART OF
- 7 THIS MOTION AFFECT AS AN EXAMPLE THE ONGOING SITUATION WITH
- 8 LONG BEACH?

9

- 10 MITCHELL NETBURN: WELL CURRENTLY, I THINK WE FUND ABOUT 200
- 11 BEDS.

12

13 **SPEAKER:** CORRECT.

14

- 15 MITCHELL NETBURN: IN LONG BEACH. WHAT, YOU KNOW, WE WOULD
- 16 ANTICIPATE THAT THAT PROVIDER, WHO IS HERE TODAY, WOULD -- IS
- 17 GOING TO APPLY AGAIN AND CAN'T PREJUDGE IT, BUT, YOU KNOW,
- 18 TRADITIONALLY HAVE DONE THE GOOD SCORES, SO THE ASSUMPTION IS
- 19 WE WOULD HAVE AT LEAST THAT SAME NUMBER OF BEDS IN THE LONG
- 20 BEACH AREA. WHAT THIS MOTION WOULD ALLOW US TO DO IS
- 21 POTENTIALLY EXTEND THOSE BEDS BEYOND THEIR NORMAL CLOSURE DATE
- 22 OF MARCH 15TH, SO SOME OR ALL OF THEM WOULD STAY OPEN THROUGH
- 23 NOVEMBER 30TH OF 2004.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I HAVE A MOTION. ACCORDING TO REPORTS, THE
- 2 SAN GABRIEL VALLEY COALITION IS NOT CAPABLE OF OPERATING A
- 3 YEAR-ROUND SHELTER. THAT THEY NEED TO FIND A PERMANENT HOME
- 4 BEFORE THEY CAN EXTEND THEIR EMERGENCY HOUR SHELTERS, AND I
- 5 WOULD MOVE THAT THE L.A.H.S.A. INCLUDE IN THEIR REPORT A PLAN
- 6 ON HOW THEY WILL USE A PORTION OF THOSE FUNDS TO ASSIST THOSE
- 7 AGENCIES THAT ARE NOT CAPABLE OF OPERATING A YEAR-ROUND
- 8 SHELTER, INCLUDING THE EAST SAN GABRIEL VALLEY COALITION.

9

- 10 MITCHELL NETBURN: YEAH WE'LL CERTAINLY LOOK AT THAT AND WE
- 11 HAVE MET WITH THEM DIRECTLY TO ADVISE THEM.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MADAM CHAIR, I WOULD MOVE AN AMENDMENT TO
- 14 STRIKE THE BOLD PART OF ITEM 1 IN MY MOTION, WHICH REMOVES THE
- 15 REFERENCE TO CAPITAL PURPOSES, AND I WOULD MOVE THE ITEM AS
- 16 AMENDED. AND I WOULD ASK IF YOU WOULD JUST --

17

18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: INCLUDING MY AMENDMENT.

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOUR AMENDMENT IS WHAT I'M TALKING ABOUT
- 21 YEAH. IS THAT YOU WOULD SHARE WITH THE BOARD WHEN YOU -- AS
- 22 YOU MOVE ALONG THE PLANNING PROCESS, OF HOW YOU ARE GOING TO
- 23 SPEND THE MONEY, THAT YOU KEEP THE BOARD FULLY INFORMED. I
- 24 KNOW WE ALL HAVE MEMBERS ON YOUR BOARD, BUT IT WOULD BE GOOD



- 1 IF YOU COULD KEEP THE BOARD OFFICES DIRECTLY INFORMED SO THAT
- 2 OUR ISSUES INTEGRATE WITH YOU.

3

4 MITCHELL NETBURN: WE'LL DO THAT IN PHASES.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: INTERFACE WITH YOU BEFORE A FINAL COMMITMENT
- 7 IS MADE ON HOW YOU'RE GOING TO SPEND IT. OKAY. I MOVE APPROVAL
- 8 AS AMENDED, MADAM CHAIR.

9

10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SECOND.

11

- 12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND SECONDED. NOW, MR.
- 13 YAROSLAVSKY, HOW DO YOU PLAN FOR THE NEXT YEAR? WHERE DO YOU
- 14 PLAN TO GET THE MONEY?

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AS I SAID EARLIER, I DON'T HAVE A PLAN FOR
- 17 NEXT YEAR, BUT I THINK WE'LL JUST -- WE'LL DEAL WITH IT AS THE
- 18 YEAR MOVES ALONG, AND I THINK WHAT WE WANT TO DO IS EVALUATE
- 19 THIS PROGRAM, THE CITY IS GOING TO EXTEND THEIR PROGRAM. I
- 20 THINK WE'RE GOING TO GET LEVERAGE OUT OF HAVING THEM BOTH
- 21 YEAR-ROUND SIMULTANEOUSLY, AND THEN WE'LL TAKE A LOOK AT IT
- 22 DURING THE COURSE OF THE YEAR. WE MAY NOT FIND MONEY FOR IT
- 23 NEXT YEAR, IN WHICH CASE WE'LL HAVE TO PULL THE PLUG, OR WE
- 24 MAY FIND MONEY IF THINGS IMPROVE OR OTHER SOURCES AND WE'LL
- 25 TRY TO KEEP IT GOING IF IT'S WORTHY OF KEEPING IT GOING, BUT I



- 1 THINK IT'S WORTH A SHOT. IT'S WORKED AT THE CITY, AND I THINK
- 2 -- THE SUCCESS THE CITY IS HAVING ON A NUMBER OF FRONTS IS
- 3 HAVING AN IMPACT ON THE NON-CITY PARTS OF THE COUNTY, AND I
- 4 WANT TO SEE IF WE CAN KIND OF HAVE A SEAMLESS OVERLAP.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: HOW DID YOU ARRIVE AT THE \$2.5 MILLION
- 7 FIGURE?

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL ACTUALLY, THEY WANTED MORE. THEY
- 10 WANTED, I THINK, FOUR WAS, FIVE, BUT WHAT I'M HOPING IS THAT
- 11 WITH OUR DOING THIS, THAT WE'LL BE ABLE TO GO TO SOME OF THE
- 12 OTHER CITIES -- L.A.H.S.A. MAY BE ABLE TO GO TO SOME OF THE
- 13 OTHER MAJOR CITIES IF THEY, YOU KNOW, SEE IF THEY CAN PARTNER
- 14 WITH US AS WELL, SOME OF THE MAJOR URBAN CITIES IN THE COUNTY
- 15 AND SEE WHAT WE CAN DO AND LET THEM SEE WHAT THEY CAN DO FOR 2
- 16 AND A HALF MILLION. AND IT'S NOT THE FULL PROGRAM THAT THEY
- 17 ASKED FOR, BUT I DIDN'T THINK THAT IT WOULD BE IN OUR
- 18 SITUATION. THIS IS MORE THAN WE PROBABLY HAVE A REASON TO
- 19 BELIEVE WE COULD GET APPROVED, SO THAT'S WHY.

- 21 MITCHELL NETBURN: AND ALSO, WE HAVE, BASED UPON, YOU KNOW, THE
- 22 CITIES -- EXTENDING THE PROGRAM AND THE SUCCESS OF THAT WE
- 23 HAVE BEEN ABLE TO ATTRACT ADDITIONAL DOLLARS FOR THIS PROGRAM,
- 24 AND, ONE, WE'RE VERY, VERY PLEASED THAT L.A.H.S.A.'S RECEIVED
- 25 ITS FIRST FOUNDATION GRANT EVER FOR SERVICES FROM THE



- 1 CALIFORNIA ENDOWMENT TO PROVIDE MEDICAL SERVICES TO CLIENTS
- 2 SPECIFICALLY OF THE WINTER SHELTER PROGRAM, AND THAT'S REALLY
- 3 THE FIRST TIME WE'VE HAD A FOUNDATION DO THAT. WE'RE ALSO I
- 4 THINK VERY CLOSE TO GETTING THE STATE TO AGREE TO INCREASE
- 5 THEIR CAP ON A PROGRAM, THEY HAVE FROM 500,000 TO A MILLION
- 6 WHICH ALLOWS FOR PURCHASE OF SOME OF THESE SITES WHICH WILL
- 7 DECREASE THE COST FOR THE PROVIDERS, SO THERE'S DEFINITELY A
- 8 LOT OF PIECES THAT ARE COMING TOGETHER. THERE'S NOT GOING TO
- 9 BE, I DON'T THINK, A SINGLE FUNDING SOURCE, BUT SEVERAL
- 10 DIFFERENT FUNDING SOURCES CAN BUILD UP THE WHOLE PROGRAM.

12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WHAT IS THE TOTAL BUDGET OF THE WINTER

13 PROGRAM?

11

14

15 MITCHELL NETBURN: IT'S ABOUT 3.8, \$3.8 MILLION IS FOR THE 105-

- 16 DAY PERIOD THAT WE RUN IT. THE WAY WE CAME UP WITH THE
- 17 ORIGINAL REQUEST IS JUST THE COST OF CONTINUING ALL THE COUNTY
- 18 BEDS, WHICH WERE 782, JUST THE COST OF KEEPING THOSE OPEN 12
- 19 MONTHS OF THE YEAR WOULD BE \$5.2 MILLION OF WHICH WE HAVE --
- 20 IF THE MOTION PASSES TODAY WITH THE 500,000, WE'D HAVE 1.3 OF
- 21 THAT, AND SO THE BULK WOULD BE USED, THE 2 MILLION WOULD BE
- 22 USED FOR YEAR-ROUND.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND THOSE ARE THE COUNTY BEDS, AND HOW DO
- 2 YOU DEFINE THE COUNTY BEDS? ARE THOSE THE BEDS THAT ARE NOT IN
- 3 THE CITY OF L.A.?

4

5 MITCHELL NETBURN: CORRECT.

6

7 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I SEE, ALL RIGHT.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ARE YOU CLEAR ON THE AMENDMENTS THAT WE
- 10 HAVE?

11

- 12 MITCHELL NETBURN: I AM, MY ONLY THAT KIND OF IS THAT YOU'VE
- 13 WITHDRAWN THE AMENDMENT FOR CAPITAL. THIS IMPLIES THAT, YOU
- 14 KNOW, AND WE CERTAINLY WILL WORK WITH THE PROVIDER, BUT I
- 15 THINK THAT WITHOUT, YOU KNOW, THE AMENDMENT IT PROHIBITED US
- 16 FROM USING ANY OF THE 2.5 MILLION FOR A CAP FOR LET'S SAY
- 17 PURCHASE OF A SITE OR A FACILITY.

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO I'M NOT TALKING ABOUT THAT, I'M TALKING
- 20 ABOUT MR. ANTONOVICH'S MOTION, YOU'RE FAMILIAR WITH THAT, THE
- 21 SAN GABRIEL COALITION, WE REPORT BACK ON THAT?

22

23 SUP. MOLINA: DID YOU JUST SAY PURCHASING A SITE?

24

25 MITCHELL NETBURN: WELL WHAT I WAS SAYING IS I --



1

2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO PURCHASE.

3

- 4 MITCHELL NETBURN: NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO. SO I JUST WANTED TO
- 5 MAKE IT CLEAR TO SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH.

6

- 7 SUP. MOLINA: IT'S A GOOD THING YOU MADE THE AMENDMENT, THIS
- 8 ISN'T FIXING TOILETS, PURCHASING A SITE IS MAJOR --

9

10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO, NO, NO. HE SAID NOT --

11

- 12 SUP. MOLINA: AM I MISUNDERSTANDING? I THOUGHT YOU WERE TAKING
- 13 REGULARLY-OPENED WINTER FACILITIES. RIGHT?

14

- 15 MITCHELL NETBURN: SOMETIMES YES, IT DEPENDS ON THE -- NOT ALL
- 16 OF THEM CAN DO THAT. WE DO NOT -- WE AGREE WITH YOU THAT WE
- 17 DON'T WANT TO USE THIS FOR CAPITAL. ALL I'M SAYING IS I JUST
- 18 WANTED TO MAKE SURE SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH WHEN HE SAYS THAT WE
- 19 REPORT BACK ON A PLAN ON HOW TO USE THE 2.5 MILLION IT WILL
- 20 NOT INCLUDE CAPITAL EXPENDITURE.

- 22 SUP. MOLINA: I UNDERSTAND, BUT WASN'T IT MY UNDERSTANDING THAT
- 23 THE WINTER PROGRAM THAT WE HAVE, RIGHT, WHAT YOU'RE TALKING
- 24 ABOUT IS EXPANDING IT. RIGHT? ARE YOU GOING TO CREATE MORE



- 1 BEDS? NO. WE'RE JUST OPENING UP FOR WHEN THE SHORTFALL 'CAUSE
- 2 IT'S ONLY THERE FOR THE COLD, WET WEATHER PROGRAM.

3

4 MITCHELL NETBURN: CORRECT.

5

- 6 SUP. MOLINA: SO THOSE, I MEAN, THOSE PLACES ARE PHYSICALLY
- 7 THERE, SO YOU DON'T NEED TO BUY ANYTHING. YOU DIDN'T NEED TO
- 8 DO ANYTHING LIKE THAT. I MEAN, WHAT CAPITAL COULD YOU HAVE?
- 9 I'M NERVOUS THAT THAT'S WHY I WANTED IT TO BE ELIMINATED BUT I
- 10 HOPE YOU DON'T HAVE ANY IDEAS --

11

- 12 MITCHELL NETBURN: NO WE DON'T, WE AGREE WITH YOU. WE DON'T
- 13 WANT TO USE IT FOR CAPITAL EITHER BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, THAT
- 14 DECREASES THE AMOUNT FOR SERVICES. NO, I TOTALLY --

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HE'S MADE IT CLEAR -- HE MADE IT CLEAR
- 17 BEFORE THEY ARE NOT GOING TO USE IT FOR CAPITAL, AND THE ONLY
- 18 REASON THAT THEY WOULD HAVE AN EVEN MINOR CAPITAL IS IF SOME
- 19 OF OUR WINTER FACILITIES MAY NOT BE AVAILABLE DURING THE
- 20 SUMMER SO THEY MIGHT HAVE TO GO TO ANOTHER PLACE, BUT THAT
- 21 WOULD BE IT.

- 23 MITCHELL NETBURN: BUT THAT'S -- IT'S MINIMAL AND THAT COMES
- 24 WITHIN THEIR DAY RATE. IT IS NOT AN EXTRA ALLOCATION. THE



- 1 PROVIDERS HAVE TO PAY FOR THAT OUT OF THEIR DAY RATE, THERE'S
- 2 NO SEPARATE COST FOR --

3

- 4 SUP. MOLINA: WELL IF YOU'RE GOING TO BE LOOKING AT NEW AREAS,
- 5 I WOULD SAY THAT, AGAIN, AND I DON'T KNOW THIS, I MEAN, I AM
- 6 CONCERNED ABOUT THE CONCENTRATION AS WELL, AS WE ALL ARE.

7

- 8 MITCHELL NETBURN: I MEAN AND WE CERTAINLY, YOU KNOW, HAVE
- 9 BEEN, WHICH IS WHY WHEN WE DO THAT SORT OF MAPPING, ONE WE
- 10 BALANCE, NOT CONCENTRATING IN ANY ONE AREA, BUT MAKING SURE
- 11 THERE'S COVERAGE THROUGHOUT THE AREA, SO THAT CLIENTS,
- 12 WHEREVER THEY ARE IN THE COUNTY ARE, YOU KNOW --

13

14 SUP. MOLINA: DO YOU HAVE A MAP OF THESE THINGS?

15

- 16 MITCHELL NETBURN: WE HAVE A MAP OF THE ONES THAT WE FUNDED
- 17 LAST YEAR. BUT AS I SAID, PROVIDERS HAVE TO COME TO US. THEY
- 18 MAY PROPOSE SOME OF THE SAME SITES, BUT OCCASIONALLY, THEY
- 19 MIGHT BE IN A DIFFERENT SITE.

20

- 21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WHEN WILL YOU HAVE THE PROPOSALS IN SO YOU
- 22 KNOW EXACTLY WHAT YOU'RE CONSIDERING?

- 24 MITCHELL NETBURN: THE PROPOSALS WILL BE SUBMITTED BY SEPTEMBER
- 25 26TH.



1

- 2 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IS THERE ANY PROBLEM WITH PUTTING THIS OVER
- 3 UNTIL THEY CAN TELL US EXACTLY WHAT'S BEING PROPOSED? THE
- 4 PROPOSALS WILL BE IN, THEY SAY, ON THE 26TH. THEY SHOULD BE
- 5 ABLE TO DETERMINE EXACTLY WHAT THEY'RE GOING TO -- WHEN WOULD
- 6 YOU SAY YOU'LL KNOW WHAT YOU'RE GOING TO BE PROPOSING, YOU'RE
- 7 GOING TO USE?

8

- 9 MITCHELL NETBURN: THE L.A.H.S.A. COMMISSION IS DUE TO VOTE ON
- 10 THE FINAL AWARDS IN OCTOBER, THIS PROGRAM'S DUE TO START ON
- 11 DECEMBER 1ST AND ACTUALLY IT STARTS EARLIER UP IN THE ANTELOPE
- 12 VALLEY 'CAUSE IT'S MUCH COLDER THERE, SO WE NEED TO BE ABLE TO
- 13 ENTER INTO CONTRACTS BY THE END OF OCTOBER WITH THE PROVIDERS.

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: BUT YOU, AT THIS POINT YOU HAVE NOT
- 16 RECEIVED ANY OF THE PROPOSALS, SO YOU'RE NOT SURE WHERE
- 17 THEY'LL BE, EXACT.

- 19 MITCHELL NETBURN: THEY'RE NOT DUE, MY -- FROM PRIOR YEARS'
- 20 EXPERIENCE, PRETTY MUCH MOST OF THE SAME AGENCIES PROPOSE AND
- 21 MORE OR LESS IN THE SAME GEOGRAPHIC AREAS WHERE THEY'VE BEEN
- 22 IN, SO I WOULD NOT EXPECT ANY MAJOR CHANGES FROM WHAT WAS
- 23 FUNDED LAST YEAR. SOMETIMES THEY USE THE EXACT SAME
- 24 FACILITIES. OBVIOUSLY THE ARMORIES, WHICH CONSTITUTE A BULK OF



- 1 THE COUNTY SITES, ARE FIXED, AND SO WE WOULD BE USING THE
- 2 ARMORIES ONCE AGAIN.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND IF THERE ARE ANY MAJOR DIFFERENT CHANGES
- 5 THEN YOU WOULD --

6

- 7 MITCHELL NETBURN: WE WOULD CERTAINLY COME BACK. IF LET'S SAY
- 8 WE -- THERE'S A CERTAIN AREA OF THE COUNTY WHERE WE DIDN'T GET
- 9 PROPOSALS, WE WOULD CERTAINLY COME BACK, AND WHAT WE'VE DONE
- 10 IN THE PAST, WE HAVEN'T HAD THIS WITH THIS PROGRAM. WITH OTHER
- 11 PROGRAMS, IS WE THEN ISSUE A TARGET R.F.P. LET'S SAY FOR SOME
- 12 REASON WE DIDN'T GET ANY PROPOSALS FROM ONE OF THE SPAS, WE
- 13 THEN WOULD ISSUE A SPECIFIC R.F.P. FOR JUST THAT SPA TO MAKE
- 14 SURE WE HAD COVERAGE THROUGHOUT THE WHOLE COUNTY.

15

- 16 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL, WHY IS IT WE HAVE TO DO IT NOW, WHEN
- 17 THEY HAVEN'T EVEN GOTTEN THE PROPOSALS IN? WHAT IS -- I JUST
- 18 DON'T UNDERSTAND WHY WE DO IT BEFORE THEY HAVE THE PROPOSALS
- 19 AND KNOW WHERE IT'S GOING TO GO.

- 21 MITCHELL NETBURN: THERE ARE TWO, ONE THE -- THERE IS THE
- 22 500,000 SHORTFALL, SO WE WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO -- WE WOULD HAVE
- 23 TO AT THAT OCTOBER MEETING, IF WE DON'T HAVE THOSE FUNDS, WE
- 24 WOULD ACTUALLY NOT BE ABLE TO FUND ALL OF THE BEDS IN THE
- 25 COUNTY THAT WE HAD LAST YEAR.



1

- 2 SUP. KNABE: WE COULD GO BACK TO MY ORIGINAL RECOMMENDATION, AT
- 3 LEAST TO KEEP THIS THING MOVING FORWARD, IF, YOU KNOW, TO MOVE
- 4 FORWARD ON THE SHORTFALL, THE 500,000 TO F.E.M.A., AND THEN
- 5 WAIT ON THE OTHER AMOUNT 'TIL THE PROPOSALS COME BACK.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: LET ME MAKE A -- LET ME MODIFY THAT
- 8 SUGGESTION, IF I CAN, MAKE THIS SUGGESTION. CAN WE APPROVE THE
- 9 WHOLE AMOUNT, DISBURSE THE 500,000 FOR THE WINTER, HAVE THE
- 10 C.A.O. HOLD THE BALANCE OF IT UNTIL SUCH TIME AS YOU COME BACK
- 11 AND --

12

13 MITCHELL NETBURN: AND THAT'S PERFECT 'CAUSE ACTUALLY OUR --

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO AT LEAST THEY HAVE A SENSE THAT THIS IS
- 16 REAL.

17

- 18 SUP. KNABE: WELL, THE 500,000, YEAH FOR SURE, 'CAUSE THAT'S
- 19 THE F.E.M.A. SHORTFALL.

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT WOULD BE DISBURSED AS OF OUR ACTION
- 22 TODAY, THE BALANCE WOULD BE APPROVED BUT HELD BY THE C.A.O.
- 23 UNTIL SUCH TIME AS WE COME BACK WITH A PLAN FOR THE YEAR-
- 24 ROUND.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND IT WOULD BE DEPENDENT UPON WHAT IS
- 2 PROPOSED AT THAT TIME.

3

4 MITCHELL NETBURN: RIGHT.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: BECAUSE YOU KNOW WHAT MY EXPERIENCE IS,
- 7 SOME PEOPLE DON'T EVEN GET THEIR PROPOSALS IN, SO THERE ARE
- 8 AREAS THAT YOU DON'T HAVE, BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T GET THEM IN ON
- 9 TIME OR THEY DIDN'T DO IT RIGHT OR ANY -- LAST YEAR, THEY
- 10 DIDN'T HAVE -- THE AGENCY HAD PROBLEMS BECAUSE THEY WERE NOT
- 11 MEETING FEDERAL GUIDELINES, SO THERE ARE ALL SORTS OF THINGS
- 12 THAT INFLUENCE THIS.

13

14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL THIS WOULD ALLOW THEM TO DO THAT.

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE: WHEN THEY COME BACK THEY SHOULD HAVE A MAP TOO,
- 17 THAT'D BE NICE.

18

19 MITCHELL NETBURN: CERTAINLY, AT THAT POINT, YOU KNOW --

- 21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. SO WE WOULD RELEASE THE 500,000.
- 22 THE C.A.O. WOULD HOLD ALL OF THE DIFFERENCE IN THIS 2.6 UNTIL
- 23 WE HAVE A LIST OF THE PROPOSERS THAT HAVE BEEN APPROVED AND A
- 24 MAP THAT -- OF EXACTLY WHERE THEY'RE GOING TO BE -- WHAT AREAS
- 25 THEY'RE GOING TO COVER.



1

2 MITCHELL NETBURN: EXACTLY. AND DEPENDENT UPON THE PROPOSALS WE

- 3 GET, WE HAVE ALSO CONTEMPLATED ISSUING A SPECIFIC R.F.P. FOR
- 4 THE YEAR-ROUND, WHICH IS SOMEWHAT DIFFERENT, AND SO IF WE DID
- 5 THAT, CERTAINLY THAT WOULD BE, YOU KNOW, WE WOULD BRING THAT
- 6 PROPOSAL BACK TO YOU.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT WE'RE APPROVING AN APPROPRIATION OF THE
- 9 WHOLE AMOUNT, TWO MILLION, OF WHICH WILL BE HELD, BUT THE HALF
- 10 A MILLION WOULD BE IMMEDIATELY DISBURSED SO THAT AT LEAST HE
- 11 KNOWS THAT HE CAN PROCEED AND PLAN FOR A YEAR-ROUND APPROACH.
- 12 OTHERWISE HE WON'T KNOW THAT -- WHETHER-- THAT WE'LL DO IT.

13

- 14 MITCHELL NETBURN: AND THE SAME FOR THE PROVIDERS, IF THEY'RE
- 15 NOT CERTAIN IT'S GOING TO COME --

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WE'LL SIGNAL TO THEM THAT WE'RE PREPARED TO
- 18 DO IT, CONTINGENT ON OUR BEING SATISFIED WITH THE PLAN. AND SO
- 19 THAT'S -- SO HALF A MILLION DISBURSED, APPROPRIATE 2 AND A
- 20 HALF MILLION, A HALF A MILLION DISBURSED NOW, 2 MILLION TO BE
- 21 HELD IN ESCROW WITH THE C.A.O.'S OFFICE PENDING --

22

- 23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: DEPENDING A DETERMINATION WOULD BE -- IT'LL
- 24 COME BACK FOR US TO DETERMINE THE TWO MILLION.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S FINE. THAT'S FINE, AND IF THERE'S A
- 2 PROBLEM, WE CAN HOLD IT, THAT'S RIGHT.

3

- 4 MITCHELL NETBURN: 'CAUSE THAT PROGRAM DOESN'T START 'TIL MARCH
- 5 16TH SO WE CAN PUT UP WITH THAT.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MY SUGGESTION TO YOU IS THAT YOU BE IN TOUCH
- 8 WITH ALL OF US AND STAFFS SO THAT ALL THE LEGWORK IS DONE AND
- 9 THEN SO THERE ARE NO SURPRISES AT THE LAST SECOND.

10

11 MITCHELL NETBURN: CERTAINLY.

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SO IT WOULD COME BACK IN THE OCTOBER --
- 14 WHICH MEETING IN OCTOBER WOULD IT COME BACK?

15

- 16 MITCHELL NETBURN: IT COULD ACTUALLY COME AFTER THAT 'CAUSE
- 17 JUST BY OCTOBER WE NEEDED TO KNOW THAT WE HAVE THE MONEY TO
- 18 MAKE THE SHORTFALL. WE WOULD BRING IT BACK AND, YOU KNOW, WE'D
- 19 NEED APPROVAL BEFORE, PROBABLY A MONTH BEFORE MARCH 16TH WHEN
- 20 THAT YEAR-ROUND COMPONENT EXTENDS, SO, YOU KNOW, WE'D PROBABLY
- 21 COME BACK TO YOU --

22

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND PROBABLY NOW AND THEN YOU'D BE GOING OUT
- 24 WITH R.F.P.'S AND STUFF LIKE THAT.



MITCHELL NETBURN: AN R.F.P. AND THEN WE WOULD COME BACK TO YOU 1 2 BEFORE --3 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THE R.F.P.'S COME BACK ON THE 26TH AND THEY 4 5 COME BEFORE YOUR --6 7 MITCHELL NETBURN: COMMISSION. 8 9 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: COMMISSION ON WHEN, OCTOBER? 10 MITCHELL NETBURN: OCTOBER, THE FOURTH FRIDAY IN OCTOBER. 11 12 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT THE R.F.P.'S FOR THE WHAT, FOR THE YEAR-ROUND WOULD BE ISSUED WHEN? 14 15 16 MITCHELL NETBURN: WOULD BE ISSUED PROBABLY OCTOBER, NOVEMBER, 'CAUSE WE HAVE NOT ISSUED THAT R.F.P. --17 18 19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SO THIS COULD COME BACK ON OCTOBER 21ST AND 20 21 22 MITCHELL NETBURN: WELL FOR YEAR-ROUND COMPONENT PROBABLY --23



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I THINK FOR THE YEAR-ROUND IT WOULD BE LATER
- 2 THAN THAT, MAYBE BY THE END OF THE YEAR, WHEN -- EARLY
- 3 JANUARY, SOMETHING LIKE THAT?

4

- 5 MITCHELL NETBURN: YEAH, PROBABLY. AROUND THEN, BUT CERTAINLY -
- 6 -

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHY DON'T WE SHOOT FOR THE MID JANUARY
- 9 MEETING FOR YOU TO GIVE US A REPORT BACK?

10

- 11 MITCHELL NETBURN: SURE AND THAT WOULD PROBABLY BE A GOOD TIME
- 12 BECAUSE THEN IF WE HAD YOUR APPROVAL THEN WE COULD GO TO THE
- 13 L.A.H.S.A. COMMISSION AT THE END OF JANUARY.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: RIGHT, AND THEN YOU'LL -- YOU WILL HAVE
- 16 KNOWN BY THEN WHERE THE R.F.P.'S RESPONSES HAVE COME FROM AND
- 17 EVERYBODY WILL HAVE A SENSE OF WHERE WE ARE AND WHERE WE HAVE
- 18 TO DO SOME MORE WORK.

19

20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SO JANUARY 13TH?

21

22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT SOUNDS GOOD TO ME.

23

24 MITCHELL NETBURN: PERFECT.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. OKAY. ALL RIGHT, ANY OBJECTION?
- 2 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

3

4 MITCHELL NETBURN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I'M SORRY. I KEEP DOING THIS. DAVID GANDY
- 7 AND BRENDA WILSON. WE HAVE TWO PEOPLE TO BE HEARD.

8

- 9 BRENDA WILSON: GOOD AFTERNOON, COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.
- 10 I'M BRENDA WILSON. I'M THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF AN AGENCY
- 11 CALLED NEW IMAGE EMERGENCY SHELTER, AND I HAVE OPERATED IN TWO
- 12 OF YOUR DISTRICTS OVER AND OVER AGAIN, SUPERVISOR KNABE AND OF
- 13 COURSE BRATHWAITE- BURKE FOR MANY YEARS. I'VE OPERATED IN THE
- 14 WINTER SHELTER PROGRAM SINCE 1989 EVERY YEAR, CITY AND COUNTY,
- 15 AND HAVE BEEN AROUND SINCE BEFORE L.A.H.S.A. CAME TO BE. I AM
- 16 NOW THE LARGEST PROVIDER IN LOS ANGELES. I ALSO PROVIDE THE
- 17 200 BEDS IN LONG BEACH, AS I HAVE SERVED FOR MANY YEARS AND --

18

19 SUP. KNABE: AND DO A MARVELOUS JOB, I MIGHT ADD.

- 21 BRENDA WILSON: WELL, THANK YOU SO MUCH. WE CERTAINLY WORK
- 22 HARD. AND SO THIS YEAR, WE ARE -- THE PROGRAM WAS EXTENDED, AS
- 23 YOU KNOW. IT SHOULD HAVE ENDED MARCH THE 15TH , IT WAS
- 24 EXTENDED BY THE CITY UNTIL APRIL THE 15TH AND THEN MAY THE
- 25 15TH, AND NOW WE'RE OPERATING UNTIL NOVEMBER THE 30TH. BUT I



- 1 JUST WANTED TO SHARE SOME IMPORTANT STATS THAT I THINK IS
- 2 IMPORTANT FOR YOU TO KNOW. IN THE CITY OF LONG BEACH OR IN
- 3 SOUTH -- SERVING SOUTH BAY, NEW IMAGE SERVED 200 CLIENTS A
- 4 NIGHT IN THE SHELTER. WE FAR EXCEEDED THAT. DURING THE 105-DAY
- 5 PERIOD, WE SERVED A TOTAL OF 1,460 UNDUPLICATED CLIENTS AND
- 6 PROVIDED OVER 22,000 BED NIGHTS AND THAT'S A LOT OF HOMELESS
- 7 FOLKS. BUT LET ME GO A STEP FURTHER AND JUST SHARE WITH YOU
- 8 THAT IN LOS ANGELES, SINCE THE PROGRAM HAS BEEN EXTENDED, WE
- 9 HAVE SERVED A TOTAL-- BUT BEFORE I EVEN GO THERE, LET ME SAY
- 10 THIS. WE NEVER EVER ANTICIPATED, BECAUSE AS YOU KNOW, THE
- 11 PROGRAMS ENDED MARCH THE 15TH, THAT EVEN DURING THE SUMMER
- 12 MONTHS, WITH OUR COUNT BEING 365, THAT WE WOULD GET EVEN 200
- 13 IN DURING THE SUMMER MONTHS, WHAT HOMELESS ARE GOING TO COME
- 14 DURING THE SUMMER MONTHS WAS OUR THOUGHT, AND I'VE BEEN DOING
- 15 THIS AGAIN FOR ALMOST 14 YEARS. SO WHAT HAPPENED THOUGH WE
- 16 FOUND QUICKLY IS THAT 365 WITHIN TWO WEEKS WAS WELL OVER 400.
- 17 WE HAVE SERVED AT THAT SITE A TOTAL -- AS OF THE END OF AUGUST
- 18 THE 31ST, 5,720 UNDUPLICATED CLIENTS, OVER 3,000 SINCE THE
- 19 PROGRAM WAS EXTENDED. THE NEED IS THERE. WE'VE PROVIDED OVER
- 20 95,577 BED NIGHTS UNDER THIS PROGRAM. THAT'S A LOT OF FOLKS IN
- 21 NEED. BUT LET ME GO A STEP FURTHER AND JUST SAY UNDER THIS
- 22 PROGRAM, WHICH IS VERY GOOD, WITH L.A.H.S.A., IS THAT THEY
- 23 PROVIDE CASE MANAGERS. WE HAVE A TOTAL OF 11 CASE MANAGERS
- 24 THAT WORK WITH NOTHING BUT IDENTIFYING JOBS, AFFORDABLE
- 25 HOUSING, AND OUT OF THAT 5,720, WE HAVE SUCCESSFULLY PLACED



- 1 INTO HOUSING 1,600. WE WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN ABLE TO DO THAT HAD
- 2 THE PROGRAM NOT BEEN EXTENDED, AND THEY'RE IN JOBS AND
- 3 AFFORDABLE HOUSING, AND WE'RE MONITORING THEM TO MAKE SURE
- 4 THEY STAY THERE. SO I'M SAYING ALL THIS TO SAY THAT THIS IS A
- 5 PROGRAM, ALTHOUGH IT'S AN EVENING PROGRAM, IT'S A VERY
- 6 VALUABLE PROGRAM, AND I CAN SAY THAT BY OPERATING THIS
- 7 PROGRAM, I SEE THE DIFFERENCES THAT WE MAKE. IN SUPERVISOR
- 8 KNABE'S DISTRICT, OF THE 1,460, WE WERE SUCCESSFUL WITH
- 9 MAINSTREAMING INTO JOBS AND AFFORDABLE HOUSING 436. IT MAY NOT
- 10 BE MAJOR, BUT IT'S CERTAINLY PEOPLE THAT WERE ON THE STREETS,
- 11 ON THE BEACHES, BUT ARE NOW IN HOUSING. SO.

13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IT'S VERY IMPORTANT, AND YOU DO A GOOD JOB.

15 BRENDA WILSON: THANK YOU, AND WE CONTINUE TO FIGHT THIS, BUT I

- 16 JUST FELT THAT IT WAS IMPORTANT FOR ME TO BE HERE TODAY TO
- 17 SHARE WITH YOU SOME OF THE STATS WE'RE LOOKING AT AND LOOK AT
- 18 THE DIFFERENCES WE'RE MAKING, AND I'M JUST ONE AGENCY. SO I
- 19 JUST ASK THAT YOU DO SUPPORT IT. I CAN GUARANTEE YOU, WE HAVE
- 20 DECREASED HOMELESSNESS. THERE'S A LOT, AS YOU KNOW, 84,000 IS
- 21 A LOT OF FOLKS, BUT WE ARE TRYING TO DO WHAT WE CAN TO IN PART
- 22 DECREASE THAT NUMBER AND FIND HOMES AND JOBS. THANK YOU.
- 24 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YES.

25

23

12



- 1 DAVID GANDY: GOOD AFTERNOON, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS
- 2 DAVID GANDY, AND WHILE I'VE BEEN A RESIDENT OF L.A. COUNTY ALL
- 3 OF MY LIFE, AND I'M GOING TO TELL YOU, I CAN REMEMBER THERE
- 4 BEING HOMELESS PEOPLE HERE WHEN I WAS NINE OR 10. I'M GOING TO
- 5 DO SPEAK ABOUT IT BECAUSE I'M HOMELESS TODAY. I'M A RESIDENT
- 6 OF LONG BEACH. I'M ONE OF THOSE LONG BEACH PEOPLE WHO SPEND
- 7 OUR ENTIRE WINTER IN THE CITY OF LONG BEACH. UNFORTUNATELY,
- 8 THOUGH, AS OUR SHELTER ITSELF WASN'T EXTENDED, I'M ONE OF
- 9 MANY, ABOUT 75 TO A HUNDRED PEOPLE A DAY WHO MAKE A TRIP ALL
- 10 THE WAY FROM LONG BEACH TO L.A. JUST FOR A SAFE PLACE TO STAY
- 11 AT. YOU CAN'T BEGIN TO IMAGINE THE BLESSING IT IS HAVING
- 12 SOMEWHERE TO GO AWAY FROM ALL THE DRUGS AND THE CRIME AND THE
- 13 JUST THE DISORDER THAT'S OUT THERE IN THE STREET. I'M ALSO A
- 14 MEMBER OF THE COMMUNITY ACTION NETWORK. WE WORK A WHOLE LOT
- 15 WITH LINDA AND BRENDA FROM NEW IMAGE. WE'RE TRYING TO HELP
- 16 WITH GETTING PLACEMENTS FOR JOBS AND STUFF WITH THE PEOPLE WHO
- 17 ARE CLIENTS THERE AT THE SHELTER. LAST YEAR, WE PARTICIPATED
- 18 IN THE WHEN IN TIME COUNT IN THE CITY OF LONG BEACH. THEY HAD
- 19 ABOUT 4,000 PEOPLE HOMELESS JUST WITHIN THE CITY OF LONG BEACH
- 20 ITSELF. AND, YOU KNOW, I JUST CAN'T REITERATE ENOUGH HOW MUCH
- 21 IT IS -- HOW IMPORTANT IT IS NOT TO JUST HAVE A SHELTER IN
- 22 L.A., THE CITY OF L.A. ITSELF, BUT IN A LOT OF THE SURROUNDING
- 23 AREAS AROUND HERE, IN PARTICULARLY LIKE IN LONG BEACH, AND IN
- 24 SAN GABRIEL AND IN NORTH HOLLYWOOD, WHILE WE'RE OUT THERE, IT
- 25 IS NOT THAT WE WANT TO BE OUT THERE, YOU KNOW. WE GET ACCUSED



- 1 OF ALWAYS BEING ADDICTS OR CRIMINALS AND STUFF, AND YOU DON'T
- 2 GET THAT MANY PEOPLE EVERY NIGHT COME IN IF THAT'S THE CASE,
- 3 BECAUSE IF YOU'RE INSIDE, THERE'S NOTHING YOU CAN DO INSIDE.
- 4 THEREFORE, YOU KNOW, JUST CONSIDER GREATLY PROVIDING US WITH A
- 5 PLACE TO STAY AT FOR A WHOLE YEAR. THANK YOU.

6

- 7 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. YOU'RE WELCOME. THANK YOU VERY
- 8 MUCH. IT WAS WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

9

10 SUP. MOLINA: MADAM CHAIR, I HAVE A MOTION.

11

12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YES.

- 14 SUP. MOLINA: AND THIS IS A MOTION THAT I WAS TOLD TO PLACE
- 15 HERE. I WAS GOING TO DO IT UNDER THE OTHER ITEM. IN 1998, THE
- 16 BOARD APPROVED THE ORDINANCE CREATING THE ACCUMULATIVE CAPITAL
- 17 OUTLAY ACCOUNT TO SUPPORT THE PURCHASE OF MEDICAL EQUIPMENT
- 18 ASSOCIATED WITH L.A. COUNTY U.S.C. THE BOARD HAS TO ENSURE
- 19 THAT THESE FUNDS ARE USED APPROPRIATELY AND TO MONITOR TO
- 20 PREVENT WASTE AND ABUSE IN THE PROCUREMENT OF MUCH OF THE
- 21 EQUIPMENT. TO DATE, THE BOARD HAS NOT BEEN PROVIDED A DETAILED
- 22 ITEMIZED PLAN ON HOW THE ACCOUNT IS GOING TO BE UTILIZED.
- 23 ADDITIONALLY, AS NOTED, THE C.A.O., THE ORIGINAL ORDINANCE WAS
- 24 DRAFTED TO ALLOW ANY FUNDS DEPOSITED INTO THIS ACCOUNT TO BE
- 25 USED ONLY FOR THE PURCHASE OF MEDICAL EQUIPMENT. WE HAVE NOW



- 1 BEEN INFORMED THAT THE TRANSITION INTO A NEW HOSPITAL REQUIRE
- 2 NOT ONLY PURCHASE OF NEW MEDICAL EQUIPMENT, BUT POTENTIALLY
- 3 INFORMATIONAL SYSTEMS NECESSARY TO IMPLEMENT A PAPERLESS
- 4 CLINICAL ENVIRONMENT. ANOTHER COST ASSOCIATED WITH TRANSITION.
- 5 I THEREFORE MOVE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INSTRUCT THE
- 6 AUDITOR-CONTROLLER REPORT BACK IN 30 DAYS ON WHAT SAFEGUARDS
- 7 EXIST TO ENSURE THAT THE USE OF THESE FUNDS IS PROPERLY
- 8 AUTHORIZED BY THE BOARD WITH RECOMMENDATIONS FOR STRENGTHENING
- 9 THE OVERSIGHT AND THE MONITORING OF THIS ACCOUNT. I FURTHER
- 10 MOVE THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INSTRUCT THE AUDITOR-
- 11 CONTROLLER TO ESTABLISH A P.F.U. TO SERVE AS A REPOSITORY FOR
- 12 THE 105 MILLION DESIGNATION OF THE A.C.O. ACCOUNT ENDED UNTIL
- 13 A DETAILED REPORT IS PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
- 14 SERVICES OUTLINING HOW THESE FUNDS ARE GOING TO BE UTILIZED. I
- 15 ALSO MOVE THAT THE BOARD INSTRUCT THE C.A.O. AND THE DIRECTOR
- 16 OF HEALTH SERVICES, EXCUSE ME, TO WORK WITH COUNTY COUNSEL,
- 17 THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER TO DRAFT AN AMENDMENT TO THIS SECTION
- 18 4.16.220 OF TITLE 4 OF THE COUNTY CODE TO EXPAND THE ALLOWABLE
- 19 USE OF THE FUNDS AND ACCUMULATE TO INCLUDE OTHER ACTIVITIES
- 20 RELATED TO THE REPLACEMENT COSTS. SUCH AS TRANSITION OF
- 21 PATIENTS, STAFF, NEW FACILITIES, AND TO MAKE THE AMENDMENTS
- 22 NECESSARY BUT AS WELL AS TO STRENGTHEN THE OVERALL
- 23 ACCOUNTABILITY OR THE OVERSIGHT OF THAT ACCOUNT.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: DO YOU HAVE A SECOND? SECOND. WITHOUT
- 2 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. ARE THERE ANY OTHER AMENDMENTS TO THE
- 3 ITEM 56?

4

- 5 SUP. KNABE: YEAH. MADAM CHAIR, I THINK THERE'S AN OPPORTUNITY
- 6 HERE, BASED ON OUR -- I KNOW THAT THE MOTION FAILED EARLIER AS
- 7 IT RELATED TO THE C.O.P.S. PROGRAM, BUT I JUST FEEL STRONGLY
- 8 THAT RESTORING THE C.O.P.S. PROGRAM WOULD GREATLY ENHANCE
- 9 PUBLIC SAFETY AND THE LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICES FOR OUR
- 10 COUNTY'S COMMUNITIES, AND SO I WOULD MOVE THAT -- AND I
- 11 UNDERSTOOD YOU WERE TO JOIN ME IN THIS, THAT SUPERVISOR BURKE
- 12 AND I WOULD MOVE THAT THE BOARD INSTRUCT THE C.A.O. TO
- 13 REALLOCATE THE \$3,451,332 FROM THE P.F.U. ACCOUNT TO THE
- 14 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT FOR THE COPS PROGRAM.

15

16 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SECOND.

17

- 18 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND THIS -- BUT THIS WOULD -- WHAT I HAD
- 19 SAID TO SUPERVISOR MOLINA WAS THAT WE WOULD HAVE -- THAT THAT
- 20 THIS WOULD BE BASED UPON A REPORT FROM THEM OF HOW IT WOULD BE
- 21 SPENT AND A DETAILED ALLOCATION OF THOSE -- OF THE PROGRAM,
- 22 THAT THEY WOULD REPORT BACK ON THAT WITHIN, WHAT, WITHIN 30
- 23 DAYS ON -- OF THE PROGRAM?



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: I REALLY WOULD WORRY ABOUT GIVING THEM THE MONEY
- 2 RIGHT NOW. THE BIGGEST PROBLEM YOU HAVE IS THAT YOU DON'T HAVE
- 3 A DETAIL FROM THEM. IT JUST GOES INTO THEIR BIG POT. I REALLY
- 4 --

5

6 SUP. KNABE: IT'S CONTINGENT AND SO I JUST

7

8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: CONTINGENT UPON?

9

- 10 SUP. MOLINA: YOU CAN APPROPRIATE IT AT ANY TIME. IT DOESN'T
- 11 HAVE TO BE UNDER THIS ITEM. RIGHT?

12

13 SUP. KNABE: NO BUT I THINK --

14

- 15 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO IT IS -- IT'S A 3-VOTE ITEM. YOU CAN
- 16 TRANSFER IT FROM P.F.U. AT ANY TIME DURING THE YEAR THAT YOU
- 17 CHOOSE TO DO SO.

- 19 SUP. MOLINA: I THINK WE SHOULD WAIT, BECAUSE I THINK THAT
- 20 ISN'T -- IT'S NOT JUST THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, IT'S ALL OF
- 21 THE DEPARTMENTS NEED TO WORK ON THIS AS TO HOW THEY'RE GOING
- 22 TO INSTITUTE IT IN THOSE AREAS, AND YOU CANNOT DO IT FOR THE
- 23 ENTIRE UNINCORPORATED AREA, YOU JUST CANNOT. IT HAS TO BE VERY
- 24 FOCUSED. I REALLY WOULD RECOMMEND IT, OTHERWISE, YOU'RE JUST
- 25 GIVING THEM MONEY, AND YOU'RE NOT GOING TO END UP WITH



- 1 ANYTHING DIFFERENT. I KNOW. I HAVE WORKED WITH THIS
- 2 DEPARTMENT, AND I THINK IT'S A GOOD PROGRAM, BUT AGAIN, YOU
- 3 CAN'T JUST THROW IT OUT THERE. WHEN YOU LOOK AT WHAT NORWALK
- 4 DID, NORWALK WAS VERY SPECIFIC ABOUT HOW THEY UTILIZED IT.

5

- 6 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YEAH MADAM CHAIR, WHAT YOU MAY WANT TO DO IS
- 7 MOVE IT TO A DIFFERENT ACCOUNT, ESTABLISH AN ACCOUNT FOR THIS
- 8 PURPOSE IN P.F.U. AND MOVE THE MONEY INTO IT, AND MAKE THEM
- 9 COME BACK WITH A PLAN ON HOW YOU SPEND THAT --

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE: SIMILAR TO WHAT SUPERVISOR MOLINA JUST DID WITH
- 12 THE L.A. COUNTY U.S.C., JUST AND WHAT HE'S RECOMMENDED IS
- 13 DOING VERY -- WHAT YOU JUST DID WITH THE MONEY FOR L.A. COUNTY
- 14 U.S.C., IS TO APPROPRIATE A P.F.U. ACCOUNT FOR THIS AND THEN
- 15 WAIT FOR THE DETAILED PROGRAM TO COME BACK BEFORE ANY
- 16 DISBURSEMENTS.

17

- 18 SUP. MOLINA: YEAH DO NOT GIVE THEM THE MONEY UNTIL YOU'VE GOT
- 19 THE PROGRAM.

20

21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. WE PUT IT OVER.

22

- 23 SUP. KNABE: NO NOT PUT IT OVER, WE ESTABLISH A P.F.U. ACCOUNT
- 24 --



SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ESTABLISH THE ACCOUNT --1 2 3 SUP. KNABE: FOR THIS AMOUNT OF MONEY AND IT WILL NOT BE DISBURSED --4 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: BUT LET'S HAVE A DATE WHEN WE'RE GOING TO 6 7 HAVE THE SPECIFICS IN. IN 30 DAYS? 8 SUP. KNABE: 30 DAYS WOULD BE FINE. 9 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT ARE THE SPECIFICS YOU WANT TO HAVE? I 11 MEAN --12 13 SUP. KNABE: THE DETAILED PROGRAM THAT SUPERVISOR MOLINA'S 14 COMMENTING ON AS IT RELATES TO HOW THEY WILL USE THIS C.O.P.S. 15 16 FUNDING AND WHAT AREAS AND --17 18 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WHAT WOULD IT ACCOMPLISH. 19

20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THAT'S RIGHT. ALL RIGHT. I'LL SECOND THAT.

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NO AND I WANT TO THANK ANNA MY DEPUTY FOR THE
- 23 WORK THAT WENT INTO DOING THE RESOURCE AND RESEARCH TO GET
- 24 THIS C.O.P.S. PROGRAM WHERE IT IS SO WE CAN GET IT APPROVED.

25



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: WHAT ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT? IT'S NOT GOING TO BE
- THE C.O.P.S. PROGRAM. 'CAUSE IF IT'S THE C.O.P.S. PROGRAM,
- 3 YOU'RE JUST AGAIN -- IT'S A DIFFERENT THING.

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IT'S NOT THE C.O.P.S. PROGRAM; IT'S MORE
- 6 THE SUPPRESSION PROGRAM. IT'S THE SUPPRESSION PROGRAM.

7

- 8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IT'S A COMPONENT, YES, IT'S A PROGRAM THAT'S
- 9 GOING TO SUPPRESS THE EPIDEMIC OF KILLINGS THAT ARE GOING ON
- 10 TODAY IN OUR --

11

- 12 SUP. MOLINA: MICHAEL I WISH, YOU KNOW, IT'S NICE TO SAY. OKAY.
- 13 THIS IS NICE TO SAY. THERE'S A VERY SPECIFIC PROGRAM. IF YOU
- 14 DO NOT TELL THE SHERIFFS HOW TO DO IT, IT ISN'T JUST INVOLVE
- 15 THE SHERIFF, IT INVOLVES PROBATION, IT INVOLVES STATE PAROLE,
- 16 IT INVOLVES BUILDING AND SAFETY, IT INVOLVES CHILDREN'S
- 17 SERVICES.

18

19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WE KNOW THAT.

20

21 SUP. MOLINA: IT'S NOT THE C.O.P.S. PROGRAM.

22

23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT IT'S A COMPONENT OF THE PROGRAM.

24

25 SUP. MOLINA: NO, IT'S NOT.



1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. IT WILL BE DESIGNATED AS THE 2 3 SUPPRESSION PROGRAM. 4 5 SUP. KNABE: BUT IT'LL BE DESIGNATED P.F.U. FOR THIS AMOUNT AND IT WILL DISBURSED AT SUCH TIME AS THEY COME BACK WITH A 6 7 SPECIFIC PROGRAM. 8 9 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: RIGHT. CALL THE ROLL. 10 11 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: SUPERVISOR MOLINA. 12 13 SUP. MOLINA: [INAUDIBLE]. 14 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY. 15 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YES. 17 18 19 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: SUPERVISOR KNABE. 20 SUP. KNABE: AYE. 21 22 23 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH. 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AYE. 25



2 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: AND SUPERVISOR BURKE.
3

4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AYE.

5

1

6 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: THE MOTION CARRIES.

7

- 8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT AND THEN MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT
- 9 THEY WOULD COME BACK WITHIN 30 DAYS, WITH THE SPECIFICS.

10

11 SUP. KNABE: RIGHT.

12

13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: OR SOONER.

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL LET'S, LET'S NO, LET'S HAVE IT 30 DAYS
- 16 SO WE CAN GET THIS PUT TOGETHER BECAUSE IF WE'RE GOING TO ALL
- 17 BRING IN. BUT WE NEED TO HAVE IT -- MY EXPECTATION IS THAT
- 18 WE'RE GOING TO HAVE SOMETHING VERY SPECIFIC.

19

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO TELL THE GANGS TO WAIT 30 DAYS UNTIL WE
- 21 GET --

- 23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL THE SUPPRESSION TEAM IS IN THERE NOW,
- 24 SO IT'S GOING TO TAKE MORE THAN THAT. AND I'M CERTAINLY



- 1 WILLING TO WORK WITH SUPERVISOR MOLINA TO TRY TO DUPLICATE THE
- 2 KIND OF PROGRAM.

3

- 4 SUP. MOLINA: WE'RE REDUCING IT, MICHAEL, WITHOUT THE C.O.P.S.
- 5 MONEY. THE STATISTICS SPEAK FOR THEMSELVES.

6

- 7 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. WELL, IN CERTAIN AREAS IT'D BE
- 8 THE C.O.P.S. PROGRAM AND CERTAIN AREAS IT WOULD BE SOME OTHER
- 9 -- [MIXED VOICES]

10

11 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHAT ELSE IS THERE?

12

- 13 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I BELIEVE YOU HAVE EVERYTHING ON 53, IF YOU DO
- 14 IT'S BEFORE YOU, THE REMAINDER OF THE ITEM IS BEFORE YOU.

15

- 16 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IS THERE -- ALL RIGHT. IS THERE A MOTION TO
- 17 APPROVE 53? DO YOU HAVE ANOTHER MOTION?

18

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THERE'S STILL A THREE-HOUR EXECUTIVE SESSION.
- 20 [MIXED VOICES]

21

22 SUP. MOLINA: THERE WERE SOME OTHER MOTIONS FLOATING AROUND.

- 24 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I DON'T -- WHAT MOTIONS? THEY ALREADY ACTED ON
- 25 PLANNING, THEY ALREADY ACTED ON THE 100,000 FOR PUBLIC WORKS,



- 1 THEY ACTED ON THE 2 AND A HALF MILLION OUT OF ELLINGTON, AND
- 2 THOSE -- AND THEN THIS LAST ACTION TO SET ASIDE P.F.U. THOSE
- 3 ARE THE ONLY MOTIONS THAT HAVE COME FORWARD.

4

5 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU. [MIXED VOICES]

6

- 7 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OKAY, BUT NOT THE SELECTION. NOT THE --
- 8 OKAY. ALL RIGHT. IS THERE ANYTHING FURTHER? ON 56? IS THERE A
- 9 MOTION? I'M SORRY. TO APPROVE 53? IS THERE A SECOND? WITHOUT
- 10 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

- 12 C.A.O. JANSSEN: OKAY ITEM 54, MADAM CHAIR, YOU HAVE ADDED
- 13 \$30,040,000 TO THE CONTINGENCY WHETHER WE WILL USE OR ARE
- 14 PROPOSING TO USE ALMOST ALL OF THE CONTINGENCY, ALL BUT
- 15 22,500,000 ACTUALLY, TO DEAL WITH THE STATE BUDGET PROBLEM. AS
- 16 YOU KNOW, THE STATE WAS DEALING WITH THE \$38 BILLION PROBLEM
- 17 THIS YEAR. THE CONSEQUENCES OF THEIR ACTION TO THE COUNTY HAVE
- 18 NOW BEEN ESTIMATED TO THE EXTENT WE CAN, AT \$273 MILLION
- 19 REDUCTIONS TO THE COUNTY. 87 MILLION OF THAT, WE HAVE ALREADY
- 20 ACCOUNTED FOR IN THE PROPOSED BUDGET. THAT LEAVES 186 MILLION
- 21 LEFT TO DEAL WITH. OF THAT 186 MILLION, WE'RE ESTIMATING 160
- 22 MILLION OF IT IS RELATED TO THE SHORTFALL IN BACKFILL FOR THE
- 23 REINSTATEMENT OF THE VEHICLE LICENSE FEE, WHICH IS TO BEGIN
- 24 OCTOBER THE 1ST. BECAUSE THAT IS CONSIDERED AT THIS TIME,
- 25 ANYWAY, A ONE-TIME LOSS, IN FACT, THE STATE PASSED, AS PART OF



- 1 THE LAW, COMMITTED THEMSELVES TO REPAYING COUNTIES AND CITIES
- 2 BY 2006 FOR THAT MONEY, AND WE COULD LITERALLY SET UP A LOAN
- 3 PROGRAM AGAINST THE MONEY, ALTHOUGH I THINK THAT WOULD BE
- 4 FOOLISH. AND WE'RE RECOMMENDING THAT WE REUSE CONTINGENCY
- 5 RESERVE TO OFFSET THAT LOSS OF FUNDS AT THIS TIME. THERE ARE
- 6 ALSO A COUPLE OF OTHER SPECIFIC IMPACTS FROM THE STATE BUDGET,
- 7 ONE ON THE TRANSFER OF TRIAL COURT FEES, WHICH WE WERE AWARE
- 8 OF THROUGHOUT THE SPRING, \$10 MILLION LOSS. WE'RE RECOMMENDING
- 9 THAT BE COVERED BY ADDITIONAL PROPERTY TAX THAT WE HAVE THIS
- 10 YEAR. AND A CHILD SUPPORT PENALTY ON AUTOMATION WAS ADOPTED.
- 11 COUNTIES ARE NOW PAYING 25% OF THE STATE FINE TO THE FEDERAL
- 12 GOVERNMENT FOR AUTOMATING CHILD SUPPORT EVEN THOUGH WE HAVE NO
- 13 AUTHORITY, POWER, OR INFLUENCE OVER WHAT THEY DO. THAT'S AN
- 14 ADDITIONAL 10.5 MILLION. WE HAVE A CIVIL JURY FEE POTENTIAL
- 15 ISSUE WITH THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS, \$2
- 16 MILLION. THAT'S NOT TECHNICALLY A STATE PROBLEM, BUT IS A
- 17 STATE JUDICIAL PROBLEM. AND THEN THERE ARE SMALL ADJUSTMENTS
- 18 IN PARKS AND RECREATION. ALL OF THIS WOULD SPEND \$186 MILLION.
- 19 THE FRIGHTENING THING, HOWEVER, IS THAT THEY ARE RECOGNIZING
- 20 THAT THERE IS AT LEAST AN \$8 BILLION SHORTFALL GOING INTO
- 21 '04/'05, AND THEY HAVE USED, AS FAR AS WE CAN TELL, JUST ABOUT
- 22 EVERY POTENTIAL MEANS OF BALANCING THE CURRENT BUDGET, AND
- 23 WITHOUT ANY KIND OF REVENUE OR TAX INCREASE NEXT YEAR, THEY'LL
- 24 BE LOOKING AT CUTTING \$8 BILLION OUT OF NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET, SO
- 25 COUNTIES ARE EXTRAORDINARILY VULNERABLE. AND I THINK IT WOULD



- 1 BE VERY UNFAIR, GIVEN HOW WELL THE BOARD AND THE COUNTY HAVE
- 2 DONE ON MANAGING OUR FISCAL AFFAIRS, YES, THE BOARD AND THE
- 3 COUNTY HAS DONE ON MANAGING OUR FISCAL AFFAIRS THAT WE HAVE
- 4 RESERVES TO DEAL WITH ONE-TIME PROBLEMS, THAT WE NOT BE
- 5 PUNISHED FOR DOING SO IN SUBSEQUENT YEARS, SO WE HAVE A VERY
- 6 DIFFICULT COUPLE OF YEARS IN FRONT OF US, BUT EVEN WITH THAT,
- 7 I THINK THAT USING THE RESERVES TO DEAL WITH THIS PROBLEM IS
- 8 PREFERABLE THAN GOING BACK INTO THE BUDGETS, THE GENERAL FUND
- 9 BUDGETS AND MAKING ADDITIONAL CUTS. SO THAT IS ITEM 54. THAT
- 10 WOULD LEAVE, THEN, 22 AND A HALF MILLION DOLLARS IN RESERVE.
- 11 THERE ARE -- I SHOULD SAY, FINALLY, BECAUSE THIS JUST HAPPENED
- 12 WHEN THEY LEFT, THERE WERE TWO OUTSTANDING BUDGET ISSUES THAT
- 13 ARE REFERENCED ON PAGE 4 OF OUR BOARD LETTER, ITEM 54. ONE WAS
- 14 \$800,000 POTENTIAL PROBLEM IF BOOKING FEES, THE AUTHORITY TO
- 15 ADOPT BOOKING FEES WAS REPEALED, THE SENATE -- OR THE ASSEMBLY
- 16 DID NOT ACT ON THAT ONE OF THE TWO. IN ANY EVENT, THE
- 17 AUTHORITY REMAINS, SO THE 800,000 IS SAFE. HOWEVER, THE LOCAL
- 18 ASSISTANCE TRAINING GRANT FUNDS IN THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT,
- 19 THE SENATE DID NOT ACT ON THE RESTORATION BY THE ASSEMBLY, SO
- 20 THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT WILL HAVE TO BE REDUCED BY ANOTHER
- 21 \$1.787 MILLION, AND WE'LL HAVE TO RETURN TO YOUR BOARD WITH
- 22 ALTERNATIVES IN THAT AREA.

24 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SO OUR ACTION, WOULD BE --

25



1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THE ACTION WOULD BE TO APPROVE ITEM NUMBER 54.

2

3 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND TO COME BACK WITH THE --

4

5 C.A.O. JANSSEN: COME BACK WITH THE PROBATION.

6

- 7 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: PROBATION DEPARTMENT. ALL RIGHT. IS THERE A
- 8 MOTION? ALL RIGHT. MOTION, MOVED AND SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 9 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

10

11 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM 55 --

12

13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ANTONOVICH, KNABE SECONDS YES.

14

- 15 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM 55 IS A REQUEST FROM THE LIBRARIAN TO
- 16 EXPEND THE ADDITIONAL \$4,070,000 OF FUND BALANCE THAT WAS
- 17 UNIDENTIFIED AT THE TIME. SHE HAS GIVEN YOU A -- FOUR
- 18 DIFFERENT RECOMMENDATIONS ON HOW TO SPEND THAT MONEY. WE ARE
- 19 SUPPORTING ITEM NUMBER 1, WHICH IS RESTORATION OF THE STATE
- 20 CURTAILMENT. THE STATE DID, IN THE FINAL ANALYSIS, CUT ANOTHER
- 21 \$1.5 MILLION OUT OF STATE FUNDING FOR LIBRARIES THAT WOULD
- 22 FURTHER REDUCE THEIR BOOK BUDGET. WE THINK IT'S INAPPROPRIATE
- 23 THAT FUND BALANCE BE USED FOR THAT. THE REMAINING AMOUNT, WE
- 24 RECOMMEND STAY IN THE CONTINGENCY FUND.



1 SUP. MOLINA: MADAM CHAIR, I HAVE A MOTION.

2

3 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OKAY. YES?

- 5 SUP. MOLINA: THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HAS
- 6 HAD TO MAKE MANY PAINFUL FISCAL DECISIONS THIS YEAR IN ORDER
- 7 TO BALANCE ITS BUDGET AND AVOID A COMPLETE FINANCIAL MELTDOWN.
- 8 RECENTLY, HOWEVER, L.A. COUNTY HAS EXPERIENCED SIGNIFICANT
- 9 DEVIATIONS FROM ITS BUDGET WELL AFTER MAJOR CUTS HAVE ALREADY
- 10 BEEN MADE. FOR INSTANCE, OUR PUBLIC LIBRARY DEPARTMENT
- 11 ORIGINALLY INFORMED THE BOARD THAT L.A. COUNTY FACED A
- 12 POTENTIAL CLOSURE OF LIBRARIES AND A REDUCTION OF SERVICES DUE
- 13 TO BUDGET CONSTRAINTS. IN RESPONSE, I INTRODUCED A MOTION IN
- 14 JUNE TO IDENTIFY \$7 MILLION TO KEEP OUR LIBRARIES OPEN. JUST
- 15 MONTHS LATER, THE LIBRARY DEPARTMENT INFORMED THE BOARD THAT
- 16 IT ACTUALLY POSSESSED MORE THAN \$4 MILLION IN SURPLUS REVENUE.
- 17 ANOTHER EXAMPLE, THE BOARD MADE THE AGONIZING DECISION TO
- 18 CLOSE CLINICS AND DOWNSIZE SEVERAL HOSPITALS EARLIER THIS YEAR
- 19 ONLY TO LEARN IN JUNE OF 2003 THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
- 20 SERVICES HAD DISCOVERED \$103 MILLION INCREASE IN THEIR
- 21 OPERATING SURPLUS. THE BOARD HAS STRUGGLED TO MAKE DIFFICULT
- 22 BUT FISCALLY PRUDENT DECISIONS WHILE SEEKING TO MINIMIZE THE
- 23 IMPACT OF SERVICES MANY RESIDENTS UTILIZE. HOWEVER, WE CANNOT
- 24 MAKE SOUND DECISIONS UNLESS DEPARTMENT HEADS AND THE CHIEF
- 25 ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE PROVIDE THE BOARD WITH IMPROVED DATA AND



- INFORMATION. TO REMEDY THIS PROBLEM, THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE 1
- OFFICER MUST DEVELOP A TOOL TO ENSURE THE ACCURACY OF THE 2
- 3 PROPOSED BUDGET CUTS BEFORE WE MAKE THEM, AND THE KIND OF
- IMPACT THAT WILL BE MADE TO SERVICES. I THEREFORE MOVE THAT 4
- 5 THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, NUMBER ONE, ADOPT THE C.A.O.'S
- OFFICE RECOMMENDATION TO THE LIBRARY DEPARTMENT SURPLUS BY 6
- ALLOWING ONLY 1.6 IN OPERATING RESERVES TO REPLACE THE 1.6 7
- 8 MILLION LOST IN STATE FUNDS AND THAT IT BE EARMARKED FOR
- MATERIALS. TWO, THAT WE INSTRUCT THE C.A.O. IN CONJUNCTION 9
- WITH THE COUNTY DEPARTMENTS TO PROVIDE A DETAILED EXPLANATION 10
- OF ALL BUDGET VARIANCES AND THE IMPACT ON THE ADOPTED BUDGET 11
- DECISIONS ON A OUARTERLY BASIS, INCLUDING TREND ANALYSIS BASED 12
- ON PRIOR YEAR EXPENDITURES AND RECEIPTS. THREE, THAT WE DIRECT 13
- THE C.A.O. TO PROVIDE A DETAILED ANALYSIS OF WHAT INTERNAL 14
- PROCEDURAL CHANGES AND CONTROLS ARE NEEDED TO BETTER MONITOR 15
- 16 THE BUDGET THROUGHOUT THE FISCAL YEAR. THIS ANALYSIS SHOULD
- DETERMINE IF REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE ESTIMATES ARE ON TARGET 17
- 18 BEFORE THE BOARD MAKES ANY DECISION TO CUT OR CURTAIL A
- 19 PROGRAM IN FUTURE BUDGET DELIBERATIONS. AND FINALLY, THAT WE
- DIRECT THE C.A.O. TO REPORT BACK TO THE BOARD WITHIN 90 DAYS 20
- 21 ON HOW WE ARE GOING TO IMPLEMENT THE NEW AND IMPROVED BUDGET
- 22 PLANNING PROCESS.

- SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. SECONDED. IS THERE ANY 24



1

- 2 SUP. KNABE: THE ONLY THING THAT I WOULD ADD, I CONCUR WITH,
- 3 THE ONLY THING I WOULD ADD IS MAYBE IT'S TIME, YOU KNOW, IT'S
- 4 TIME TO LOOK VERY QUICKLY TO SEE WHETHER IT WAS INCLUDED OR
- 5 NOT, A REVIEW OF THE TWO-YEAR BUDGET AS WELL, TOO, AND THE
- 6 CHANGING OF THE DATE TO OCTOBER. I MEAN, I MEAN HERE WE ARE,
- 7 YOU KNOW, DOING OUR BUDGET EVERY YEAR, APRIL, MAY, AND, YOU
- 8 KNOW, THINGS HAPPEN IN SACRAMENTO YEAR AFTER YEAR, AND REALLY,
- 9 AN OCTOBER DATE MIGHT BE A BETTER DATE FOR A BUDGET YEAR, AND
- 10 AT LEAST BE, AS YOU LOOK AT BUDGET ACCOUNTABILITY, IT MIGHT BE
- 11 GOOD TO REVISIT BOTH OF THOSE ISSUES, ONE, THE CHANGING OF OUR
- 12 BUDGET YEAR FROM OCTOBER -- TO OCTOBER 1 SEPTEMBER 30TH AND
- 13 THE TWO YEAR BUDGET.

14

15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IS THAT AMENDMENT?

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: ALSO BOOKS ARE INCLUDED AND MATERIALS, SO
- 18 THAT'S CLEAR.

19

- 20 SUP. MOLINA: THAT'S WHAT IT IS, THAT'S THE LIBRARY, BOOKS AND
- 21 MATERIALS.

22

23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: OKAY, SO IT'D BE BOOKS.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: BOOKS AND MATERIALS. WITHOUT OBJECTION, NO-
- 2 ONE'S ASKED TO SPEAK ON THIS, ARE THERE? I KEEP MISSING PEOPLE
- 3 WHO WANT TO SPEAK. ALL RIGHT, IS THERE ANY OTHER ITEM? PUBLIC
- 4 COMMENT.

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I HAD ONE MOTION FOR NEXT WEEK. THE
- 7 SATURDAY'S LEGISLATIVE SESSION CONCLUDED WITH ASSEMBLY BILL
- 8 1313, WHICH WOULD HAVE PREVENTED THE EXPIRATION OF THE MEGAN'S
- 9 LAW BEING DEFERRED TO THE 2004 LEGISLATIVE SESSION. IT'S
- 10 IMPERATIVE THAT THE COUNTY MAKE ALL EFFORTS TO ASSIST IN
- 11 PLACING THE ITEM IN THE FOREFRONT OF THE NEXT LEGISLATIVE
- 12 SESSION, BECAUSE MEGAN'S LAW SUNSETS ON JANUARY 1ST. I MOVE
- 13 THAT THE BOARD SEND A 5-SIGNATURE LETTER TO THE MEMBERS OF THE
- 14 STATE LEGISLATURE, THE GOVERNOR, AND ATTORNEY GENERAL IN
- 15 SUPPORT OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S POSITION TO ENACT EMERGENCY
- 16 LEGISLATION TO EXTEND MEGAN'S LAW UPON RETURNING IN JANUARY.
- 17 FOR NEXT WEEK. IT SHOULD BE UPON RETURNING IN DECEMBER 2003.

18

19 **SUP. KNABE:** THAT'S FOR NEXT WEEK?

20

21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YEAH.

- 23 SUP. KNABE: OKAY. WE HAVE THREE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC SIGNED
- 24 UP. I'D ASK DARLA ELWOOD, PATRICIA BARRY, AND PIERRE RANDALL
- 25 TO COME FORWARD, PLEASE.

24

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 PIERRE RANDALL: YES HELLO. MY NAME IS PIERRE RANDALL --2 3 SUP. KNABE: EXCUSE ME SIR, HANG ON JUST A SECOND. JUST SO THAT 4 5 EVERYONE KNOWS, MR. RANDALL BEFORE YOU START, JUST GIVE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS FOR THE RECORD, AND YOU'LL HAVE THREE MINUTES 6 EACH. 7 8 PIERRE RANDALL: YES. MY NAME IS PIERRE RANDALL. I HAVE AN 9 ISSUE I'D LIKE TO SPEAK TO EVERYBODY HERE AT THE COUNTY 10 COUNSEL. I INTENDED ACTUALLY TO HAVE A CLOSED MEETING WHICH 11 THE LAND OF CALIFORNIA AND THE LAND THAT'S INVOLVED WITH THE 12 ACROSS AMERICA, 38 STATES TO BE EXACT. I WAS HOPING THAT I 13 COULD SCHEDULE A MEETING WITH GLORIA MOLINA OR, ACTUALLY, THE 14 15 COUNSEL, IN A PRIVATE SESSION BECAUSE THE AMOUNT OF MONEY TA 16 THIS INVOLVES IS QUITE A LOT. AND I WOULD ACTUALLY WOULD LIKE TO SAY IN COMMENT TO WHAT YOU WERE SAYING EARLIER ON THE ISSUE 17 18 -- ON THE MOTION OF, WAS IT, 54, 58? THAT ACTUALLY THAT THERE 19 SHOULD BE MORE MONEY IN YOUR ACCOUNT THAN WHAT YOU'RE SAYING, THAT THERE SHOULD HAVE BEEN A TRANSFER OF MONEY COMING IN FROM 20 21 WASHINGTON THAT SHOULD COVER THE STATE DEFICIT AND SHOULD 22 ACTUALLY GIVE YOU PEOPLE SOME MONEY ABOUT AT LEAST \$10 BILLION, AND THIS IS BASED UPON MY NAME, UNDER MY FAMILY'S 23

LAND ISSUE THAT COMES FROM IT IS 1782. THAT WOULD BE THE NET

OF THE MAYOR OF BURGESS CRENSHAW. ANYWAY I HAVE ALL THE BASIC



- 1 -- I PASSED A RESOLUTION. I REPRESENT THE STATE, AND I ALSO AM
- 2 A EXECUTIVE MEMBER OF THE UNITED STATES SENATE. I PASSED THE
- 3 RESOLUTION AS FOR 2001 IN 2001, AND REASSESS THE LAND VALUE IN
- 4 AMERICA AT BASIC \$445.5 TRILLION, AT 2% IT GIVES US \$8.9
- 5 TRILLION IN THE CENTRAL BANK, AND 7.1 TRILLION OF THAT IS
- 6 ACTUALLY IN MORTGAGES, AND INSTEAD OF DOING IT BASICALLY
- 7 SPLITTING THE STATE'S ONE PERCENT PER YEAR, AND SPLITTING IT
- 8 30 -- \$56 BILLION TIMES 38, LET'S SEE. YOU WOULD ACTUALLY JUST
- 9 REASSESS THE LAND VALUE ACROSS THE BOARD AT 445 AND JUST
- 10 DIVIDE IT BY 50, AND YOU WOULD GET BASICALLY -- BASICALLY
- 11 THAT'S HOW WE'RE GETTING OUR BUDGET RIGHT NOW. BUT IN THIS
- 12 PROCESS, WHAT I WAS SAYING IS THAT THE LAND ISSUE THAT WAS
- 13 COMING TO US, TO MAKE IT SIMPLE, IT WAS SUPPOSED TO BE SPLIT
- 14 FOR A \$56 BILLION SPLIT 28, I WAS THINKING THAT AS FAR AS THE
- 15 TAX MONEY THAT GOES INTO OUR ACCOUNT FOR THE STATE CAPITAL
- 16 BEING ON MY LAND -- ON MY FAMILY'S LAND. I HAD AN ISSUE COMING
- 17 TO ME FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT THAT GAVE THE LEASE OF THE
- 18 LAND BACK TO AMERICA, SHALL BE MY PROTECTOR AND FOR BE -- WELL
- 19 ACTUALLY I WOULD LIKE TO KEEP THAT AMOUNT UNDISCLOSED BUT FOR
- 20 PRIVATE, BUT IT'S ACTUALLY -- IT WOULD BE ADDED TO THE 28
- 21 BILLION TO BE ABLE TO COVER THE STATE BUDGET, PLUS GIVE \$10
- 22 BILLION BACK TO L.A. WHEN YOU TAKE THAT AND YOU SUBTRACT IT
- 23 FROM THE \$87 BILLION THAT WAS OKAYED FROM THE -- FOR THE
- 24 MILITARY BUDGET --



- 1 SUP. KNABE: SIR, MR. RANDALL YOUR TIME'S UP. YOUR TIME'S
- 2 EXPIRED BY THE LIGHT THERE, THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

3

4 PIERRE RANDALL: OKAY --

5

6 SUP. KNABE: AND NEXT DARLA ELWOOD FOLLOWING BY PATRICIA BARRY.

7

- 8 PIERRE RANDALL: WILL I BE ABLE TO SPEAK TO ONE OF YOUR MEMBERS
- 9 AFTER?

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE: NO, NO YOU HAVE THREE MINUTES. YOUR TIME IS UP.
- 12 OKAY.

13

- 14 PIERRE RANDALL: SPEAK PRIVATELY WITH GLORIA MOLINA OR
- 15 SOMEBODY?

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YOU MEAN SOMEBODY FROM MOLINA'S STAFF THAT'S
- 18 IN THIS DISTRICT.

19

- 20 PIERRE RANDALL: THIS HAS TO DO WITH CERTAIN RECORDS THE COUNTY
- 21 OF ANGELES, THE ARCHIVES AND -- [MIXED VOICES]

- 23 PIERRE RANDALL: THERE WAS 40 YEARS BACK TAXES BACK IN 1850, SO
- 24 MY FAMILY CAME OVER HERE AND BOASTS THEY CAME IN LIKE WE OWNED



- 1 THE PLACE, WHICH WE ACTUALLY BEING SPANISH, WELL FRENCH
- 2 BOURBON. IT'S IN ALL THE PAPERS BACK THEN.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE: OKAY, IF YOU CAN JUST STEP OVER TO THE SIDE THERE
- 5 TO SEE THE SHERIFF THANKS.

6

- 7 PATRICIA J. BARRY: SUPERVISOR KNABE, MAY I GO FIRST? I'M
- 8 DARLA'S ATTORNEY, TO GIVE AN INTRODUCTION TO WHAT SHE HAS TO
- 9 SAY.

10

11 SUP. KNABE: SURE.

- 13 PATRICIA J. BARRY: APPRECIATE THAT. MY NAME IS PATRICIA J.
- 14 BARRY, I'M AN ATTORNEY, A 28-YEAR VETERAN. BECAUSE I HAVEN'T
- 15 HAD THE HONOR OF MEETING GLORIA MOLINA OR SUPERVISOR
- 16 ANTONOVICH, SUPERVISOR KNABE, OR SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, IN
- 17 WHOSE DISTRICT I RESIDE, I WANT TO GIVE A LITTLE BIT OF
- 18 BACKGROUND IN ORDER TO ASSURE YOU THAT WHAT I SPEAK ABOUT IS
- 19 WITH A GREAT DEAL OF EXPERTISE. I'VE ARGUED IN THE U.S.
- 20 SUPREME COURT TWICE, WINNING THE FIRST SEXUAL HARASSMENT CASE,
- 21 MEREDITH SAVINGS BANK VERSUS VINCENT IN 1986. I'VE ARGUED IN
- 22 THE FOURTH CIRCUIT, THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT, THE NINTH CIRCUIT,
- 23 THE TENTH CIRCUIT. I'VE ARGUED IN THE SECOND APPELLATE
- 24 DISTRICT, THE FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT. I'VE REPRESENTED
- 25 WOMEN WHO ARE BATTERED WOMEN, AND VICTIMS OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE



- 1 IN THE FOLLOWING COUNTIES, MARIN COUNTY, SAN MATEO COUNTY, SAN
- 2 LOS OBISPO COUNTY, SANTA BARBARA COUNTY, VENTURA COUNTY, LOS
- 3 ANGELES COUNTY, ORANGE COUNTY, SAN DIEGO COUNTY, AND SAN
- 4 BERNARDINO COUNTY. I'VE DONE NUMEROUS JURY TRIALS. WHAT I'M
- 5 SPEAKING ABOUT NOW IS THE IRRETRIEVABLE BREAKDOWN OF COURTS AS
- 6 THEY RESPOND TO THE LEGISLATIVE MANDATES FOR THE PROTECTION OF
- 7 THE VICTIMS OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE WHO TEND TO BE MOTHERS AND
- 8 CHILDREN. I REPRESENT DARLA ELWOOD. WE HAVE A FEDERAL LAWSUIT
- 9 INVOLVING THE COUNTY, TWO SOCIAL WORKERS, TWO FATHERS, A
- 10 THERAPIST, AND A CHILD CARE WORKER. RECENTLY, YOUR SOCIAL
- 11 WORKERS MOVED FOR SOME REAFFIRMANCE. THAT MEANS GET RID OF THE
- 12 CASE, THERE'S NO MERIT. THE NINTH CIRCUIT RULED THAT THE
- 13 ISSUES ARE NOT INSUBSTANTIAL AND THAT THE APPEAL WILL GO
- 14 FORWARD. RECENTLY, IN MILLER VERSUS GAMMY, THE NINTH CIRCUIT
- 15 RULED AND HAS ABROGATED THE ABSOLUTE IMMUNITY OF SOCIAL
- 16 WORKERS. AS THIS HONORABLE TRIBUNAL IS AWARE, THERE HAS BEEN
- 17 AN ABROGATION OF ABSOLUTE IMMUNITY OF SOCIAL WORKERS IN THE
- 18 GOVERNMENT TORT CLAIMS ACT. I THINK IT'S GOVERNMENT CODE
- 19 SECTION 820.5. WHAT IS THIS ALL ABOUT? WELL, WHEN I COMPLAINED
- 20 ABOUT THE UNCONSTITUTIONAL ABUSES OF REFEREE SKIBA IN MICHAEL
- 21 ANTONOVICH'S DISTRICT, WE WERE MET WITH A RASHER OF FIRST
- 22 AMENDMENT RETALIATION. IN EFFECT, THE SUPERIOR COURT SHUT DOWN
- 23 ON DARLA ELWOOD AND ME. WE WENT FROM COURTROOM TO COURTROOM TO
- 24 GET A HEARING. FINALLY, I BROUGHT A CIVIL ACTION AGAINST FIVE
- 25 JURISTS. IT WAS DISMISSED, WENT TO THE NINTH CIRCUIT. THE FIVE



- 1 JURISTS, A C.S.E.A. ATTORNEY NAMED JUDY HUTCHINSON, MOVE --
- 2 SAID AGAIN MOVE FOR SOME REAFFIRMANCE. THE COURT OF APPEALS
- 3 SAID NO, IT WILL GO FORWARD. NOW, HOW DOES THIS AFFECT WHAT
- 4 YOU INDIVIDUALS ARE DOING? THE HONORABLE GLORIA MOLINA JUST
- 5 SPOKE ABOUT THE BUDGET CRISIS. THE GENTLEMAN OVER HERE TALKED
- 6 ABOUT THE BUDGET CRISIS, AND YET IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT
- 7 WITH THE COURTS IN TERRIBLE CRISIS, YOU CONTINUE TO FUND
- 8 JUDGES, COMMISSIONERS, AND REFEREES. WHAT DOES THAT MEAN FOR
- 9 DARLA ELWOOD? WELL, IT MEANS WHEN THE COUNTY WAS GOING AFTER
- 10 HER IN JUVENILE COURT AND IN MR. BROWNING'S C.S.E.A.
- 11 DEPARTMENT, THEY RUN ROUGH SHOD BECAUSE OF THE FINANCIAL
- 12 INTERESTS THAT THIS BOARD HAS ALLOWED TO BE CREATED BY
- 13 CONTINUING TO FUND JUDGES, REFEREES, AND COMMISSIONERS, SO
- 14 THAT WHEN THOSE JUDGES, REFEREES, AND COMMISSIONERS DEAL WITH
- 15 THE COUNTY AS A PARTY, HOW ABOUT IT, COUNTY? DO WHAT YOU WANT
- 16 TO DO, AND THAT'S WHAT'S HAPPENED TO DARLA ELWOOD AS WE TRY TO
- 17 SEEK VICTORY. WHAT I WOULD LIKE THIS COUNTY TO DO IS TO CALL
- 18 MR. BROWN TO FIND OUT WHY THE C.S.E.A. DEPARTMENT IS
- 19 PROSECUTING DARLA ELWOOD, BOTH CIVILLY AND CRIMINALLY ON A
- 20 DEFAULT ORDER OF CHILD SUPPORT -- MAY I JUST FINISH THE
- 21 REMEDIES, MADAM CHAIR?

- 23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WHAT I THINK THAT MIGHT BE APPROPRIATE IS
- 24 WE'LL HAVE THE COUNTY COUNSEL MEET WITH YOU AND GO OVER ALL OF
- 25 THE REMEDIES THAT YOU'RE SUGGESTING.



1

- 2 PATRICIA J. BARRY: OKAY, AND I'D LIKE TO HAND UP, MADAM CHAIR,
- 3 THIS STATEMENT TO HAVE MADE PART OF A RECORD AND TO HAVE
- 4 SERVED ON EACH OF YOU MEMBERS.

5

6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ABSOLUTELY. ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.

7

8 PATRICIA J. BARRY: SURE. DARLA?

9

10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YES.

11

12 PATRICIA J. BARRY: I HAVE -- YES.

13

- 14 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT, YOU CAN -- PLEASE STATE YOUR
- 15 NAME.

- 17 DARLA ELWOOD: MY NAME IS DARLA ELWOOD, AND I'M A 36-YEAR-OLD
- 18 MOTHER OF FIVE. GOOD AFTERNOON. MY CHILDREN AND I HAVE
- 19 SUFFERED FOR 4 AND A HALF YEARS THROUGH THIS LEGAL SYSTEM. THE
- 20 FATHERS OF MY CHILDREN, JOSEPH MOREN AND RONNIE DELAPLANE WHO
- 21 HAVE BEEN ABUSIVE TOWARDS ME AND MY CHILDREN, GAINED CUSTODY
- 22 OF THEM THROUGH THE JUVENILE COURTS SYSTEM. MY CHILDREN WERE
- 23 SECRETLY GIVEN TO THE FATHERS BY SOCIAL WORKER, BARBARA
- 24 DEMURRO WITHOUT A COURT ORDER FROM THE JUDGE BECAUSE I DARED
- 25 TO STAND UP TO HER FOR ME AND MY CHILDREN'S RIGHTS. I WAS



- 1 DENIED A TRIAL TO PRESENT MY EVIDENCE TO DEFEND MYSELF OF THE
- 2 MANY FALSE ALLEGATIONS MADE AGAINST MY BY THE FATHERS, SOCIAL
- 3 WORKERS, AND THE THERAPISTS. THE COURT EMBRACED THE FATHERS'
- 4 AND THE SOCIAL WORKERS' REPORTS OF FALSE ALLEGATIONS AGAINST
- 5 ME AND REFUSED TO ACCEPT ANY OF MY STATEMENTS OR EVIDENCE THAT
- 6 WOULD DEFINITELY PROVE THEM WRONG. IT WAS AN EVIL GAME FROM
- 7 THE BEGINNING OF THE FATHERS AND SOCIAL WORKERS MAKING ME OUT
- 8 TO BE AN UNFIT MOTHER. BECAUSE THE JUDGE DID NOT ENFORCE HER
- 9 GOOD ORDERS SHE ACCEPTED THESE FALSE REPORTS AS TRUTH TO COVER
- 10 UP HER OWN MISTAKES, ALL TO THE DETRIMENT OF ME AND MY
- 11 CHILDREN. NO ONE IN THAT CIRCUS OF A COURTROOM CARED ABOUT THE
- 12 BEST INTERESTS OF MY CHILDREN EXCEPT FOR ME AND MY FAMILY. IT
- 13 WAS A GAME OF POWER AND MONEY AND THE COURT USED MY CHILDREN
- 14 TO GAIN IT. MY CHILDREN HAVE SUFFERED SEVERE EMOTIONAL TRAUMA
- 15 BY BEING RIPPED AWAY FROM ME, EACH OTHER, AND THE ONLY FAMILY
- 16 THEY KNEW. THEY HAVE WANTED TO COME HOME FROM DAY ONE. THE
- 17 FATHERS HAVE THE WOMEN IN THEIR LIFE PROVIDE THE CARETAKING OF
- 18 MY CHILDREN. THEY HAVE TO LISTEN TO THESE WOMEN TELL THEM WHAT
- 19 A BAD MOTHER I AM, THAT I DON'T LOVE THEM, AND THAT THEY WILL
- 20 NEVER COME BACK HOME TO ME. MR. MOREN GOES FROM WOMAN TO WOMAN
- 21 AFTER BECOMING INVOLVED WITH THEM, GETS THEM TO BE THE
- 22 CARETAKER OF MY CHILDREN. WHEN HE TIRES OF ONE WOMAN, HE GOES
- 23 ON TO THE NEXT. MY CHILDREN HAVE NO LOVE OR STABILITY LIVING
- 24 WITH THEIR FATHER. HE DOES NOT LOVE HIS CHILDREN. HE IS MORE
- 25 THAN WILLING TO USE THEM IN THIS EVIL PLOT OF REVENGE AGAINST



- 1 ME. MY CHILDREN SUFFER DAILY BY BEING FORCED TO LIVE WITH
- 2 THEIR FATHERS. TIFFANY IS 14. JORDAN IS 9, AND STEPHANIE WILL
- 3 BE EIGHT THIS MONTH. THEIR CHILDHOOD IS HALF OVER. THEIR RIGHT
- 4 TO HAVE A LOVING MOTHER RAISE THEM IS BEING VIOLATED DAY AFTER
- 5 DAY. JORDAN AND STEPHANIE HAVE BEEN MADE TO LIE ABOUT ME
- 6 AGAINST THEIR WILL BY THEIR FATHER. THEY ARE SCARED TO DEATH
- 7 OF HIM. THEY DO NOT PARTICIPATE IN ANY EXTRACURRICULAR
- 8 ACTIVITIES. THEY ARE MADE TO DO MANY OF THE HOUSEHOLD CHORES.
- 9 THEY GO TO SCHOOL AND THEY COME HOME. THE ONLY TIME THEY'RE
- 10 ALLOWED TO BE CHILDREN AND DO THINGS THEY LIKE IS WHEN THEY
- 11 ARE WITH ME. JORDAN RECENTLY WROTE ABOUT HIS SUMMER VACATION
- 12 THIS YEAR WHEN HE RETURNED TO SCHOOL. ALL THE ACTIVITIES HE
- 13 WROTE ABOUT TOOK PLACE DURING HIS TIME WITH ME. STEPHANIE'S
- 14 TEACHER HAS ALREADY TOLD ME THAT THERE ARE ISSUES WITH HER
- 15 THAT NEED TO BE DISCUSSED. SHE'S ONLY BEEN BACK IN SCHOOL FOR
- 16 TWO WEEKS. I ATTENDED JORDAN AND STEPHANIE'S BACK-TO-SCHOOL
- 17 NIGHT LAST WEEK. THEIR FATHER, JOSEPH MOREN, WAS THERE WITH
- 18 THEM AND WOULD NOT LET THEM SPEAK TO ME OR GIVE ME A HUG. HE
- 19 STARED DOWN AND INTIMIDATED THEM THE ENTIRE TIME SO THEY WOULD
- 20 STAY AWAY FROM ME. I WENT FROM CARING FOR MY CHILDREN 24 HOURS
- 21 A DAY FROM THE DAY THEY WERE BORN TO SEEING THEM FOR THREE
- 22 HOURS EVERY TUESDAY AND EVERY OTHER WEEKEND. IT'S BEEN 4 AND A
- 23 HALF YEARS, AND I'M NO CLOSER TO REGAINING CUSTODY OF MY
- 24 CHILDREN. MY CHILDREN HAVE SUFFERED ENORMOUSLY AT THE HANDS OF
- 25 THEIR FATHER BECAUSE THESE JUDGES HAVE ALLOWED IT, THE COURTS



- 1 AND THE FATHERS HAVE MADE IT OUT TO BE A BAD THING THAT I WANT
- 2 MY CHILDREN BACK. MY PARENTS HAVE SPENT THOUSANDS AND
- 3 THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS IN PURSUIT OF ME BEING ABLE TO DEFEND
- 4 MYSELF. MY ONE HOPE AND PRAYER IS THAT I WILL BE GIVEN MY
- 5 LEGAL RIGHT TO A TRIAL SO THAT I CAN DEFEND MYSELF OF ALL THE
- 6 FALSE ALLEGATIONS MADE AGAINST ME. IT SHOULD NOT TAKE 4 AND A
- 7 HALF YEARS, THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS, AND MANY, MANY TEARS SHED BY
- 8 ME AND MY CHILDREN TO BE HEARD. IF THIS WAS YOU AND YOUR
- 9 CHILDREN OR YOUR GRANDCHILDREN, WOULD YOU HAVE LET THIS
- 10 MISTREATMENT BY THE COURTS GO ON AND ON AND ON? I SINCERELY
- 11 HOPE THAT YOU WILL HELP ME IN PURSUING MY LEGAL RIGHT TO BE
- 12 HEARD. THANK YOU.

13

16

19

14 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. WE WILL HAVE SOMEONE FROM

15 CHILDREN SERVICES MEET WITH YOU.

17 PATRICIA J. BARRY: IT'S NOT WORTH IT, WE'RE SUING THEM, AND

- 18 THEY'RE EXTREMELY HOSTILE AND THEY WANT REVENGE.
- 20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL, YOU KNOW, WHATEVER YOU WANT, IT'S NOT
- 21 THE ENTIRE DEPARTMENT. YOU KNOW, FROM TIME TO TIME, I
- 22 UNDERSTAND. YOU MAY GET A SOCIAL WORKER WHERE THERE'S A
- 23 PERSONALITY DISAGREEMENT AND A PERSON MAY AND SOMETIMES BE
- 24 PENALIZING, BUT THAT DOESN'T MEAN THE PEOPLE THAT ARE
- 25 EVERYWHERE THROUGHOUT THE DEPARTMENT ARE THE SAME. I KNOW



- 1 NOTHING ELSE TO TELL YOU EXCEPT TO SAY THAT WE HAVE THESE
- 2 PEOPLE HERE YOU CAN MEET WITH. OBVIOUSLY, YOU'RE AN ATTORNEY,
- 3 YOU'RE PURSUING ALL LEGAL REMEDIES.

- 5 PATRICIA J. BARRY: OH, AND THEN SOME. MADAM CHAIR, IF I COULD
- 6 JUST INTERVENE HERE. WE ARE NOT TALKING ABOUT A ROGUE SOCIAL
- 7 WORKER. WE ARE TALKING ABOUT SYSTEMIC DISCRIMINATION, AN
- 8 AUTHORITARIAN VIEWPOINT ABOUT HOW CHILDREN AND MOTHERS AND
- 9 FATHERS ARE TO BE TREATED AND THE INABILITY OF PARENTS TO BE
- 10 ABLE TO STAND UP AND TELL WHAT HAPPENED IN THE JUVENILE COURT.
- 11 IT HAS BECOME A MADDENING PROCEDURAL NIGHTMARE. IT IS A
- 12 OUAGMIRE, AND THE REASON I HAVE PURSUED HER CASE, I AM
- 13 INVOLVED IN FIVE OR SIX CASES. THEY CONTINUE. THERE'S
- 14 OVERWHELMING EVIDENCE, AND I URGE THIS BOARD, I WILL GO AND
- 15 MAKE OUT COPIES. THE MASSACHUSETTS -- IF I COULD JUST FINISH A
- 16 MINUTE. THE MASSACHUSETTS BATTERED WOMEN'S STUDY PRESENTED BY
- 17 THE WELLESLEY CENTER, THE OMICA BRIEF IN MY CASE OF THE
- 18 ARIZONA COALITION AGAINST DOMESTIC VIOLENCE, THE PHILADELPHIA
- 19 STUDY ON HOW BATTERED WOMEN ARE TREATED, THE NEW YORK STUDY
- 20 FUNDED BY THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ON THE
- 21 BATTERED WOMEN AND THE INSULTS, THE DEGRADATION THAT THE
- 22 JUDGES PUT THEM THROUGH. I AM BEING SHUT DOWN, I HAVE THREE
- 23 JUDGES. I'M TRYING TO GET THE TRIAL TRANSCRIPT FROM THE
- 24 HEARING. DUKE WILL NOT RESPOND. HE'S SITTING ON IT. THAT WILL



- 1 COST ME 655 BUCKS TO GO TO THE COURT OF APPEALS. I WANT A SLAP
- 2 ACTION. ONE OF THE VICIOUS FATHERS IS SUING US --

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THANK YOU. I
- 5 UNDERSTAND YOUR FRUSTRATION. YOU, AS AN ATTORNEY, KNOW YOU'RE
- 6 GOING THROUGH APPEAL --

7

- 8 PATRICIA J. BARRY: THE COUNTY, THE BOARD CAN TAKE ACTION NOW.
- 9 TAKE A LOOK AT MY REMEDIES! YOU DEMAND ANSWERS.

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WAIT A MINUTE. ALL RIGHT. I SUSPECT THERE'S
- 12 NOTHING ELSE, THEN, THAT WE CAN DO, YOU ARE GOING THROUGH THE
- 13 COURT.

14

15 PATRICIA J. BARRY: THERE IS. MADAM CHAIR, I BEG YOU --

16

- 17 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU, WAIT A MINUTE. I SUGGESTED, JUST
- 18 A MOMENT, I SUGGESTED TO YOU THAT IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO TALK TO
- 19 THE PEOPLE FROM OUR CHILDREN'S SERVICES DEPARTMENT, THEY WILL
- 20 DISCUSS THIS WITH YOU IN TERMS OF PROBLEMS YOU'VE HAD WITH THE
- 21 SYSTEM AS FAR AS CONTINUING THIS AND OTHER THINGS. OTHER THAN
- 22 THAT, YOU HAVE TO GO TO COURT.

- 24 PATRICIA J. BARRY: YOUR HONOR, TAKE A LOOK AT THE THREE
- 25 THINGS. YOU CAN CALL OFF THE PEOPLE IN THE C.S.E.A. DEPARTMENT



- 1 BY A PHONE CALL AND TELL MR. BROWN TO SHAPE UP, GET RID OF
- 2 THAT CHILD SUPPORT ACTION THAT'S BEING TAKEN AGAINST MY
- 3 CLIENT. THEY ARE CRIMINALLY PROSECUTING HER.

4

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I JUST WONDER WHETHER THIS IS THE WAY YOU
- 6 ADDRESS A COURT WHEN YOU'RE IN COURT. YOU CALLED -- YOU
- 7 ADDRESSED HER "YOUR HONOR." I CAN UNDERSTAND THE PROBLEM
- 8 YOU'RE HAVING IF THIS IS THE WAY, YOU KNOW, MADAM CHAIR, WE
- 9 HAVE RULES HERE, AND WE NEED TO MOVE ON.

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YES. ALL RIGHT. YOU KNOW, WE HAVE SOMEONE
- 12 HERE FROM CHILDREN'S SERVICES. I'M SURE THAT THEIR OFFICE IS
- 13 HERE WHO ARE MORE THAN WILLING TO LISTEN, BUT WE'VE HEARD YOU,
- 14 AND -- JUST A SECOND.

15

16 PATRICIA J. BARRY: SURE.

17

- 18 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE DO NOT HAVE THE ABILITY TO CALL ANYONE
- 19 IN THAT DEPARTMENT AND SAY "YOU CHANGE AND DO THIS." WE HAVE -
- 20 WE'RE A POLICY-MAKING ORGANIZATION, AND I WOULD SUGGEST YOU
- 21 MEET WITH THE PERSON AT CHILDREN'S SERVICES, POINT OUT TO HER
- 22 WHAT YOUR CONCERNS ARE WITH THE SYSTEM. OTHER THAN THAT, THE
- 23 COURT IS YOUR --



- 1 DARLA ELWOOD: BUT YOU FUND THESE JUDGES AND THESE
- 2 COMMISSIONERS AND THEY DON'T -- IT ENCOURAGES THEM TO CONTINUE
- 3 THEIR BEHAVIOR.

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: NO, WE DO NOT, WE DON'T FUND THE JUDGES. WE
- 6 DO NOT FUND THE JUDGES. THE JUDGES, THE WHOLE -- THEY'RE ALL,
- 7 NO, THE JUDGES ARE FUNDED THROUGH THE STATE. THEY ARE TOTALLY
- 8 SEPARATE FROM US.

9

10 DARLA ELWOOD: THEY HAVE NOTHING TO DO WITH THE COUNTY.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MADAM CHAIR, DO WE HAVE ANYTHING ELSE BEFORE
- 13 US TODAY, OTHER THAN THE CLOSED SESSION FOR SEVERAL HOURS THAT
- 14 WE NEED TO GO INTO BACK?

15

- 16 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU, THANK YOU, RIGHT. ANY OTHER
- 17 PUBLIC COMMENT? ALL RIGHT. CLOSED SESSION.

- 19 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: IN ACCORDANCE WITH BROWN ACT
- 20 REQUIREMENTS, NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE BOARD OF
- 21 SUPERVISORS WILL CONVENE IN CLOSED SESSION TO DISCUSS ITEMS
- 22 CS-1, CS-2, AND CS-3, CONFERENCES WITH LEGAL COUNSEL REGARDING
- 23 EXISTING LITIGATION, ITEM CS-4, CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL
- 24 REGARDING SIGNIFICANT EXPOSURE TO LITIGATION, ONE CASE, ITEM
- 25 CS-5, CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL REGARDING INITIATION OF



1	LITIGATION,	ONE	CASE.	AND	ITEM	CS-6.	CONFERENCE	WITH	LABOR

- 2 NEGOTIATORS, CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER, DAVID E. JANSSEN
- 3 AND DESIGNATE STAFF, AGENDA NUMBER 45, CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL
- 4 COUNSEL REGARDING EXISTING LITIGATION RELATING TO DAVID AND
- 5 EUNICE HONOR VERSUS COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, AS INDICATED ON THE
- 6 POSTED AGENDA, AND CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL REGARDING
- 7 INITIATION OF LITIGATION, ONE CASE, PURSUANT TO SUBDIVISION C
- 8 OF GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54956.9, DUE TO A FINDING MADE
- 9 PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54954.2 (B) (2), THAT
- 10 THERE IS A NEED TO TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION AND THAT THE NEED FOR
- 11 ACTION CAME TO THE ATTENTION OF THE BOARD SUBSEQUENT TO THE
- 12 AGENDA BEING POSTED AS SPECIFIED IN SUBDIVISION (8). THANK
- 13 YOU.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



1	[REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN IN CLOSED SESSION
2	ON SEPTEMBER 16, 2003.]
3	
4	
5	The Board met in Closed Session today to confer with legal
6	counsel regarding initiation of litigation (one case),
7	pursuant to subdivision (c) of Government Code Section 54956.9
8	due to a finding made in Open Session pursuant to Government
9	Code Section 54954.2(b)(2) that there is a need to take
10	immediate action and that the need for action came to the
11	attention of the Board subsequent to the agenda being posted
12	as specified in subdivision (a).
13	
14	Action Taken:
15	
16	The Board authorized the filing of a friend of the Court
17	submission in the 9th Circuit case of Southwest Voter
18	Registration Education Project concerning the date of the
19	gubernatorial recall election.
20	
21	The vote of the Board was 4 in favor with Supervisor Molina
22	abstaining.
23	